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**EDITORIAL**

**History Workshop Journal and Feminism**

With this issue *History Workshop Journal* changes its subtitle from 'a journal of socialist historians' to 'a journal of socialist and feminist historians'.

Since its inception the *Journal* has made the promotion and publication of feminist history one of its central concerns. The editorial collective includes historians, men as well as women, for whom the study of gender divisions and sexual politics is a primary intellectual commitment. Yet until now the cover of *History Workshop Journal* has described it simply as a journal of 'socialist historians' — a designation with which all the editors strongly identify but which we now believe to be an insufficient statement of our collective enterprise. Two factors in particular weighed strongly in our decision to make the change.

First, it is increasingly apparent that feminist ideals and demands cannot simply be subsumed under the socialist label. Many socialists are not feminists. The struggle for socialism does not automatically encompass the struggle for women's freedom, and consequently feminists have been forced to challenge many of the dominant assumptions on which current socialist strategies are based. This is one of the main reasons why the women's movement including its socialist wing, has remained politically autonomous. It is also why those historians who are principally engaged in researching and writing the history of sexual divisions cannot be seen merely as contributing to the enlargement or sexual enlightenment of socialist history. Rather, we are active participants in the construction of a new, autonomous feminist history. This does not mean that our socialism and our feminism are unconnected (either in practical or theoretical terms) but simply that one cannot be reduced to the other. Thus, once *History Workshop Journal* decided it was as committed to the building of feminist history as it was to the production of historical writing in and for the socialist struggle, it seemed appropriate to say so — on the cover.

The second deciding factor was the development of feminist history itself. The last six years, since the *Journal* began, have seen a virtual explosion in feminist historical writing, particularly in Western Europe, North America and Australia but by no means confined to these regions. This quantitative development in research and publications has been accompanied by important qualitative changes — in the type of questions asked, the methodologies used, the scope and sophistication of analytical approaches adopted — to the point where feminist history has now clearly moved onto the frontiers of progressive historical writing. The contents of *History Workshop Journal* have reflected this development, although never as much as its editors would have liked. It is our hope that in altering the designation of the *Journal* we will encourage feminist writers to view it as their platform, and that this will simultaneously act as a constant spur to the editors to ensure that the *Journal* deserves the name it has taken.

Finally, it is important to stress that describing the *Journal* as feminist has major implications for all its contents, not simply those articles which examine the history of
women or sexual relations. Just as socialist history means not merely the history of socialist movements or labour organisations, but the reinterpretation of all dominant social and cultural institutions in terms of a class perspective, so a historical analysis influenced by feminism demands that we ask new questions of the past, challenge old assumptions, and become sensitive to the central significance of sexual divisions in the shaping of both past and present. Future issues will, we trust, illustrate this approach—whether through a study of the notions of masculinity embodied in the world of sport, or in our continuing explorations of language, the family, artistic representation and the class struggle. In this issue we publish essays by Olivia Harris on family and household, Judith Walkowitz on the contemporary significance of nineteenth-century debates over prostitution and sexuality, and Tim Mason on the relationship between the personal and political in Rosa Luxemburg's life, as revealed in her letters to her lover, Leo Jogiches. They will, we hope, stimulate further contributions and debates and also demonstrate our commitment to the aspirations implicit in the new masthead.

SPECIAL FEATURE

William Morris's SOCIALIST DIARY
edited by Florence Boos

INTRODUCTION

Morris's achievements routinely exhaust the enumerative abilities of his biographers. When in 1883 William Morris joined the Social Democratic Federation, he had already been a writer of narrative poems and prose romances; pioneer in the decorative arts; translator of Icelandic sagas; designer of stained-glass windows, wallpapers, and tapestries; illuminator of manuscripts; vigorous man of business; founder of the Society for the Protection of Ancient Buildings (SPAB); and loyal personal friend and relation to an impressive range of people.

More relevant to the Diary is Morris's identity as the most prominent Victorian artist to embrace the new socialist movement of the 1880s, a choice which was deprecatued or condemned by most friends and associates, and some members of his family. From 1883 to 1890, he continued to maintain Morris and Co., and wrote some apolitical prose romances and translations, but devoted most of this period to the socialist movement. Several times each week he spoke and attended meetings. He also made strenuous propaganda tours in the North of England and Scotland; kept up a heavy political correspondence with fellow socialists; wrote socialist songs; a long
poem on the Paris Commune, a socialist play, and historical and utopian romances; defended socialist and related causes in innumerable letters to newspapers; edited and wrote weekly for the socialist newspaper *Commonweal* after 1885; and led from 1885 to 1890 one of England's two major socialist organisations, the Socialist League.

Some of the literary work of this period was among the best he ever wrote. His translations declined in number but not quality, and several of his socialist poems are excellent. *A Dream of John Ball* and *News from Nowhere* are the most well-known and admired of his prose works, and his short, pithy political commentaries in *Commonweal* helped to give the most vigorous and sophisticated leftist publication of its decade. In addition, he wrote many socialist essays from 1883-94, which he himself never published in full or in chronological order. Direct and rhythmic in cadence, they reflect the intense simplicity which Morris considered appropriate for dignified popular art.

During this period, Morris's personal example and dedication inspired and encouraged members of every faction of the left, and his decisions had a strong effect on the origins of British socialism. The influence of his political prescriptions and theoretical emphasis waned quickly after his death in 1896, and both his actions and his views have since been reassessed many times. Morris was an impressive eclecticism, and representatives of all parties have argued that he anticipated or approved their endeavours—Fabians, anarcho-communists, parliamentarians, trade unionists, art societies, all shades of gradualists and revolutionaries, ethical socialists, members of the Independent Labour Party (ILP); even, grotesquely, anti-communists. Inevitably, some of these reinterpretations have led to dubious projection and misappropriation. British socialism of the 1880s was so riven by faction and isolated that both activists and later historians have tried many times to recast the sequence of events—could and should Morris have behaved differently, could he have united an effective socialist workers' movement, were his stances politically consistent, or valid, or constructive? Morris's decisions were intelligent and tolerant, but sometimes idiosyncratic. Often they seem to reflect Morris's personal heroism, and a tendency in his view of socialism to polarise the very concrete and the very distant—immediate propaganda, and the ideal future society. In his other endeavours this 'idealism' had united in pragmatic form a strong individual creative drive and a deep perception of kinship with others; here it provided more a heroic exemplar than a pattern for imitation.

Yet is this a legitimate reproach? In the British socialist movement of the '80s, continental exile already strained against representatives of a nascent electoral politics and trade-unionism; and this divergence was probably inerent. The fragmentation of the 'anarchist', 'reformist', and 'collectivist' factions of the 'British socialist movement may have been unpreventable, and it is perhaps to Morris's credit that he successfully harmonised these still-creative tensions as long as he did, and offered moderate admonitions and qualified support in the final years of his life to several of the divergent parties.

Morris's *Socialist Diary* of 1887 is one of the most interesting writings from this period of his work. It is one of only two extended diaries of his activities which he kept in his life, each of which represents an effort to record and analyse experiences of a new phase of his work and thought. His 1871 and 1873 *Journals of Travels to Iceland* embody a commitment to Icelandic literature which inspired much of his work during the next two decades. The much briefer *Socialist Diary* was kept during a period of intense activity from January to April 1887, and until recently had never been published in its entirety. Its brevity and bluntness render it a more accessible intro-
duction to his political activities and beliefs than the editorial notes of *Commonweal*, his more expansive essays, or his massive socialist correspondence to friends and comrades during this period. Morris's tactical analyses give a shrewd but admirably disinterested view of many of the political groups of his time: Gladstonian liberalism and the Liberal Unionists, Bradlaughian radicalism, Fabianism, Hyndman's Social Democratic Federation, several varieties of anarchism, and the anti-parliamentarian and parliamentarian wings of the Socialist League. The *Diary* also records grim economic conditions, hostility of the newspapers and police, shifting responses of his audiences, and practical obstacles to his efforts at propaganda. Finally, it documents some of the movement's many achievements—its genuine intellectual creativity and cooperation under stress, and a sense of excitement and anticipation, which deepened as well as intensified its doctrinal and tactical disputes.

Like the Icelandic *Journals*, the *Socialist Diary* represents a effort to concetrate and analyse the activities of a period of transition. In early 1887 Morris had already been an active socialist for four years, working throughout this period to encourage the mass movement he knew was necessary for the achievement of socialism, and for almost two years he had struggled to unite the non-Hyndman elements of the socialist movement around a common programme in the Socialist League. The *Diary* records his understated but honest assessment of the factors which would frustrate both of these goals and eventually exclude him from active political leadership outside of the local Hammersmith Socialist Society. Written after the completion of the visionary *A Dream of John Ball*, and before the suppression of the Trafalgar Square demonstration of November 1887, the *Diary* is a good indication of Morris's reactions at the midpoint of his period of most vigorous activity. It has been claimed that the Trafalgar Square police attack darkened his view of the immediate possibilities for socialism. It certainly demonstrated the hopelessness of untrained, unarmed demonstrators when faced with military attack, but the *Diary* clearly indicates that Morris had never been sanguine about the immediate effectiveness of socialist agitation.

At an early stage Morris seems to have thought of publishing his diary; he wrote to his daughter Jenny that he had begun an account of his socialist activities which might be useful later on, 'a sort of Jonah's eye view of the whale, you know,' and early in the *Diary* he pauses several times to explain items that might not have needed clarification in a private document—at one point, for example, he notes 'for the benefit of well-to-do west-enders' the familiar pattern of police brutality toward the poor.

Why then did Morris put it aside after only three months? The obvious answer is overwork. The weekly *Commonweal* of this period was in itself a massive labour, demanding detailed narrations of meetings and political events which he would also have described in the *Diary*. When parallel accounts of similar events in the *Diary*, *Commonweal*, and letters to his daughters and other socialists became impossible, Morris probably decided that letters and journalism were commitments he could not suspend. Most immediately, the Scottish campaign distracted him from his usual schedule, and material which might otherwise have seemed more suitable for a semi-private journal was placed directly into the articles on his travels. The *Diary* as it stands may have accomplished its essential purpose of enabling Morris to analyse patterns of socialist agitation and formulate his own views more clearly, as expressed in the essays which he wrote during the year, including 'Monopoly', 'Feudal England', 'The Policy of Abstention', 'The Society of the Future', and 'The Present Outlook in Politics'. Also the tension between parliamentarians and anti-parliamentarians which preceded the 1887 conference may have seemed too factionalised for a semi-public document,
and during the next months he diverted his time to writing urgent letters to potential allies, and to private and public reformulations of his arguments against palliation, compromise, and parliamentarism.

The Diary has suffered an uneven fate at the hands of biographers, beginning with J.W. Mackail, who published long excerpts in his biography of 1899. His virulent anti-socialist bias probably led him to omit passages describing Morris's more productive campaigns in the North, and to highlight accounts of the movement's internal debates and failures. He summarises the Diary's contents in due reduction:

The extracts which follow show what immense labour he continued to spend in the service of the League, and how clearly nevertheless he saw the weakness of their machinery and the futility of the greater part of their efforts, and of his own. (vol. II p.169)

By contrast May Morris greatly respected her father's socialist writings but, as Eugene LeMire has carefully documented in his introduction to The Unpublished Lectures of William Morris (1969), was pressured by both executors and publishers to reprint as little as possible of the lesser-known works, especially the socialist writings. Perhaps in compensation, she tended to smuggle favourite portions of these writings, in confusing sequence, into her introductions to the Collected Works. In two of the four volumes in which she describes Morris's socialist activity in greatest detail — numbers 20 and 23 — she prints additional passages, and two decades later she published further excerpts in William Morris Artist Writer Socialist. Although some sense of the Diary's contents can be obtained from these fragments, their publication did little to further appreciation of its literary and political unity. E.F. Thompson's William Morris: Romantic to Revolutionary (1955; 2nd ed. 1977) cites the Socialist Diary in considerable detail, and responds sympathetically to the intensity and vigour of Morris's speaking trips to Scotland, but Thompson's own electoral Marxism may cause him to de-emphasise Morris's anti-parliamentarian associations during this period and to deprecate his commitment to labour issues. Of Morris's energetic propaganda among the striking miners, Thompson writes:

Morris appears to have failed to realize either the importance of the possibilities opened up by this foothold in the coalfields or the gravity of the defeat. (2nd ed. p.438)

In April Morris proposed to the Council a Hyde Park meeting in support of the miners' strike and the socialists' northern campaign. To him, the failed strike seemed only one of a number of signs (not least among them the general apathy of London audiences) of the desperate situation of the proletariat.

Throughout the Diary Morris reflects on obstacles to the progress of socialism. In several glances backward, he despair once again of parliamentary Liberalism, and his distaste for the Radical Clubs never wavers. His response to the tactics of the Socialist League's chief rival, H M. Hyndman's Social Democratic Federation (SDF), is more complex. With other sectarians from the SDF, he had been repelled by Hyndman's 'vanguardism'; his insistence on personal and secretive control of London and provincial branches, and his evenhanded condescension towards the two groups that inevitably comprised most members of the party, foreign emigres and members of the working class. Upon leaving the SDF Morris wrote:

[Hyndman's] aim has been to make the movement seem big, to frighten the powers that be with a turpilo bogie which perhaps he almost believes in himself; hence all that insane talk of immediate forcible revolution, when we know that the workers in England are not even touched by the movement, hence the founding of branches which melt away into mere names, the neglect of organization for fruitless agitation; and worst of all, hence discreditable intrigue and sowing of suspicion among those who are working for the party. (BL Add. MS. 45, 345, letter to Joynty, Christmas Day 1884)*

... We have formed another body, the Socialist League ... it expects single-heartedness from its members and fraternal co-operation, and ... will not suffer any absolutism amongst us. (letter to Robert Thompson, 1 January 1885, Letters, p.229)

Morris's objections to the antecedents of 'democratic centralism' were deeply rooted in conviction and temperment, and associated in his own mind with his anti-parliamentarianism, and his preference for local organisation loosely interwoven by international ties.

Quite specifically, he attacked Hyndman's threats against the government, attempts to conceal the movement's limited following, and his nationalism, as, among other things, a failure of socialist imagination as well as integrity:

Hence attacks on foreigners as foreigners or at least sprees at them: coquetting also with jingoism in various forms, all of which mean waiting about to see what can be made of the political situation, if perhaps at the best one may attain to a sort of Hymarkian State Socialism, or as near it as we can get in England. I cannot stand all this, it is not what I mean by Socialism either in aim or in means; I want a real revolution, a real change in Society; Society a great organic mass of well-regulated forces used for the bringing about a happy life for all ... the revolution cannot be a mechanical one, though the last act of it may be civil war, or it will end in reaction after all. (to Robert Thompson, 1 January 1885, Letters, p.228)

Morris is also wary of street demonstrations of the unemployed; unless they anticipate the rising of an entire class, they manipulate the misery of their participants, and provoke needless arrests.

Well, it is a mistake to try to organise riot ... yet ... Any opposition to law and order in the streets is of use to us, if the price of it is not too high ... (10 February 1886, in Arnott, p.79)

Take this for my word about this kind of thing; if a riot is quite spontaneous it does frighten the bourgeoisie even if it's but isolated; but planned riots or shows of force are not good unless in a time of action, when they are backed by the opinion of the people and are in point of fact indications of the rising tide. (16 February, Diary).

He would later argue in similar terms about 'propaganda of the deed', and sporadic appeals to popular violence, that mindless taunts to the authorities would be suicidal, if they failed to reflect a rising tide of consistent feeling.

*There is a list of the abbreviations at the end of this introduction.
Traditionally, the most difficult to understand of Morris's tactical convictions is his deep opposition to electoral politics. Even in the early days of the Socialist League (SL), his aggressive opposition to socialist parliamentary campaigns was shared by few, especially outside of London. Almost all of the Marxists associated with the Socialist League – Eleanor Marx, Edward Aveling, Engels, A.K. Donald, and E.B. Bax – were parliamentarians from the beginning, and his allies from the provinces – Bruce Glasier, John Glassie, Tom Maguire – all abandoned their anti-electoralism in later years. More to the point, the resulting dispute eventually incapacitated the SL, and led to its division in 1888. Efforts by the Socialist Union, J.L. Mahon and the North of England Federation, the Labour Emancipation League, H.H. Champion, the Labour Union, the SDF, and others to elect socialists were unsuccessful before the London County Council elections of 1891, but not as overwhelmingly as in the SDF's first campaigns of 1885 (in which the two London candidates had polled a total of 50-odd votes).

Moreover, Morris's alternatives – education of the entire working class to socialism, followed by an uprising of the workers – receded constantly from view. Morris also had similar reservations about other provisional or 'palliative' measures – co-operative stores, or campaigns for free speech in the parks – which he nevertheless supported as legitimate forms of training in propaganda and organisation. By contrast Morris's *Diary* and political letters of the period indicate that his single greatest concern may have been to prevent the League Council of 1887 from taking a parliamentary position.

Several of Morris's associates and later commentators – Fabians, members of the ILP, Marxists – have argued that Morris later changed his mind. For example, Hyndman wrote in a speech in 1895, Morris supported his parliamentary campaign and retracted his former opposition. In *William Morris and the Early Days of the Socialist Movement*, Bruce Glasier, by then a supporter of the ILP, writes that Morris expressed encouragement and approval in 1895 of gains by the Labour Party (p.134). Several later editors and historians have followed suit (eg, A.L. Morton, ed., *Political Writings of William Morris*, 1973, p.241). There is no such evidence of reconciliation with the Fabians. Robin Page Arnot records that when he asked Sidney Webb what Morris had said to him after an 1895 Hammersmith SL lecture, Webb reported Morris's parting remark: 'The world is going your way at the present, Webb, but it is not the right way in the end' (Arnot, p.108). In any case, the issue of electoral politics was the central one in Morris's relation to the League. It is important therefore to define carefully his position in 1887, ask why he defended it so heatedly, even at the cost of further active political influence, and inquire whether his later statements do represent a genuine change in view.

The most restrained version of Morris's 1887 position was that he saw several alternate forms of socialist endeavour – parliamentarism among them – but felt that the SDF was a parliamentary party, and so the SL should choose an alternate form of effort. This conception of a confederated movement with several harmonious and alternate strategies for propaganda is an attractive one, and Morris did use such grounds to urge J.L. Mahon and other SL parliamentarians to return to the SDF. A similar view appears in letters to Glassie:

...to have two organisations holding the same tenets and following the same policy seems to me absurd. (19 May 1887. Arnot, p.82)

I appeal to those who doubt the usefulness of such a body of principle at all events to stand aside and not to break it up but join other bodies now existing for whom I for my part feel complete tolerance, so long as they are not brought inside ours, (23 May 1887. Arnot, p.83).

Even here a crucial qualification enters in the determination that the SL and parliamentarians must be completely disjoint: the SL itself can contain no parliamentary wing. In all his evaluations, Morris clearly considers his educationalist-revolutionary the superior position. Parliamentarianism inevitably requires vitiating compromise, and a vigorous association of extra-parliamentary socialists must reassert the movement's original aims. This mixture of tolerance and distaste appears in his remarks to John Glassie on 23 May 1887, a month after the *Diary* ends, and shortly before the Conference on 30 May.

I believe that the Socialists will certainly send members to Parliament when they are strong enough to do so: in itself I see no harm in that, so long as it is understood that they go there as rebels, not as members of the governing body, prepared by passing palliative measures to keep 'Society' alive. But I fear that many of them will be drawn into that error by the corrupting influence of a body professedly hostile to Socialism: and therefore I dread the parliamentary period (clearly a long way ahead at present) of the progress of the party, and I think it will be necessary always to keep alive a body of Socialists of principle who will refuse responsibility for the actions of the parliamentary portion of the party...I repeat, the non-parliamentary feeling will assuredly not be repressed entirely (Arnot, pp.82-3).

More harshly, his letters and essays of the period charge that: palliative measures create active harm, by distracting people from true awareness of their degradation and servitude. For example, on 20 March 1887, he wrote Joseph Lane:

Meanwhile I believe all palliative measures like the 8 hours bill to be deforming, and so, damaging to the cause. If put forward by socialists as a part of socialism: though of course they will be put forward and carried at some time by some party; and we shall then have to take the good and the bad of them. But we should be clear that they are not our measures. I think the duty of the League is educational entirely at present; and that duty is all the more important since the SDF has entirely given up that side of things. (BL Add: MS. 45.345)

Later in the year he formulated his position more explicitly in 'The Policy of Abstention':

...I cannot help thinking that the scheme of parliament would be found in practice to stand in the way of the formation of that widespread organisation with its singleness of aim and directness of action which it seems to me is what we want; that the effort towards success in parliament will swallow up all other effort, that such success in short will come to be looked upon as the end...the organization I am thinking of would have a serious point of difference from any that could be formed as a part of a parliamentary plan of action. Its aim would be to act directly, whatever was done in it would be done by the people themselves; there would consequently be no possibility of compromise, of the association becoming
anything else than it was intended to be; nothing could take its place: before all its members would be put but one alternative to complete success, complete failure, namely. Can as much be said for any plan involving the representatives of the people forming a part of a body whose purpose is the continuous enslavement of the people? (A.W.S., vol. II p.447)

In a farewell to the SL, written three and a half years later, Morris made the point more epigrammatically:

...there are a great many who believe it possible to compel their masters by some means or another to behave better to them, and though they are prepared to compel them (by so-called peaceful means, strikes and the like), all but a very small minority are not prepared to do without masters. (Morton, ed., Political Writings, p.226)

Interwoven with Morris's anti-reformism was his deep ethical contempt for the activity of politicians: so fundamentally a matter of sordid compromise and dishonest temporary alliances did parliamentary activity seem to him. Something of this real hostility surfaces in the contexts in which he describes Hyndman and Donald as 'iniquities and politicians', and in his sharp exchange with the increasingly parliamentary J.L. Mahon, directly before and after the Conference of 1887. If the parliaments did take over the League, Morris asserts, the League itself would be destroyed.

Finally you must not forget that whatever open steps I might take, I personally would have nothing to do with politics properly so called. The whole business is so revolting to a decent quiet body with an opinion of its own, that if that were our road, I should not be able to help dropping off it. (17 May, A.M., p.66)

If the League does disappear, I shall try to get a dozen men together whom I can trust, and who have definite ideas about socialism and decline anybody who doesn't really hold these views: I will speak and write wherever I can: but I will not give one penny to support any set of people who won't come up to the test. (14 June, A.M., p.68)

Later in the year he concluded a lecture on 'The Present Outlook in Politics' with the hope that in a new society politics as we know them may be completely superseded:

It is certain that even now while we speak politics of the old kind, the shuffle of Ins and Outs, are waning away, and the new politics that are taking the place of the old mean a struggle against stupidity for the reconstruction of society on tolerable instead of intolerable bases, so that at last we may be led into the happy days when society shall be what its name means, and politics will be no more. (LeMiere, Unpublished Lectures of William Morris, p.216)

And as late as 1895, in a lecture on 'What We Have to Look For', he speaks of the immediate future as filled with

...failure and disappointment and stupidity and causeless quarrels, and in short all the miseries that go to make up the degrading game of politics. (A.W.S., vol. II p.358)

The paradox of a political movement founded on contempt for existing politics is perhaps too familiar for further comment. At least during this period Morris was actively hostile to the argument that an electoral campaign could itself be educational, and provide expression for the more theoretical formulations of the League.

One wonders what influence the character of the socialist parliamentarians of 1887 had on Morris's theoretical position. Morris felt active contempt for the motives and actions of Hyndman, Aveling, and Donald; he had no moral objections to Mahon and Champion, but indicates in the letters that he considered them misguided and changeable. He seems to have been only peripherally concerned with Engels's views, and saw Eleanor Marx as essentially an adjunct of Aveling. Bax's abstract and theoretical manner may have underest the persuasiveness of his tactical arguments, and Morris seems to have felt little active sympathy with any of the Fabians except Shaw.

By contrast Morris's letters indicate active respect for anti-parliamentarians such as Joseph Lane, and perhaps more significantly, for such anti-parliamentarian foreign refugees as Andreas Scheu and Peter Kropotkin (and perhaps to a lesser extent for Victor Dave, Henry Charles, and Sergei Stepin).

One should perhaps keep in mind that Morris's great model for revolutionary heroism was the Paris Commune. The embargo-communards' internationalism, revolutionary histories, and obvious sufferings on behalf of the cause elicited Morris's sympathy for heroic deaths and his sense of alliance in a continuing struggle. Hyndman's association of jingoism and parliamentarism seemed to suggest an opposite stance. Finally, elements of Morris's fears became reality: internationalism was submerged, mainstream socialism did become narrowly British, reformist ministers did break strikes and suppress all anarchist or syndicalist impulses.

All the same, if 'politics' were inevitably contaminated, what political means could the League use to effect the total reversal of economic relationships? For Morris the solution to this enigma lay in an alternate vision of worker organisation: he wanted a separate government by workers, as it were a Labour Parliament, which would eventually assert its alternate legitimacy to rule.

...as the approaching breakdown of the monopolist system comes closer conviction will be forced on the minds of more and more people, till at last the mere necessities of life will force the main part of the workers to join them...

The revolutionary body will find its duties divided into two parts, the maintenance of its people while things are advancing to the final struggle, and resistance to the constitutional authority, including the evasion or disregard of the arbitrary laws of the latter. Its chief weapons during this period will be co-operation and boycotting. (The Policy of Abstention, A.W.S., vol. II p.448)

Marxist views of recent revolutions, and their greater likelihood of occurrence in nations where capitalism had evolved to its most intense and self-defeating level of organisation, may have contributed to Morris's intransigence. So also may the analogy of Home Rule. If the revolutionary Irish could advocate election of their own representatives outside of Parliament, why not the proletariat? Recall also the parliament of early 1887: Conservative-dominated, with not a single working-class member independent of the Liberals, and only one maverick quasi-socialist aristocrat, R.B. Cunninghame-Graham, soon to be ostracised for his part in the Trafalgar Square
Demonstration of November 1887: In this light, Morris's impatience with 'permeation' may seem more understandable. Freed from a hereditary upper house and reactionary monarch, a truly independent Labour Parliament could make clear pronouncements, and set its own suffrage requirements (presumably universal adult suffrage). Its very existence would threaten the established government and publicize the socialist cause among the workers.

Ironically, Morris may well have been confirmed in his opposition to electoral politics by sincere, rather than verbal, commitment to revolutionary determinism and the monolithic outlines of Marx's model for the fall of capitalism. If as Marx claimed, capitalism was a self-defeating system of oppression which would exhaust itself in overproduction for increasingly scarce markets; and if, as Marx and Morris both believed, labour not only ought to be but in fact was the only source of value and wealth; then accommodation with an exploitive system would only increase the wealth of capitalists, and decrease the power of labour:

...at present when the rights of capital are admitted and all that is claimed is a proportional share in the profits, it means a kind of relief to the employers, an additional poor-rate levied from the workers... (AWS, vol. II p.443)

Or degenerate into hypocrisy:

Any other programme is misleading and dishonest; it has two faces to it, one of which says to the working man, 'This is Socialism or the beginning of it' (which it is not), and the other says to the capitalist, 'This is sham Socialism; if you can get the workers, or part of them, to accept this, it will create a new lower middle class, a buffer, to push in between Privilege and Socialism, and save you, if only for a while.' (CW, vol.XXXIII p.253, 'Monopoly')

To talk of redistributing wealth, but avoid the issue of self-determination was a mere fantasy:

Well the masters can and do reply: My friends... we know your interest better than you do yourselves, and shall resist your feeble attempts to reduce our salaries; and since we organize your labour and the market of the world which it supplies, we shall manage your wages amongst other matters. (AWS, vol. II p.443)

Morris may have been wrong. But these quotations should effectively refute the view that his position was literary-utopian or 'naive'.

Which is not to say that other motives did not reinforce his intransigence. All his life—he in his poetry, narrative fantasies, friendships, and his work for the Firm—Morris sought to promote a kind of idealised comradeship which strained against the resort to compromise for (alleged) immediate tactical gains. He was capable of sustained hard work of almost incredible intensity; but gradualism and bargain-cutting were as remote from his natural mode of action as from his imaginative efforts. In Morris's early poetry, a protagonist often holds out nobly against insuperable odds in the name of fellowship, justice, and love; in the quest-allegories of his maturity, such as the

Earthly Paradise tales, the protagonist breaks the frame of each partially completed journey and begins anew. Had Morris been content to live less the spirit of his searching, poetic protagonists, he would never have 'betrayed his class interests' and embraced revolutionary socialism in the first place. His refusal or inability to coerce others or reduce a unified vision to its more 'practical' components expressed the same anger and compassion as did his political engagement. A 'political' temperament, which might have made Morris a good parliamentarian, Fianna, or trade-unionist at 53, might also have frozen him in any number of earlier, more 'reasonable' bourgeois roles; for example: (i) as a Christian socialist at 20 (his mother had wanted him to become a bishop, and as a young man he was fond of ecclesiastical lore); or (ii) as a restorationist architect at 25 (he apprenticed in the firm of G.B. Street, the most enlightened practitioner of exactly the sort of restoration Morris later bitterly opposed); or (iii) as a Gladstonian Liberal MP at 40 (his friends' expectation).

The restless anger with which he rejected all these plausibly trimmed expressions of middle-class liberalism may have reflected an acutely heightened awareness of the nature and ease of co-optation. Morris was one of the few who debated the issue of electoralism for whom a 'successful' political career as a suitably trimmed 'maverick' would in fact have been readily available. He was always conscious of the fact that his environment clearly encouraged him to consider defections from duty, and discouraged self-sacrifice. He wrote Georgiana Burne-Jones:

Meantime what a little ruffles me is this, that if I do a little fall in my duty some of my friends will praise me for failing instead of blaming me. (31 October 1885, Letters, p.242)

To someone to whom compromise and partial success were always available, voluntary assumption of defeat to seem a test of sincerity:

We must get used to such trifles as defeats, and refuse to be discouraged by them. Indeed I am an old hand at that game, my life having been passed in being defeated; as surely as every man's must be who finds himself forced into a position a little ahead of the average in his aspirations. (letter, 15 August 1889, to Andreas Scheu, CW, vol.XX p.xlvii)

One can also invoke his class background and privilege to support quite different critical arguments about Morris's purism. Did his financial security influence his relative opposition to immediate political gains, such as the eight-hour day or increased hourly wages? He himself drew a more complex connection:

...in my position of a well-to-do man, not suffering from the disabilities which oppress a working man at every step, I feel that I might never have been drawn into the practical side of the question if an ideal had not forced me to seek towards it. For politics as politics, i.e., not regarded as a necessary if cumbersome and distasteful means to an end, would never have attracted me, nor when I had become conscious of the wrongs of society as it now is, and the oppression of poor people, could I ever have believed in the possibility of a partial setting right of those wrongs. In other words, I could never have been such a fool as to believe in the happy and 'respectable' poor. ('How I Became a Socialist', Morton, Political Writings, p.243)

Perhaps some of my desires for the new society will seem strange to you, he told an audience in 1887, but
One reason which will make some of you think it strange is a sad and shameful one. I have always belonged to the well-to-do classes, and was born into luxury, so that necessarily I ask much more of the future than many of you do; and the first of all my visions, and that which colours all my others, is of a day when that misunderstanding will no longer be possible; when the words poor and rich, though they will still be found in our dictionaries, will have lost their old meaning... (AJS, vol. II pp.455-56)

When Joseph Lane left the SE. in 1889, he criticised Morris rather sharply, and Morris responded as follows:

As to your estimate of my character, I am not going to dispute that, not even the fool part of it, indeed there is much truth in it - fool and all. You see (and I mean this in all soberness) you must make allowance for a man born and bred in the very heart of capitalism, and remember that however he may rebel against the sham society of today we are all damaged by it. (BL Add. MS. 45,345, 21 May 1889)

A suggestive analogy can be drawn with Peter Kropotkin, also favoured by birth, he had renounced professional and hereditary favours to expose the cause of the oppressed; he also distrusted reformism, envisioned ultimate realignment of society, and believed in patient education toward a mass uprising. Morris of course was not an aristocrat, but the self-employed son of a prosperous merchant. His analysis of repression was intimately anti-capitalist, but one cannot readily deduce his anti-electoralism from his middle-class origins, at least not without some auxiliary hypotheses: most of the Fabian and parliamentary Marxists from whom he differed - Engels, Hyndman, Avellan, Champion, A.K. Donald, E.B. Bax - were fellow-bourgeois, after all. True, they did not spend an early boyhood on a wooded estate. But Morris's response to this environment, however, like Kropotkin's to the beauty and complexity of nature, was his own. Asked by Wilfred Blunt whether a love of beauty was hereditary, he replied:

'As for me', he said, 'I have it naturally, for neither my father nor my mother nor any of my relatives had the least idea of it. I remember as a boy going into Canterbury Cathedral and thinking that the gates of Heaven had been opened to me - also when I first saw an illuminated manuscript. These first pleasures, which I discovered for myself, were stronger than anything else I have had in life. (BL Add. MS. 45,350, F.40)

This sense of isolation both deepened the fierceress and arduous of many of Morris's responses, and aroused an intense desire for a wider fellowship and community.

Whatever its origins, Morris's sense of detachment, fairness, and identification with any fellow workers, led to a deep contempt for politics as a combative middle-class game, or even a forum for electoral-socialist 'leadership'. Morris seldom spoke directly of the deepest motives for his acts. A long and carefully reasoned letter written soon after his conversion to socialism to an distant acquaintance, C.E. Maurice, the Christian Socialist, is a rare revelation of the insights which impelled him to socialism:

...furthermore in looking into matters social and political I have but one rule, that in thinking of the condition of any body of men I should ask myself, 'How could you bear it yourself? What would you feel if you were poor against the system under which you live?' I have always been uneasy when I had to ask myself that question, and of late years I have had to ask it so often, that I have seldom had it out of my mind: and the answer to it has more and more made me ashamed of my own position, and more and more made me feel that if I had not been born rich or well-to-do I should have found my position unendurable, and should have been a mere rebel against what would have seemed to me a system of robbery and injustice. Nothing can argue me out of this feeling...the contrasts of rich and poor are unendurable and ought not to be endured by either rich or poor. (I July 1883, Letters, p.176)

Something close to utter alienation emerges from his letters of the period:

A society which is founded on the system of compelling all well-to-do people to live on making the greatest possible profit out of the labour of others, must be wrong. For it means the perpetuation of the division of society into civilized and uncivilized classes. I am far from being an anarchist, but even anarchy is better than this, which is in fact anarchy and despotism mixed! If there is no hope of conquering this - let us eat and drink, for tomorrow we die. (September 1883, to T.C. Horsfall, Letters, p.182)

Whatever hope of life there is in me is staked on the success of the cause. I believe you object to the word: but I know no other to express what I mean. (I June 1884, to Georgiana Burne-Jones, Letters, p.200)

Parallel remarks appear in Morris's earliest and latest political lectures. He seemed to have felt the greatest desire to reflect on his emotional and intellectual motives at the beginning and end of his efforts. Since adolescence, he had written poetry whose protagonists express despair at the entrapment of sordid human environments. Gradually, and with great effort, he came to conceptualise a social equivalent (source? analogy? correlation?) for this discontent. In the 1884 lecture, 'Misery and the Way Out', Morris breaks into a more drastic declaration than his audience may have expected:

Is it so indeed? yet here I stand before you, one of the most fortunate of this happy class, so steeped in discontent, that I have no words which will express it: no words, nothing but deeds, wherever they may lead me to, even if it be ruin, prison, or a violent death. (AJS, vol. II p.156)

Seldom has such 'merely personal' and 'individual' restlessness combined more intelligently with perception of an all-penetrating social wrong. The creator of the Lament of 'King Arthur's Tomb' and Bodil of 'The Lovers of Guadrun' had found a more adequate and comprehensive plot, and one that required a different audience.

Morris was always a graceful loser, ready to acknowledge that his opponent may have acted for the better all along. For this reason, I believe, reports of a later acceptance of electoralism have been exaggerated. Hyndman and Blather may have blurted just such self-deprecating and carefully qualified nuances of his original tone. As Morris's health made active political work less possible, he became anxious that such contacts as he could make were for the encouragement of socialist unity. His
earlier position had been on the order of: palliative efforts may achieve some results, but I wish to devote myself to a more radical effort. The nuanced emphases of his public statements of the 1890s (often made to people who had made different choices) were: though I do not find these methods or goals most urgent or beneficial, the achievement of limited reforms may be useful in preparing us for the (greatly more desirable) next stage of socialism (for which I had chiefly hoped to work).

Even then, tensions remain between his desires to praise, and to give warning that immediate goals must serve long-term ones. On 10 March 1893, Morris delivered a lecture to the Hammersmith Socialist Society on 'Communism', passages from which are sometimes cited as an example of his shift in opinions about tactics as well as ultimate aims. Again Morris freely admits the uncontroversial: that if reforms can encourage the strength of labourers' desire for equality and cooperation, they will achieve real good:

... if the sum of them should become vast and deep reaching enough to give to the useful or working-classes intelligence enough to conceive of a life of equality and cooperation; courage enough to accept it and to bring the necessary skill to bear on working for it; and power enough to force its acceptance on the stupid and the interested, the war of classes would speedily end in the victory of the useful class, which would then become the new Society of Equality. (Political Writings, p.229)

Notice however the many conjuncts to his hypothesis. After he has acquitted himself of these, and other, heavily qualified, endorsements, he returns to his familiar warning:

For the Social-democratic measures above mentioned are all of them either makeshift alleviations to help us through the present days of oppression, or means for leading us to the new country of equality. And there is a danger that they will be looked upon as ends in themselves. (Political Writings, pp.233-34)

Morris did not really reverse himself about reformism-as-or-opted-revolution; he suspended the debate. Perhaps he simply decided at the end of his life that reformism was an inevitable evil, and that he would have to plead his case in more conciliatory terms.

May Morris cites similarly reluctant passages from another partially recorded lecture on 'Communism' of the same period, as evidence of her father’s changed view:

I confess I am no great lover of political tactics; the sordid squabbles of an election is unpleasant enough for a straightforward man to deal in: yet I cannot fail to see that it is necessary somehow to get hold of the machine which has at its back the executive powers of the country, however that may be done... (AWS, vol. II p.330)

Even in the 1887 letters to Glasse and Glasier, Morris had accepted that parliamentarianism was an inevitable obstruction to be mastered or overcome; '... however that may be done'. What he rejected was the proposition that this was a method sufficiently radical to serve as a programme for socialists. In one of his last published public addresses, made in 1894 to the Ancoats Fellowship of Manchester, he has nothing but praise for Robert Blatchford, the Clarion, and other 'sturdy labourers' for socialism, but the goodwill of such a parting gesture does not completely obscure more characteristic admonitions against half-measures:

Let us... take care that our present struggle leaves behind it no class distinction, but brings about one condition of equality for all, which condition of society is the only one which can draw out to the full the varying capacities of the citizens and make the most of the knowledge and skill of mankind, the gain of so many ages, and thus do away for ever with make-shift. (AWS, vol. II p.483)

His lecture on 'Communism' ends with a plea:

... since it is just these means in which the difficulty lies, I appeal to all socialists, while they express their feelings about them honestly and fearlessly, not to make a quarrel of it with those whose aim is one with theirs, because there is a difference of opinion between them about the usefulness of the details of the means... So let us forgive the mistakes that others make, even if we make none ourselves, and be at peace amongst ourselves, that we may better make war upon the monopolist. (Political Writings, pp.239-40)

Differences remain, but we must not crumble ourselves in self-destructive quarrels over them. I see no evidence in such passages that Morris ever asserted that, were he again active, these were methods he himself would use.

But this, after all, was the essential distinction, discussed above, which he expressed in his earlier letters to Mahon, Glasse, and Glasier before the split of 1888. As he became physically more remote from the labour movement in the mid-1890s, Morris's exhortations to socialist unity became somewhat more urgent; but this was a shift of emphasis, not a change of attitude; even in the 1880s, friends and fellow socialists such as Schou and Lane had criticised him as too willing to be put upon, too pacific and conciliatory.

As early as 1884, Morris embodied the fundamental view to which he continued to adhere in a cogent brief fable, which he published in Justice (19 January, AWS, vol. II pp.114-16). The poulty of an entire country meet in solemn assembly (a parliament of fowles), and discuss the basic question of their condition, 'With what sauce shall we be eaten?' After hours of energetic speeches, one ragged 'battered looking and middle-aged barn-door cock' rises and blunts out in a trembling, shrieking voice, 'In short, I don't want to be eaten at all: is it pos-? - but he is cut off by the others' cries of practical politics, municipal franchise, and so forth. The old cock withdraws, and the concave pages a resolution, to be sent to the farmer's wife, which embodies their decision that 'while there were doubts as to the sauce to be used in the serving up, slow stewing was settled on as the least revolutionary form of cookery'. The tale's moral is an exhortation: 'Citizens, pray draw it for yourselves'. Once the moral was drawn, I believe, the 'middle-aged barn-door cock' remained true to it to the last.

* * * * 

Throughout his life, Morris's mind had renewed itself in interlocking cycles of creative effort and frustration. In each such cycle, he devoted arduous effort and massive attention to detail, confronted what he interpreted as failure or defeat, then turned with renewed intensity toward new, often larger, activities and endeavours. These enlarging cycles were subject to a kind of dialectic, in which aspects of each stage were re-expressed in later, modified forms. Periods in which more concrete or pragmatic aspects were dominant (creation of the Firm; Icelandic trips; formation of the Society
for the Protection of Ancient Buildings; the political activity of the '80s; complemented and alternated with other periods of abstraction or introspection, in which he created highly intense and allegorical poetry, and the abstractions of his designs. Had this pattern continued, and Morris's health not deteriorated, he would have undertaken another cycle of social or political effort in the late 1890s. Who can guess what form this might have taken?

This first scene of Morris's utopian romance News from Nowhere, could be directly from the Socialist Diary. The overwrought narrator leaves a faction-ridden meeting of the Socialist League and enters a carriage of the underground, "that vapour bath of hurried and discontented humanity". Self-reproachful because he cannot envision a new society, he thinks, "If I could but see a day of it... If I could but see it!" (CW, vol.XVI p.4). News from Nowhere can be read as a kind of infinite projection of these cyclical efforts to "see it", and the Diary as a record of Morris's efforts to carry out Ellen's admonition to Guest, at the end of News from Nowhere.

Go back again, now you have seen us, and your outward eyes have learned that in spite of all the infallible maxims of your day there is yet a time of rest in store for the world, when mastery has changed into fellowship—not but before... Go on... striving, with whatsoever pain and labour needs must, to build up little by little the newday of fellowship, and rest, and happiness. (CW, vol.XVI pp.210-11)

Morris's descriptions of a future society represent the contemplative aspect of his creative middle-age, and the Diary its active counterpart, the struggle to create fellowship and happiness in a real world.
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I begin what may be called my diary from this point January 25th, 1877.

I went down to lecture at Merton Abbey last Sunday: the little room was pretty full of men mostly of the labourer class, anything attacking the upper classes directly
moved their enthusiasm; of their discontent there could be no doubt or the sincerity of their class hatred: they have been very badly off here this winter, and there is little to wonder at in their discontent, but with a few exceptions they have not yet learned what Socialism means; they and Frank Kitz were much excited about the Norwich affair, and he made a very hot speech: he was much exercised about the police being all about the place, detectives inside and so on: I fancy their game is to try to catch the club serving non-members with beer or in some way breaking the law. But there is no doubt that there is a good deal of stir amongst the labourers about there; the place is wretchedly poor.

I slept at Merton, and in the morning got the Norwich paper with a full account of the trial of Mowbray and Henderson, the judge’s summing up of the case was amusing and instructive, as showing a sort of survival of the old sort of bullying of the Castlecragh times mixed with a grotesque attempt at modernisation on philanthropical lines; it put me in a great rage. The Daily News printed my letter, it had also a brief paragraph ascertaining that,

2 Since it was largely tied to the precarious block-printing industry, the economy of the Merton area was heavily vulnerable to one of the severest depressions of the second half of the century, the Great Depression of 1886; this continued through the winter of 1886-87, began to recede slightly later in the year, and had finally lifted by 1890.

3 On Friday 14 January, during a visit to Norwich, London, SL members Charles Mowbray and Fred Henderson were arrested, after a crowd of unemployed to whom they had delivered speeches smashed windows en route to the Guildhall to demand relief. Henderson was sentenced to four months in prison, and Mowbray married the father of five children, received nine months. On 23 January, Kitz, M., and the Merton socialists would have just heard the news of their indictment at the previous day’s assizes.

4 The Daily News of Kitz’s combative temperament may be reflected in the tone of the report he wrote for the 5 February CW p.48, on events of the preceding fortnight: It will be a cold day for those who prefer our vitals if we ever serve them as they serve us... we can assure the humbugs and parasites of this neighbourhood that their domination of cant will be strenuously attacked, and will be in danger of being destroyed.

5 The Merton Abbey Branch met at a workmen’s Club at 11 Merton Terrace, High Street; customarily such clubs were licensed to sell alcoholic beverages only to members.

6 Probably M obtained the Norwich Saturday papers on Monday 24 January: both The Norfolk News and Eastern Evening News for that day record the Judge’s speech in great detail (NWN, p.4 col.1; EEN p.4 col.2-3); the Eastern Evening News’ summary is about 2,000 words. The Judge’s self-righteous tone explains M’s reference to a modernised Castlecragh: there was no town where the working class were more cared for than Norwich. He was happy to know that in this country there was no reason why anyone should starve... In most large towns there were always a certain number of losers who would rather be idling on a very small pitance than be starving on handwork... Now he hoped that the working men of Norwich would take warning from that which had happened.

7 M included a rather vitriolic note on Justice Grantham in the ‘Notes on Passing Events’ for the 29 January CW.

8 With a circulation of 150,000 by 1870, The Daily News was London’s chief Liberal newspaper and provided more information on London events than The Times. It was M’s favourite newspaper. Its emotional, editorialising tone brought out responsive traits in his character, and he engaged in a daily struggle with its contents. Although of course he disapproved of its hostility to Socialism, he tended to accept its interpretation of predictions of parliamentary and foreign events.


10 The brief paragraph stating that Germany will ask France the meaning of war preparations appeared on p.5 col.3, under the title, ‘Germany and France/War Impending’, and the alarmist article is p.4 editorial, cols.7-8, ‘Peace or War’.

11 This is characteristic of a general apocalyptic optimism in M’s interpretation of contemporary events during this period. In his hope that a general strike of 1890 would bring about in a few years, in conjunction with the Austrian conflict would lead the socialists, he resembled Prince Kropotkin, with whom he shared many speaking platforms and conversations during this period.

12 The Standard, a conservative morning paper, was already angry at its more successful competitor in the lead, although it did not cite the Daily News by name, on p.5 col.4 its correspondent summarised: The responsibility for the war scare which has prevailed for the last two days... rests, according to the leading Vienna paper, with the English Press alone. It is to the London papers, we are told, which are incessantly insisting France and Germany against each other... that the panic and depression on the Exchange are due. By contrast, the chief evening paper, the Pall Mall Gazette, was reasonably laconic. Under the heading, ‘Is War Impending Between France and Germany’, p.6, it cited the Daily News comments, and added in its news summaries on the same page, ‘As Home and Abroad’, the non-commital statement: The Daily News gives prominence to a startling rumour that there is imminent risk of almost immediate war between France and Germany.


14 As leaders of the League’s parliamentary faction, the Avelings were Morris’s chief opponents in League affairs. He may have disliked them, in a letter probably written in 1887 (Houghton Autograph file, dated 16 June, to ‘my dear Charles’, probably Henry Charles), he noted that even if the rival group took control, it would be ‘hardly the lackeys Akveld and Mrs Eakmur who are not treasures for any association’. In January 1887 Aveling was ‘lackeys’ once in their American tour of September-December 1886, he had created more than $2,000 of debts for the American SDF, and the London Daily Telegraph of 1 January and Evening Standard of 13 January had reported the news at home. In January the Avelings gave lecture comparing the conditions of the British and American working classes; eg, CW records one by each given 26 January (29 January, p.38; 5 February, p.47).

15 Throughout the period of the Diary, the committee of Joseph Lane, Henry Charles, and H. A. Barker reported contributions in CW; by March they had collected £25. Contributions by Mr Thomas were recorded in the issues of 12 and 19 February.

16 Probably a false report, since neither the Norwich papers, the London papers, nor CW mention such a large meeting or a petition on the matter. The 29 January CW reports no information from the Norwich Branch, and the report for 5 February merely mentions that five well

Germany would presently ask France the meaning of her war-preparations, and an alarmist article thereof. I did not know but what the other papers had the same news, and was much excited at the idea: because whatever one may say, one cannot help hoping that such a huge turmoil as a European war could not fail to turn to some advantage for us. Coming to town however I found that the evening papers pooh-pooh it as a mere hurrying up of the belated Daily News. Yet there may be something in it.

At the Council of the Socialist League in the evening: the Avelings* there mightily civil, but took no part in the proceedings. A dullish meeting, both sides rather shy of the Norwich matter, which but for the heaviness of the sentences would be but a pitiful affair; a committee was appointed to see after Mowbray’s wife and children while he is... a letter came from Norwich with the news of their having held a great meeting of 6000 in the market place on Sunday where they passed resolutions condemning the sentence, and in favour of the Socialist Revolution: though I fear few indeed out of the 6000 knew what that meant. They were getting up a petition to the Home Secretary.*
Our attempt to get up an Irish meeting of the Radicals led by the Socialists will fail: we are not big enough for the job: the Radical Clubs are civil to us but afraid of us and not yet prepared to break with the Liberals. Donald proposed to accept the challenge thrown out by Bradlaugh to the Socialists to debate with him; Donald's proposal included a paper debate of six articles, three on each side, to be carried on in the Commonweal, or Bradlaugh in Commonweal, and our champion in the National Reformer. The whole meeting in spirits at the idea: but surely Bradlaugh is too old a cat to drag that straw. More by token Andreas Scheu was chosen as the oral debater and Bach as the literary: everyone relished the idea of seeing Scheu and Bradlaugh face to face; both of them so combative and domineering: that with the addition that Scheu would be sure to get the best of C[harles] [Bradlaugh] quite put us in spirits: but of course Bradlaugh will find some way of escape.

This and my latest Daily News still sticks to its guns: but I am inclined to think it was a canard bred out of the great probability of the thing.

26 January: Went to [South Kensington] [Museum] yesterday with Jenny to look at the Troy tapestry again since they have bought it for £1250.

attended meetings were held throughout the city the preceding Sunday (30 January, which would have been after M's entry), then adds the assertion: 'We are not daunted because our comrades Mowbray and Henderson are in Norwich Castle, but intend to work on all the more'.

Surely a successful mass protest meeting would have inspired comment.

Earlier in the month, Charles Bradlaugh had begun a lecture series at the 'Hall of Science' entitled 'Socialism, its Fallacies and Dangers'. M is referring to Bradlaugh's statement on the first page of the National Reformer of 3 January:

Complaints have been made that there is not sufficient opportunity for discussion at the Hall of Science, and the petitioners, asked by S. Cole, A Supplementary Descriptive Catalogue of Tapestry, Woven and Embroidered Egyptian Textiles, Acquired for the South Kensington Museum between 1886 and June 1890, London 1891.

M frequently deprecated classical literature in general terms, but those comments from the author of The Life and Death of Janon, twelve classical Earthly Paradise tales, and translations and commentaries of Homer and Virgil, should not be taken too literally, within the week he was working on his Odyssey at Rotterdam; see entry for 3 February in an 1885 list of his 34 favourite books solicited by the editor of the Pull Mall Gazette, he includes three Latin and six Greek titles, and adds grudgingly, 'Of course I admit the archaeological value of some of them, especially Virgil and Ovid'. His comments on the 'classical' should be read as a defense of romantic values in art and rejection of nineteenth-century academic preference for ancient and classical over medieval European culture.

25 On King Street.

26 George Waddington was commissioned by the Society for the Protection of Ancient Buildings (see footnote 28) to visit Venice to investigate the restorations at St Mark's (see footnote 27). A report, signed by him and Professor Middleton and dated 24 May 1887, appeared in the 1888 SPA Annual Report, pp. 61-69.

27 Ruskin's Stones of Venice, 1851-53, had made it clear that the most admired of British artists and intellectuals. At a protest meeting held in the Sheldonian Theatre in November 1879, George Street, the prominent architect for whom M had once worked, proposed a resolution against any alterations, and described St Mark's as the most exquisite piece of colour and architecture in Europe, and M gave a supporting speech. Despite an extended campaign to persuade the Italian government to curtail restoration, the government did 'finish it all there'.

28 In 1877 M founded 'anti-scarf'. The Society for the Protection of Ancient Buildings, still in existence; a copy of G.F. Watts' portrait of M painted by Henry Holiday hangs over the library mansard of its headquarters in 55 Great Ormond Street, WC1. At the time, M still served as one of its honorary secretaries, and the Diary may underplay the organizing work which this required during the period.

think that properly speaking it was bought for me, since scarcely anybody will care a damn for it. A. Cole showed us a lot of scraps of woven stuff from the tombs of Upper Egypt; very curious as showing in an unusual material the transition to the pure Byzantine style from the Classical: some pieces being nothing but debased Classical style, others purely Byzantine, yet I think not much different in date: the contrast between the bald ugliness of the Classical pieces and the great beauty of the Byzantine was a pleasing thing to me, who loathe so all Classical art and literature. I spoke in the evening at the Hammersmith Radical club at a meeting to condemn the Glascow evictions. The room crowded, and of course our Socialist friends there, my speech was well received, but I thought the applause rather hollow as the really radical part of the audience had clearly no ideas beyond the ordinary party shibboleths, and were quite untouched by Socialism: they seemed to me a very discouraging set of men; but perhaps can be got at somehow. The frightful ignorance and want of impressiveness of the average English workman floors me at times. 27. I went to Merton yesterday on a lovely day. Wardle told me the whole story of what they are doing and are going to do at St. Mark's at Venice. I was incoherent with rage: they will soon finish up the whole thing there — and indeed everywhere else. I suppose the "anti-scarf" will make one last stand for it; but few archeologists, and
I archaeologists cannot resist civilization (be damned to it!); nor are the 'Italians' (the bourgeoisie of course) much worse than other people; though I think as to matters of history [plus?] art, they must divide the prize with the Germans; both French and English being a trifle better.

Parliament is to meet today: that is of no much importance to 'we-uns.' It is a matter of course that if the Government venture to bring forward a gagging bill, they will not venture to make it anything but an Irish one. For my part I should rather like the Liberals to get in again; for if they do, they must either push the revolution by furthering Irish matters, which will be a direct gain to us; or they must sneer out of the Irish question which would be an indirect gain to us, but a far greater one, as it would turn all that is democratic sick of them. It seems that they by no means want to get in, and I don't wonder, considering that dilemma.

News this morning that Goschen has lost Liverpool; the Daily News of course in high spirits, and since Goschen won't like it of course I do. Probably it will somewhat damage the Tories, and also serve as a show to Chamberlain to make some sort of terms with the Gladstonites: it all looks very like a compromise and the Liberals coming in. It is curious to see how equally the parties are balanced in the electorate, by the way: and this again is hopeful for us, because it will force the Liberals to be less and less democratic, and so consolidate the Party of Reaction.

February 3rd. Went down to Rotterdam on Friday 28th and spent three or four days there: was very glad to leave the newspapers alone while there: old Homer and an article for Commonweal, which last was weak, long and no use. Got a surprise on Monday by hearing that Jane and Jenny are going up to Rome with the Howards. I was very loth to come back though as for Holidays, 'tis a mistake to consider the rest; I was excited and eager always; at any rate during a short holiday, and I don't know what a long one means. The ordinary drift of a busy man is much less exciting than these sorts of holidays.

They have got at their parliamentary twaddle fairly this time. Everybody all agog about Randolph Churchill's speech and his hard hits at the Liberal Unionists of the Tories. The whole debate dullest even than usual, and quite beneath notice of any kind. The day before yesterday the Standard had a very alarming article on the war scare: I suppose it really is coming. That evening I took the chair at a debate between Annie Besant and Foster; she was fairly good, though too Bradlaughian in manner.

37 M's translation of the Odyssey, published in April and November 1887, is described by Geoffrey Reddihough in 'William Morris's Translation of the Odyssey', Journal of English and Germanic Philology, vol. 40 (1941) pp. 558-61. Reddihough criticizes M's type of saga mannerisms and other archaisms, but notes that 'actual blunders are remarkably few, if we consider the translation as the hurried spare-time work of an unusually busy man,' and remarks 'an odd tendency to bring out the ancient meaning of a word . . .'

38 It is possible but unlikely that M is referring to the 14th century of his joint work with Bus, The Romance of the Rosary, which appeared as 'The Translation from the Latin to Modern English in the CW of 5 February, the uneven and pedantic style of the chapter suggests Bus rather than M. More probably M alludes to an early draft for an article which appeared 19 February.

39 M may be intentionally loccally ironic here. Jane Morris's trips abroad for health and companionship were expensive. In the 1870s they had been a serious financial burden, although by now she could afford them more easily. Moreover his family's absence may have left him somewhat lonely. M's letters indicate that he missed his daughter Jenny during her absences. The Morrises hoped the trip would benefit Jenny as well as Jane's health. 25-year-old May remained with her father, and recorded her frequent activities for the SL; she served as a League librarian and contributed occasional literary notices and French and Italian book summaries to CW. Letters preserved at the AISH indicate that she also helped her father with SL correspondences.

40 Despite disclaimers, recent political events had frustrated him; see his outburst in the CW's introductory 'Notes on News' for 5 February, responding to Chamberlain's appeal to constituents to show gratitude for past services:

Gratitude to traitors and turncoats!

Sham sentiment of the nineteenth century, you do indeed get into curious corners when politicians deal with you! . . . the rule now is that when a man has got a reputation as a leader he may indulge himself in almost any shabbiness and sneaking ways . . . always so long as he brazen it out, and keeps himself well before the public—advertises himself, in fact.

41 Since Randolph Churchill had just resigned as Chancellor of the Exchequer (his replacement by Goschen had led to the Liverpool by-election) and House leader of the Conservative party, it is not surprising that mowing speech of 31 January (reprinted in The Times, 1 February, pp. 6 and 7) elicited interest. He attacked his party for relying on a possibly fickle alliance with the Liberal Unionists and their House of Lords leader, Lord Hartington. Threatening them with future loss of power, he exhorted them instead to rely on 'good government' and insisted their new allies 'I frankly admit that I regarded the Liberal Unionists as a useful kind of crutch'.

42 In contrast to its disapproval of a similar reaction the previous week, by the Daily News, on 31 January the Standard's article, 'The Peace of Europe' (p. 5 col. 4 and 6) asserted, 'The European situation is still regarded as most critical, there being a general apprehension that war between Germany and France within a very short time is almost certain.'

This was a four-week debate, 2, 9, and 23 February, between Annie Besant and George Foote at the Hall of Science, 142 Old Street, City Road, EC, on the question, 'Is Socialism Sound?' Morris chaired the first session, Shaw the third, and revised texts of both debates were

24 History Workshop Journal.

25 William Morris's Socialist Diary.
she has advanced somewhat in her Socialism. Foote was nothing special; the ordinary well-practiced sectarian speaker. It seems he is in a land-nationalizer, which I didn’t know. The audience (naturally, as it was in the Socialists’ own ground) was about two-thirds anti-socialist.

February 7th (Monday). On Friday I went up to the Chiswick Club, where Mordhurst (one of our Hammersmith Branch) was to have opened a debate on the class-war, but as he didn’t turn up, I was called on to take his place: the room was not large; about twenty people there at first; swelling to forty perhaps before the end: the kind of men composing the audience is a matter worth noting, since the chief purpose of this diary is to record my impressions on the Socialist movement. I should say then that the speakers were all either of the better-to-do workmen or small tradesmen class: except Gordon Hogg who is a doctor and is trying to push himself forward so as to get himself into parliament on the democratic side; he seems to have a Socialist in his veins in him. My Socialism was greatly listened to by the audience but taken with no enthusiasm; and in fact however simply one puts the case for Socialism one always rather puzzles an audience: the speakers, except Hogg and a young timid member of our branch, were modified to the last degree; but clearly the most intelligent men did not speak: the debate was adjourned till next Friday, but I was allowed a short reply in which we warmed them up somehow: this description of an audience may be taken for almost any other at a Radical Club, mutatis mutandis. The sum of it all is that the men at present can’t listen respectfully to Socialism, and are perfectly supine and not the least inclined to move except along the lines of radicalism and trade Unification. I ought to have noted that, on the day that Parliament met, a young and new M.P., Cunningham Graham by name, called on me by appointment to pump me on the subject of Socialism, and we had an agreeable talk. A bright sort of young man; the other day he made his maiden speech and produced quite an impression by its brilliancy and socialistic hints. His opinion of Chamberlain by the way is that of others who are engaged in party politics, to wit that he is a self-seeker pure and simple and that he sect of his is a traitor and is doomed out of sheer spite against Gladstone.

Yesterday Sunday we began our open-air meetings at Beadon Road.47 near the Broadway there. I spoke alone for about an hour, and a very fair audience (for the place which is out of the [way]) gathered curiously quickly; a comrade counted a hundred at most. This audience characteristic of small open air meetings also quite mixed, from labourers on their Sunday lounge to respectable people coming from church: the latter inclined to grin: the working men listening attentively trying to understand, but mostly failing to do so: a fair cheer when I ended, of course led by the three or four branch members present. The meeting in the evening poor. Hyndman at the Chiswick Club.48 I saw Jane and Jenny off to Rome on Saturday:29 this morning they had their long arrival at Paris.

February 12th. I have been on League business every night this week till tonight. Monday the Council meeting:28 pleasant enough and dull: G.B. Shaw was proposed and accepted as our champion against Bradlaugh;29 there was talk of the Norwich defense fund and the Commune Celebration;30 also election of three new members to the 47 In his identification with the oppressed. R.B. Cunningham-Graham was unique in the Parliament of the day.

48 Outdoor Hammersmith Branch SL station, northwest of the Hammersmith underground station was map of Hammersmith in 1887. Once Beadon Road doesn’t appear on London ordnance maps for 1878, it may have been built shortly before; London survey records indicate that it was named in 1880. The Hammersmith Branch changed the location of its outdoor stations several times in an effort to maintain an audience, the Hammersmith Socialist Society Minutes record earlier attempts on King Street west of the south of Hammersmith Bridge, and the selection on 4 April 1886 of a site in Beadon Road at the back of the Liberal Club.

49 Hyndman’s talk is not mentioned in Cw. The 5 February Justice announced that on the 6th at 8 pm he would speak at the Chiswick Club on ‘The Causes of Social Revolution’, although for some reason the 12 February Justice omitted a report on the event.

50 M’s letters to his daughter and wife during their absence are preserved in BL Add. MS. 45,339 (Jenny) and BL Add. MS. 45,338 (Jane). They seem to have returned on 16 May, for in a letter to Joseph Lane of that date M states that his wife and Jenny are returning home that evening (BL 46,345), and M mentions their recent arrival in a letter to his mother dated 24 May (William Morris Gallery). On 3 June Jane Morris wrote Rosalind Howard that there is no doubt that Jenny has benefited in every way, her father is delighted with change in her, she is more like her old self but the change has been ever since her illness began 11 years ago ( Autograph letter, Howard Castle Archive).

51 Committee meetings were held at the League’s offices at 13 Farringdon Road, EC.

52 G.B. Shaw’s correspondence with the League secretary Henry A. Barker appears in his Collected Letters: 1874-1897, London 1965, pp. 164-66: Shaw seems to have felt concern over his role in the proposed contest:

53 The celebration of the anniversary of the Paris Commune was an important annual socialist event, held in 1887 on 17 March at South Place Chapel. In his Cw article of 19 March, ‘Why we Celebrate the Commune of Paris’, M expressed his characteristic emphasis on creating achievement from failure:

I have heard it said, and by good Socialists too, that it is a mistake to commemorate a defeat of the Commune of Paris is but one link in the struggle which has gone through all the history of the oppressed against the oppressors; and without all the defeats of past times we should now have no hope of the final victory.
Tuesday I took the chair at the meeting to protest against the (possible) coming war at Cleveland Hall, Cleveland Street. It was a wretched place once fine and now sordid. In a narrow street. It is the headquarters of what I should call the orthodoxy. Anarchists: Victor Dave, the leader, is there. There were many of them, and also a good sprinkling of people from outside. The foreign speakers were mostly of the ‘orthodox Anarchists’, but a collectivist also spoke, and one at least of the Autonomists who have some quarrel which I can’t understand with the Cleveland Hall people. A Federation man spoke though he was not a delegate; also Macdonald of the Socialist Union. The Fabians declined to send on the grounds of the war-scare being premature; but probably in reality because they did not want to mix up too much with the Anarchists. The Kropotkin–Wilson people also refused on the grounds that Bourgeois peace is a war, which no doubt was a genuine reason on their part and is true enough; but of course the meeting was meant to be a revolt against the Bourgeois whether in peace or war, and also to keep alive the idea of a revolt against the Bourgeois and against the world if the war did happen.

This same Tuesday the SDF had announced a meeting on Clerkenwell Green and a war-scene being premature; but probably in reality because they did not want to mix up too much with the Anarchists: The Kropotkin–Wilson people also refused on the grounds that Bourgeois peace is a war, which no doubt was a genuine reason on their part and is true enough; but of course the meeting was meant to be a revolt against the Bourgeois whether in peace or war, and also to keep alive the idea of a revolt against the Bourgeois and Absolutist armies if the war did happen.

Since in the 1880s almost every group which strongly disliked the current government defined itself as ‘socialist’, it was inevitable that real political opposition would appear between the Fabian, who was moving into advocates of a strong state-planned centralised economy, and the anarchists, who advocated decentralisation, various degrees of mutualism, and the withering away of institutionalised government. For example, note the Fabian G.B. Shaw’s letter to Henry Baker’s of the month in which he brands himself to maintain against Bradlaugh only:

That it is advisable to abandon the principle of individualism for that of socialism; and that this change of policy can be made effective only by complete resumption of the land, a transfer of the existing capital of the country from its present holders to the state.

It is ‘individualism’, not class antagonism, oppression of workers, or denial of self-determination, which is the central focus of his attack (Collected Letters, p.160).

In October 1886 Peter Kropotkin and Charlotte Wilson had begun an anarchist journal, Friends of the Free Anarchist, and its supporters were the Freedom Group, with headquarters at 34 Bourgeois Street. E.C. Quill (p.57) states that although anti-parliamentarian, it shared with the Fabians an exclusiveness, middle-class constituency, and desire to preserve and organise other groups. Freedom was printed at the Cw offices (27 Farringdon Road, E.C.), was distributed alongside with their publications at St. Martin’s branch meetings; the Freedom Group maintained some membership in common with the Fabians (Quill, p.59). The Socialist Anarchist David Nisholl wrote in Cw 16 years later that:

neither Kitz, Mowbray, or I were particularly friendly to the Freedom Group. We looked upon them as a collection of middle-class faddists, who took up with the movement as an amusement, and regretted that Kropotkin and other ‘serious’ people had ever anything to do with them. (October 1903, Quill, p.59)

In early 1887 Kropotkin apparently believed in the inevitability of immediate war (Woodcock, p.33), though he is apparently in favour of a temporary revolutionising. His general position, as expressed for example in Memoirs of a Revolutionary, London, Swan Sonnenschein, 1906, pp.167, 252, 270-72, and 466-67, did not diverge greatly from views advanced here in the Cw article quoted in note 63 below, and in other expressions of his views on social revolution.

Morris viewed war as destructive of foreign and British working-class interests, and during his lifetime he advocated British involvement in a war. Early in his political career he wrote in an 1877 placard on the Turkish question (114), WM Gillard addressed ‘To the workingmen of England’:

There is danger of war; be not yourselfs to face that danger. . . . For a hard matter it will be for most of you, for food, for houses, for war, for losses of wealth, and for friends and kindred; we shall pay heavily, and you, friends of the working classes, will pay the heaviest.

In News from Nowhere the advent of socialism is accompanied by limited civil war, but there is no massive European struggle. M’s contempt for British imperialism and militarism is also apparent in News from Nowhere’s description of the anti-socialist government’s general, who ‘had won a sort of reputation in the disgraceful wars in which his country had been engaged from time to time’ (Cw, vol. XVII, chap.17, p.114). In his response to a recent London Peace Congress, in ‘Notes on News’, Cw, 26 July 1890 (p.235), M wrote that this violent war of modern times was one of the principal capital punishments, as banking is, and can no more he dispensed with that than . . . . Even at peace, the European nations would maintain standing armies. ‘To keep down the People! Those only are really seeking peace who are seeking equality first’. 
torches westward in commemoration of last year's riot; a stupid thing to do unless they really had strength and resolution to make a big row, which they knew they have not got. Of course Sir Charles Warren proclaimed the procession; so the leaders drew back but the rank and file determined to hold the meeting and the procession. But the meeting was as good as nothing; the police simply stopped the procession, and a very small bit of window-breaking was all that happened.

Of course the papers made the most of it next morning and on Thursday was an elaborate account in the Daily News of the seizure of a butcher's shop, an incident of which all the papers had an account more or less. But on Friday comes a note in the papers from Warren contradicting the whole story, which contradiction, by the way, some of our people confirmed. This was too good a joke to miss especially as all the papers printed Warren's contradiction as small as they durst and did not give one word of excuse: they say the Daily News did not even chaff the Daily News on its blunder.

* The butcher's shop was shuttered when the mob went by: they didn't stop there and he fired off his pistol twenty minutes after they had passed on: so Sir Charles Warren says.

On 8 February 1886, at a demonstration in Trafalgar Square, SDF speakers persuaded the crowd to move to Hyde Park, and errate members of the crowd smashed windows and looted. The incident intensified police surveillance at socialist meetings and led to the arrest of some SDF members, Hyndman, Champion, Burns, and Williams, for whom M and Bux offered bail. After a trial which the prisoners described as impartial (Justice, 17 April 1886), they were acquitted. Since the publicity surrounding the riots had stressed the possibility of revolution, the attempt to rerun the event would have created an expectation of escalated violence. The 5 February Cw discreetly avoided announcing the mass meeting, although once it had occurred, the 12 February issue expressed solidarity with those arrested (p.55).

65 The use of 'procant' in this context seems to have been fairly recent; definition 2e of the OED reads 'To place (a district, country, etc.) under legal restrictions by proclamation; spec. under the provisions of the various Peace Preservation (Ireland) Acts of 1881 and following years;' and it noted mean 2f appears here, 'To denounce or prohibit by proclamation; to forbid publicly or openly'. The two examples of usage cited are c. 1885 and 1887, both from the press. In its 12 February capsule summary of the meeting, p.55, Cw still placed 'proclaimed' in quotation marks.

66 Interestingly there were three Daily News accounts, the first the most accurate. On Wednesday 9 February, p.6 col.4, an article 'The Socialist Meeting' declared that the meeting had disbanded quietly, and reported without comment a report by 'The Central News' of a butcher's firing on the crowd. The Daily News second account appeared on 10 February, p.3 col.3, under the title 'The Socialist Demonstration in Clerkenwell', and is inserted in the Diary, p.12. Five long paragraphs describe the mob's theft of £25 of meat, Mr Geering the butcher's firing of a pistol, spirited conversation between himself and the 'rabble', and his final defiance of his premises with revolvers and an anticipated dagger. The tone now patronizes the much-abused but valiant British shopkeeper. Still a third account, from the 'Press Association', follows directly below in smaller type; this describes the smashing of windows in the shop of Mr Veeing the butcher (note the different spelling and others), omits mention of the butcher's firing on the mob, and assesses the total damage from window smashing as under £100.

67 Under the title 'The Disturbances at Clerkenwell' (p.8 col.3), The Times for 10 February reported the Daily News' account of 9 February almost verbatim. No wonder M laughed. Although the 10 February, p.3 col.3, 'The Socialists' Riots' (p.3 col.6), it cited the arrest of a coal porter but did not mention any butcher shop theft.

68 The Daily News Friday 11 February retraction was a small paragraph on p.3 col.4, entitled 'The Riot in Clerkenwell' and followed by a letter 'To the Editor of the Daily News', reporting that the author had been directed to transmit the statement:

There is no foundation for the statement circulated that shops were pillaged on the night of the 8th inst. In Compton-street and Goswell-road, near Clerkenwell, or that meat was stolen from a butcher's shop in Compton-street... The 11 February Daily Standard also carried the retraction (p.3 col.3, under the notice 'The Clerkenwell Riot').

On Wednesday I went to lecture at a schoolroom in Peckham High Street for some goody-goody literary society or other. It was pretty different from my Tuesday's experience: the people were Christians and began the meeting with prayer and finished with a blessing. However it is worth noting that a good part of the audience (not a large one about one hundred I should think, there being counter-attributions in the neighbourhood) was quite enthusiastic, though I suspect the presence of some our people or the SDF there: also I should not forget that they gave me thirty shillings towards our printing fund.

Thursday I went to the Ways and Means Committee at the League: found them cheerful there on the prospects of Commonweal: I didn't feel as cheerful as the others, but hope it may go on.

Friday I went in the evening to finish the debate begun last week: the room full of Sparring made a good speech; I didn't: the meeting having got very conversational by that time.

February 16th. Sunday I spoke on a very cold windy (NE) morning at the Waltham Green station; the people listened well though the audience was not large about sixty at the most. I was busy all the afternoon entertaining Walker Scheu and his daughter, Tarleton and Tochatti; and Cunninghame Graham at last.

I lectured on 'Medieval England' to a good audience here in the evening: lecture rather 'young.'

Wednesday there: announced his going away in a fortnight to Venezuela again. I am sorry he is very useful here: also I like him.

Monday Council meeting very quiet and short; new branch at Walsall, a creation of Mahon's: an excited letter from the Glasgow branch: they have held a meeting...
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big meeting there Sunday in sympathy with the Lanarkshire miners. more than 20,000 present they say; which as they collected twenty-four pounds (in copper chiefly) seems likely. By the way in the afternoon Bax called with Champion, who thinks of starting a new weekly, a private paper not so much a party journal as Commonweal and bigger, as he is to be backed by money. He wanted my goodwill which he is welcome to; but I distrust the long enduranc of a paper at all commercial, unless there is plenty of money at its back. Champion spoke in a friendly way and was quite open and reasonable; but seems out of spirits about the movement; he has been extremely over-sanguine about getting people to show their strength, which of course they don't do at present as soon as it looks dangerous, and so he is correspondingly depressed at the poor performance of the SDF in agitation lately.

By the way Bax tells me that the Clerkenwell affair was wholly and from the first the doing of the Clerkenwell and Marylebone Branches and that the executive disapproved of it, and were near to expelling the two sinning branches. That is all very well but after all is hardly fair; as it is but of a piece with the general advertising tactics of the SDF. Next Sunday they are going to have a 'church parade' at St Paul's; but unless they can get an enormous crowd, it will be a silly business, and if they do there will be a row; which got up in this way I think a mistake; this for my word about this sort of thing; if a riot is quite spontaneous it does frighten the bourgeois even if it [is] but isolated; but planned riots or shows of force are no good unless in a time of action, when they are backed by the opinion of the people and are in point of fact indications of the rising tide.

Again by the way at the Council meeting G.B. Shaw's letter was read accepting the championship against Bradlaugh, but with almost superficial civility to him; and also saying that he could not bind himself to defend our Manifesto through thick and thin. I expected an outburst of opposition on this, as I thought rather needless proviso: but I suppose everybody saw that we mustn't withdraw our challenge, and Shaw is obviously the best man for the purpose.

Tuesday to Bax at Croydon where we did our first article on Marx; or rather he did it: I don't think I should ever make an economist even of the most elementary kind; but I am glad of the opportunity this gives me of hammering some Marx into myself.

Today I read the account in the paper [Scotsman] of the Glasgow meeting; it was very satisfactory. Muirhead a very mild and 'good' young man whom I met last year at Glasgow presided at one platform: this really is courageous of him, considering his mildness and his position, as he is something at the University. By the way I forgot to say of last week that Pannell's amendment to the address was divided on last Thursday; I don't know if he expected to catch any Unionists by its 'moderation'; if so he failed; for the majority for it was 105, a mere party division.

In the evening gave 'Medieval England' again at the Staffs' place; middling audience, no discussion; except a working man of the debating club type, not exactly a socialist I suppose, and a person who preached sympathy between the classes: and Webb who shut him up.

February 23rd. I had a sort of threat of guilt the last days of last week, so kept myself quiet at home.

Sunday for same reason I did not speak out of doors. I went to Mitchell the (branch) Sunday evening and spoke extemporary to them at their club-room, a tumble down shed opposite the grand new workhouse built by the Holborn Union amongst the woful howlers that make up the worse (and newer) part of Mitcham, which was once a pretty place with its old street and greens and lavender fields. Except a German from Wimbledon (who was in the chair) and two others who looked like artists of the painter or small builder type, the audience was all made up of labourers and their wives: they were very quiet and attentive except one man who was arguing from liquor, and interrupted sympathetically: but I doubt if most of them understood anything I said; though some few of them showed that they did by applauding the points. I wonder sometimes if people will remember in times to come to what a depth of degradation the ordinary English workman has been reduced; I felt very downcast.

84 According to E.P. Thompson, R.F. Muirhead was a lecturer in mathematics at Glasgow University (Scottish History, p. 539). Glaser also comments on his courage in a letter in CW. It is generally to the credit of our comrades R.F. Muirhead, MA and Arch McLaren, MA, that they bravely came forward and took chairs at the platforms, as they are both well connected and run seriously the risk of damaging their academic careers. (19 February, p. 61)

85 According to The Times, Pannell's amendment to the Queen's address was offered on Monday 7 February, the vote was actually not taken on Thursday, but on Friday 11 February; as M reported, the measure lost by 105 votes, 346 to 532.

86 The SDF, p.261.

87 It's hard not to interpret Philip Webb's socialism as motivated in part by loyalty to his closest friend. That such politics as had he may have been rather simplistic is suggested by the tone of his letter of 28 December 1884 from Florence (W & A Museum, Autograph):...still one must think that when capitalists are down on their marrow bones they will be for the people, they are a bad-bed lot, and the illotted race must die out, for no good can come of them.

88 In a letter to Jenny of 18 February he speaks of having had an alarm of guilt rather than guilt itself the previous week (BL Add. Mss 45,339). When writing Glaser on 12 March he notes that he is not very well (Autograph, WHG Gal).

89 Le Mire Cal, p.261, the club room was at the corner of Merton Lane and Fountain Place. His 18 February letter to Jenny comments:

Tomorrow I lecture to our Mitcham branch, a creation of Kite's, a rather tough lot of honest poor people, I shall have to be as familiar and non-literary as I can be in order not to understand me (BL Add. Mss 45,339).

90 I've been unable to identify the 'German from Wimbledon.' In contrast to M's depression, the CW Mitcham branch report signed by S.G. noted enthusiastically that...

The evening in our club-room, comrade Morris lectured to a very large audience on 'Monopoly,' and met with an enthusiastic reception. Eden, Harrison, Gregory, and others took part in the discussion. We closed as usual with singing. Four new members made.

---

78 The Glasgow branch's report in CW, 19 February, p.61, spoke warmly of their success in awakening the workers to a sense of the necessity of the Socialists' claims for a change in the town of Society.

79 An ardent advocate of work's towards labour representation in parliament and a legal eight-hour day, H.H. Champion was the author of the Modern Press, which printed Justice. In May 1887 he founded Common Sense, and later published the Labour Elector. In 1885, Champion's provision of money from an unidentified source for SDF candidates created the 'Tory gold' scandal when the probable source was revealed as the Conservative leader Maltman Barr.

80 M expressed his cooperation with SDF tactics on a number of occasions. For one such criticism of Hyndman's 'hum ... to make the movement seem big; to frighten the powers that be with a turnip-bogie which perhaps he almost believes in himself ...', see the biographical note on Hyndman.

81 The letter, in Shaw's Collected Letters, 1874-97, pp.164-65, concludes: I presume that your executive has duly weighed the fact that I am a member of the Fabian Society only, and am not bound by the manifestos of the Socialist League.

82 12 miles south of central London, Croydon had a SL branch which met in Parker Road.

83 The first part of this article, entitled 'Scientific Socialism,' appeared in the 26 February CW, and was later reprinted in Socialism: its Growth and Outcome, London 1895; in a dry and reductive style it explains Marx's definitions of 'commodity,' 'exchange,' and 'money.'
amongst these poor people in their poor hutch whose opening I attended some three months back (and they were rather proud of it). There were but about twenty-five present: yet I felt as if I might be doing some good there, the branch is making way amongst a most wretched population.

Monday was Council-night again, and I attended. Poor Allman had been before the magistrate that day and fined forty (shillings) and was sent to jail in default of payment: his offence was open-air preaching close to the meeting-place of the Hackney Branch. So we are beginning our troubles early this year; which is a great nuisance; but I don't see what is to be done: we can't give up street-preaching in spite of what Bax and one or two others say about its uselessness: Yet the police if they persist can put us down; and unless we can get up a very good case of causeless interference on their part, and consequent presumption of unfairness against us, we shall not be able to enlist the radical clubs on our side, which is our only chance. At the Council we agreed not to pay Allman's fine, as he cried out loudly against it; and I believe meant it as he is a courageous little man; and is single and wretchedly poor: it was agreed that a committee should see to getting up a free speech demonstration in Hackney. I may note here for the benefit of well-to-do west enders that the police are incredibly rough and brutal to the poor people in the East End; and that they treated Allman very ill. Charles was hauled over the coals at this Council meeting for having written to Justice in a way that seemed to imply an official communication, and a disclaimer of officiality was ordered to be written. Bax brought the matter on, and I thought at first that it was a piece of

91 Cw reported its opening on 24 October 1886; Frank Kitz's branch report for 20 October notes enthusiastically that:
Our Mitcham club room was a dilapidated ruinous shed, which by purely voluntary efforts on the part of our Mitcham and Merton comrades, has been transferred into a comfortable club room... (p.247)

92 The 26 February Cw, p.71, recounted Allman's biased trial and spirited self-defence:
Allman pointed out the injustice of the police attacking only Socialists and no one else; and that it was only when a few working men bound themselves together to point out to their fellows how they were robbed that the ruling class put this old law into force. There were hundreds of meetings held every evening, not by Socialists, that really did cause obstructions, that were never interfered with, which showed the partiality of the police. Meetings were held twice a-week by a renter five yards from where he was arrested for speaking, but the police only looked on.

Allman's heavy fine seemed a direct result of his two previous convictions; after hearing that Allman had been fined at Dudd Street and Stratford, the Judge Mr Hanney 'said that under those circumstances he would inflict the full penalty of 40s. or a month'. A Hyde Park demonstration was held on 28 March to celebrate Allman's release.

93 Cw, 26 February, announced that the Hackney Branch planned to hold a Sunday meeting in the Broadway (London Fields) to publicise the difficulties of socialist propaganda, and the 5 March issue reported that at the meeting speeches by H. Graham and David Nicoll were followed by passage of a resolution protesting at the sentencing of Allman, and supporting free speech.

94 Henry Charles's letter appeared in the 19 February Justice, p.3 and read:
Comrade,—In reference to the first of your Tell-tale Straws, pray permit me to correct your statement.

(1) John L. Mahon had not been sent as an emissary of the Socialist League to the Provisional.

(2) The principles of the Socialist League are not the same as the formation of the League, opposed to Socialists adopting political action in the sense you understand political action.

(3) The deplorable fact that Comrade Mahon has within the last six months somewhat changed his ideas does not necessarily induce all other members of the Socialist League to follow suit.

I am, Comrade, yours fraternally,

H. Charles
eager party spirit on his part, as Charles belongs to the quasi-Anarchist-section: but I saw that Charles had made a mistake, so I did not oppose. The occasion of the letter was a paragraph in *Justice* jeering at Mahon, who is on a stump campaign in the country for his change of front on the parliamentary matter.95 I may as well say here that my intention is if possible to prevent the quarrel coming to a head between the two sections parliamentary and anti-parliamentary and which are pretty much commensurate with the collectivists and Anarchists: and this because I believe there would be a good many who would join the Anarchist side who are not really Anarchists, and who would be useful to us: indeed I doubt if except for one or two Germans we have any real Anarchists amongst us;96 and I don't want to see a lot of enthusiastic men who are not real deep in Socialist doctrines97 driven off for a fad of the more pedantic part of the Collectivist section.

We had an answer from Bradlaugh about the debate; rather doubtful I think: however I shall try to carry it through. Donald and Barker (the secretary) appointed to see to it.

Yesterday all day long with Bax trying to get our second article on Marx together;98 a very difficult job: I hope it may be worth the trouble.

Facing page contains article by William Morris; see appendix, 'Newspaper Articles Inserted in the Socialist Diary.' Morris's gloss reads, 'A railway proposed from Windermere to Ambleside intending to go right through the Lake Country in time/Fall Mall Gazette/Febuary 22 1887.'99

News of the German Elections today: the Socialists seem to be going to lose seats (and no wonder considering Bismark's iron fist) but they are gaining numbers according to the voting.100

---

95 Later in the year J.L. Mahon would become more clearly parliamentarian, but his Cw articles reporting the northern trip still emphasized the need for political agitation in a wider Socialist frame:

[Of Nottingham] There is plenty of Socialist feeling in the town but the disorganized and disheartened nature in which the propaganda has been conducted, has estranged this feeling from the Socialist bodies. The cause of this state of affairs is, in my opinion, that from the first serious movement had too much politics and too little Socialism in it. The social and economic aspect of the propaganda was over-shadowed by the political: the result being that a very superficial and spurious kind of Socialism was spread abroad, that died out when the election heat cooled off. 26 February, p.69

Late in 1887 Mahon founded a North of England Socialist Federation pledged to work with both the SDP and SL: for a discussion of its activities, see Thompson, pp.424-79.

96 The real anarchists to which he refers were probably Victor Dave and the Whitley Street anarchists, see notes 55-58.

97 What exactly Morris feared from the anti-parliamentarian SL members (Lane, Kitz, Mowbray, Mowbray, Nicholl) is not clear—perhaps more pronounced advocacy of demagoguery, strikes, violence, and open threats on the government. Anarchist societies within the SL were largely confined to London; provincial branches favoured more electoral and trade union activity.

98 On 'Money', Cw, 12 March, p.82.

99 The speeches of Mears Loutcher and Labouchere to which M refers appeared in *The Times* of 18 February.

100 Despite repressive measures and the imprisonment of its leaders, the German Social Democratic party had rapidly gained adherents, claiming over 300,000 members in 1881 and over 1,000,000 in 1890. In an attempt to blunt its influence and justify domestic suppression of Socialists, Bismarck had introduced some limited social reforms and exploited supposed threats of war. J. Skotcheski published an article 'Law and Order in Germany' in the 5 March *Commonweal*. 
Sparring went down on Monday night to Reading to try to found a branch, after the good reception which he and Carruthers had there last week: but it was a dead failure.101 105 a good many had given their names to attend, but when it came to the scratch 'with one consent they all began to make excuse'. I note this because it is characteristic of the present stage of the movement; for as above said there was plenty of agreement at the meetings we have held there. This hanging-back is partly fear of being boycotted by the masters; but chiefly from dislike to organisation, for a question which the 'respectable' political parties ignore; and also fear of anything like revolt or revolution.

March 3rd. Last Thursday to Ways and Means Committee but nothing done there because of a meeting of the Commune Celebration Committee, at which the Anarchistic group (of respectable Anarchists) had sent people to make a mess of our arrangements; wanting us to give up our meeting and join them on a meeting the day after, though they knew that our bills were out and that we had hired the hall; I think I have mentioned that this section of Anarchists has quarrelled on with the others, and just mention this trifling matter to illustrate it: there were delegations from other bodies there who, not understanding the affair were for 'giving way to' the Anarchie group; who only number about seventeen persons, and include Reuss among them, whom we expelled as a spy.

Sunday Shaw here to meet Theodor Watts,106 and was very amusing. I urged him to get on with the promised handbook of Socialism:107 he pleaded poverty, and gave us a caudal account of the adventures of a literary man among the publishers: he writes slowly and carefully all he does, which certainly doesn't pay.

As to Sunday meetings of our branch: Wallham Green had a sort of debate, with a gathering of the Primrose Leaguers to oppose;108 but went off pretty well, though a sort of thing which is a great nuisance.

I spoke at Beadon Road; fair attendance of the usual kind, I met a posse of horse police going to St Paul's apropos of the SDF's church parade there;109 and there were also a crowd of Police at the metropolitan station.

Mrs Wilson in evening; the lecture good with the usual anarchist twang in it.110 She was somewhat heckled by Beasley and Carruthers; the latter speaking very well. Her defence was not strong.

The SDF Church Parade went off well; they ought not to spoil it by having inferior

101 No reference to the Reading meeting appears in Cw.
102 In a let. of 25 February to Jenny, M notes that he plans to entertain G.B. Shaw and Watts (Theodore Watts-Denton) the next Sunday, 27 February, because the latter wanted to meet Shaw. Neither man is mentioned in the autobiographical writings, published letters, or biographical sketches of the other, and the meeting seems to have been of little consequence.
103 Perhaps these essays evolved into Shaw's two contributions to the Fabian Essays of 1889. One of the latter, 'The Transition to Social Democracy', was written in 1888 while Shaw visited Kelmscott Manor.
104 The spring primrose became associated with Conservatives with the memory of Benjamin Disraeli's death on 19 April 1881; in 1883 the Primrose League was founded to support the Conservative Party principles.
105 This was the 27 February SDF parade, which went discreetly unannounced in the 26 February Cw.
106 According to the 5 March Cw, she lectured on 'Authority and Revolt', and the branch report notes that 'The Anarchist theory underlying an interesting discourse was criticised by comrades Beasley, Carruthers, Morris, and Radford, who were much concerned with the difficulty of finding how the everyday affairs of a community could be conducted without the rule of either majority or minority'.

ones at small churches now; but should change the entertainment, which remark points to the weak side of their tactics: they must always be getting up some fresh excitement, or else making the thing stale and at last ridiculous; so that they are rather in the position of a hard-pressed manager of a theatre - what are they to do next?

Good meeting this on Sunday at Edinburgh in favour of the miners got up by the League and the SDF.107 All went much as in Glasgow.

Went to the Council meeting on Monday,108 the meeting rather inclined to quarrel. The Charles letter-affair brought up again as I knew it would be, but Charles himself moved the dropping of it. A good deal of talk about the open-air free-speech business: we are to have it out next Monday, when I shall take some trouble to get them to be reasonable but don't expect to succeed.109 the matter of the monthly meeting of members is also to come up next Monday; so we shall have a pretty lively time of it. It really is a pity that the said meeting should drop; but holding it on the Mondays means knocking off one council meeting a month, and I don't think we have a right to do that. Lane's return to Paris as our delegate by the way turned out rather a joke.110 the Feast he was to go to was a paying business, and though a delegate he was received with no hospitality; though Lafarge knows him and speaks English, the meeting a poor one. Altogether Lane, and Charles (who went with him) came back with a very poor impression of the Guesdist or orthodoxy collectivist section there; and after making all deductions for their Anarchist prejudices, I suspect their impression is right, and that say exaggerates their importance.111 That implies that, though the Socialist idea is widespread in France, there is nothing scarcely of an organised party there: I forgot to say that on Monday Shaw sent in a letter very clear and precise statement of the terms on which he would deal with Bradlaugh.112 the latter cannot say that they are unreasonable, and can scarcely draw out of it without discredit.

Tuesday I spent with Bax doing the next Marx article, which went easier:113 as a contrast I had a long spell with Carruthers, to whom I went to take leave of him as he is going back to Venezuela and he read me the second (and important) chapter of his Political Economy, which is by the standard of Marx quite heretical.114 It seemed to me
clear and reasonable; and at any rate has this advantage, that it sets forth the antagonism of classes in the nakedest manner: the workman is nothing but part of the capitalist machinery; and if he is rebellious to be treated like a rebellious spade would be, or say a troublesome piece of land.

March 9th. The ways-and-means committee meeting on Thursday last was swamped by the meeting of delegates about the Commune celebration: the Autonomy people were represented and inclined to give way; also the SDF had written to Brocher (who with a Socialist Union man had been told off to arrange matters with the other groups including the SDF) asking us to join them in a big hall and have but one meeting. This was all very well but I saw from the letter that they simply meant that we should attend their meeting and swell their triumph: which indeed I thought we had better do, if they would come only a little way to meet us. The other groups were harmonious.

On this night there was a good row in the House between the Government and the Parnellites backed by a few radicals: Hicks-Beech the Irish Secretary lost his temper and threatened the Irish members, and they gave back as good as they took — or better. For it is clear that the Government is in a shaky condition. The Union Liberals are beginning to see that the cat is going to jump the other way: Trevelyan made a speech at Devonshire house this week as good as announcing the Tory alliance; so it seems the Liberal party is to be remitted on the basis of a Compromise Home Rule Bill; which will last as long as the Irish find convenient. Meantime: the Government are threatening a very harsh coercion bill. Indeed I shouldn't wonder if they were not to make it as stiff as possible in order to ensure their own defeat, and then were to appeal to the Country on the ground of law and order. All this is blessed bread to us even the reunion of the Liberal party; because after all that means the Whigs still retaining their hold of it, the stripping it more and more of anything which could enable it to pass as a popular party; while on the other hand it cripples the radicals, and takes away all chance of their forming a popular party underneath the more advanced Liberals: so that in politics the break-up of the old parties and the formation of a strong reactionary party goes on apace.

The morning after this row, lo Hicks-Beech has resigned on the score of 'ill-health.' Balfour the new secretary; though it matters little who among the Tories takes the place. Balfour, the new secretary, though it matters little who among the Tories takes the place.

### Commercial Economy, the contents of which were already published. Like Communal and Commercial Economy, The Political Economy of Socialism contained the description of worker-as-machine which attracted Morris.

115 Gustave Brocher, according to Quail (pp. 16, 48) a contributor to Henry Seymour's The Anarchist and an organiser of the London Social Revolutionary and Anarchist Congress of July 1881, was to lecture at the Hammersmith Branch SL on 24 April, on the Belgo 'national socialist' Jean Collins; see Morris's final entry.

116 Argument between the Parnellites and the Government erupted on Thursday 5 March over the issue of Civil Service estimates of £30, 960 supplementary pay for the consular staff of Ireland (The Times, 4 March, pp. 6-8).

117 Devonshire House was the Piccadilly London palace of Lord Huntington head of the Liberal Unionist faction, and therefore a central Unionist meeting place.

118 In the debate of 3 March, and at a later date, the government did threaten a harsher Coercion Bill. Under Balfour's guidance, the 1887 Crimes Bill was finally passed during the summer.

119 Actually, Hicks-Beech announced his resignation on Saturday afternoon, and it was not reported in The Times until Monday 7 March. He resigned on the grounds that he needed cataract surgery; M's severance was probably unjustified, since he returned to Parliament after the operation.

120 Alexander James Balfour, the Conservative prime minister 1902-5, was then Secretary for Scotland and served as Irish Secretary from 1887-91. The Times was correct in its editorial of 8 March when it predicted that:

If we judge Mr. Balfour's character aright, he will not shrink from the disagreeable duty of sternly enforcing the law. (p. 9)

121 'How We Live and How We Might Live', at Hoxton Branch, Labour Emancipation League, 2 Croxdel Street, New North Rd, Hoxton, Le Mure Gal., p. 261.

122 The 5 March Cwad announced a lecture by A. K. Donald on Political Economy from the Socialist Standpoint. Both Donald's personal manner and his parliamentary may have alienated Hammersmith Branch SL members.

123 The present issue of controversy was whether the 1887 Conference would reverse its anti-parliamentarianism. Compare a letter from Phillips Webb to Charles Faulkner, Tuesday 8 March:

I cannot say that my intellect is allowed to rust for last night at our Council meeting there was much more contentious discussion than enough and mere angry answer (sic?) that would serve for a board meeting of a vestry. (WM Gal, 1551)

124 Disputes over financial reliability and the contents and distribution of Cw resulted from and intensified Council debates on ideology. The Lane-Donald antagonism became yet more acrimonious later in the year. Amsterdam 1102 letters record that Donald attacked Cw for dullness (7 July 1887, source R. Goldstein), and Lane accused Donald of pocketing £150 collected for the miners (Anst. 129, source R. Goldstein).

125 The 19 March Cw reported the following Council resolution:

"That the speakers at Hyde Park invite the audience to keep within the railings so as not to obstruct the foot-paths; and that all members of the League attending such meetings be careful not to obstruct the foot-ways on such occasions."
want to hurry the branches over the parliament-non-parliament question. We were beaten: which lane took hardly.

Bradlaugh writes declining to modify his conditions (though no one could understand what they were); however we shall give way in order to pin him; which by the way we shall fail to do.

March 21st. Sunday 13th I went to lecture in a queer little den for the Hackney branch, a street out of Goldsmiths’ Row, Hackney road, a very miserable part of the east end of course; meeting small almost all members I suspect: one oldish man a stranger, a railway labourer who opposed in a friendly way gave me an opportunity of explaining to the audience various points which I expect; also a fresh opportunity (if I needed it) of gauging the depth of ignorance and consequent incapacity of following an argument which possesses the uneducated averagely stupid person. I found we had had a meeting here the next morning. On the Monday I went to Edinburgh and lectured at the lower Tron hall; the audience was but slender in numbers: there being counter-attractions again, two important meetings being on the same night: one under the same roof, and so near that its applause interfered with my oratory. The audience however was both attentive and intelligent and very enthusiastic: the opposition came only from two persons, one a contraceptive secularist apparently who seemed to speak well, but was so indistinct that I couldn’t really catch the thread of his argument; the other an old friend named Bean and nicknamed the ‘Bone of Contention’ who goes about opposing everywhere, a wooden creature, but not quite stupid.

An SDF man spoke very well, also one of ours no less well. On the whole a satisfactory meeting.

126 The 26 March Cw announced the Conference for Whitsunday, 29 May, at 13 Farrington Road.

127 ‘Monopoly’. at 23 Audrey St, Goldsmith Row, Hackney, Le Mire Cal., p. 261, and checklist, p. 309 no. 103. In 1887 this was a new lecture, which M delivered frequently during the next three years; it appears in CW, vol. XXIII pp. 238-54.

128 Hubert Bland, a Fabian, had spoken on ‘What State Interference Means’.

129 M was somewhat exasperated at the prospect of two disconnected trips north within a month’s time; he wrote Jenny on 9 March:

I find, much to my disgust, that I shall have to make a flying visit to Edinburgh next Monday. It seems I made the appointment last year, and of course forgot about it, and they stupidly didn’t remind me of it or I would have made my Glasgow visit which now comes off later fit in with it. However I don’t mind except for the expense. A long railway journey with a book to read and Homer, and the window is a kind of rest to me after all; for I will not go by night, which is beastly. (BL Add. MS. 45.339)

At the time the Edinburgh trip took about 10 hours by train; according to Bradshaw’s Railway Almanack for that year, M could have left from Kings Cross on the Great Northern Railway at 6.15 am and arrived in Edinburgh at 3.40 pm, and there were several alternate possibilities.

130 The Scottish Leader article had commented on the moderate attendance: ‘The Audience, though not so large as the reputation of the lecturer might have led the promoters to expect, was by no means a small one’ (p. 7). The Edinburgh Evening News noted, ‘There was only a small attendance’ (p. 2).

131 On the evening of 14 April M wrote Jenny that a meeting on 5 April had passed their resolution despite hostility,

...after a rather stormy debate, owing to the stupidity of a cut and dried opponent one Job Bone, who always opposes everything and is known in Edinburgh as the ‘Bone of Contention’. (Letters, p. 270)

A Cw report of an Edinburgh meeting on 18 March 1888 described a ‘brisk discussion’, in which ‘the indefatigable Job Bone, a pillar of capitalism well known to Socialist lecturers, was severely handled’ (Cw, 24 March).

in fact things seem on the rise in Scotland. In Edinburgh our branch is doing better, though the SDF are more active, as they have more working-men amongst them; our people are on quite good terms with them. Best of all the general feeling of advanced Socialism is turning our way there. Glasne proclaimed himself a socialist and active, at the lecture, and said he was going to join the League. This means a good deal as to the turn of public opinion, as his position forces him to be cautious. Glasne and I went to Roslin the next day; a beautiful glen-ny-landscape much spoiled temporarily by the remains of last week’s snow, and permanently by the misery of Scots building and a manufactury or two. The chapel strange indeed; unquestionably romantic; but the work coarse and quite lacking the deft skill and crispiness of medieval work; the romance laid on with a trowel, as by an amateur determined to be romantic; and all this before the end of the fifteenth century! Back I went to London by night train and waking at Hatfield I found the whole country under a white blanket of snow, and the trees like a father-Christmas toy: that day (the Tuesday) everybody told me had been the blackest and nastiest day ever seen in

Thursday (17) came off our Commune celebration at last and turned out very successful. Kitz Kropotkin Mrs Wilson Donald, all made very good speeches: only

* minister of the old Grey-Friars Church (Morris’s note)

132 This of course resulted from the strike by the Federation of Scottish Miners. On Friday 20 May, within a month of M’s entry, the miners voted for negotiation—essentially an admission of defeat. Here too the SDF’s parliamentary work was popular with workingmen; Thompson contrasts his success in eastern Scotland on a parliamentary programme, late in 1887, with the SL’s loss of all but four provincial branches after the 1888 split over election campaigning (pp. 462, 474).

133 Thompson considers the Rev John Glasse (not to be confused with the anarchist Henry Glasse) one of the League’s few steady provincial allies (p. 555). Several of M’s letters to Glasse were reprinted by R. Page Arnott, in Unpublished Letters of William Morris, Labour Monthly Pamphlet, 1931 Series, no. 6. According to Arnott (p.3), Glasse had been a member of the SDF before joining the SL. When visiting Edinburgh M stayed with Glasse and his wife at their home at 16 Tantallon Place, and he invited Glasse to visit him in London as the Edinburgh Branch’s Conference delegate in May, 1887. Glasse declined, and after the Conference M wrote him a long letter defining his position. He seems to have considered Glasse a moderate ally, who was anxious above all to avoid another split within the League.

134 Cassell’s Old & New Edinburgh (London 1887) describes Roslin, a town directly south of the city, as ‘a retreat of rural quietness, and the abode of workers in the bleaching-fields and paper-mills’ (p. 332). The latter may be the ‘manufactories’ which M mentions. Bartholomew’s 1912 Survey Atlas of Scotland, plate 62, shows a carpet mill and river nearby.

135 Cassell’s guide notes that the chapel was founded in 1446, and quotes a historian who describes its baroque ornamentation.

It is impossible to designate the architecture of this building by any given or familiar term, for the variety and eccentricity of its parts are not to be defined by any words of common acceptance. (p. 330)

136 Hatfield is about 30 miles north of London.

137 The International Celebration of the Paris Commune was held at South Place Chapel, Finsbury, EC, at 8pm. Despite the hostilities recorded by M’s diary, Cw announced an impressive number of sponsors: The Societies taking part are: Fabian Society; Socialist Union; Socialist League; International Workingmen’s Clubs of Berners Street, Cleveland Hall, 49 Torrington Street, and 23 Princes Square; Autonomic Group; Freedom Group; Scandinavian Group; and Franco-

Italian Group.

Besides speech and lectures in French, German, and Italian, in addition to those M mentions English speeches were delivered by Annie Besant, Peter Kropotkin, Joseph Lane, and J.R. Macdonald. The Times, Pall Mall Gazette, and Daily News all carried reports of the meeting.
Mrs Wilson’s was too much of a lecture, and she really went too far with her Utopian Anarchist superstition: Donald rather attacked her, and fairly. I spoke last and to my great vexation and shame, very badly; fortunately I was hoarse, and so I hope they took that for an excuse; though it wasn’t the reason; which was that I tried to be literary and original, and so paid for my egoism. However it didn’t matter. I hear that the SDF rather paid for their egoism by the way; couldn’t get the big hall they boasted about, and so were out of it, and had to put up with holding small local meetings.

Sunday the annual meeting of our Hammersmith Branch came off: a dead failure, as all our meetings except the open air ones have been lately. However I really think the savage second winter has had something to do with it; we have had a hard frost for nearly a fortnight now, and often a bitter blast of the NE with it; and our stable-meeting room is not very warmable under such conditions.

I lectured in the Chiswick Hall Club and had a scanty audience and a dull. It was a new lecture, and good, though I say it, and really did my best; but they hung on my hands as heavy as lead. The open-air meeting at Wallah Green in the morning was very creditable considering the cold weather and the underfoot misery.

Thursday 24th fifty-three years old today—no use grumbling at that. The frost broke on Monday-Tuesday and we have now got reasonable weather. Monday afternoon Mahon called from Newnes where he has been trying on a campaign chiefly amongst the miners on strike: he reports very well of it: only he had to deal with J. Williams and Hunter Watts (of the SDF) he will hardly be able to form a branch of the League, and thinks that he had better invite them to form a separate body independent of League and SDF: this is awkward but perhaps can’t be helped.

Council-meeting short and confused: the two parties rather bitter but not inclined.

138 Essentially M expresses here a more moderate form of the Pall Mall’s criticism of Charlotte Wilson:

The great fault of the Commune was that it tried to form a Government, a regular orderly Administration, with arbitrary powers; the great virtue of the Commune was that it brought men and women together into simpler social relations and true brotherhood; this is a literal quotation from the speech of a certain Mrs Wilson, who appears to be otherwise an estimable lady, at the meeting at South Place last night to commemorate the Commune. The Commune has certainly had many faults laid at its door, but this must be the first time that it has been denounced as an attempt at law and order. Citizen Donald seems to have been nearer the mark, from the Anarchist point of view. What the Commune wanted, he opined, was not less law and order, but more—in the shape of drill. (18 March 1887)

139 I had been reluctant to speak in the first place, as he wrote Jenny on 17 March:

Today, this evening rather, is our meeting to celebrate the Commune; and I have to speak, which I don’t like quite; because although it is proper and right to celebrate the day, one has by this time said all one has to say on the subject. (BL Add. MS. 45, 399)

140 The Branch didn’t send a report of its meeting to Cw, but the issue of 26 March noted, p.104, ‘Celebrations took place at away of the branches of the Socialist League...’

141 Once a coach house, this extension to M’s London home was earlier used by M for weaving, and now served as the Hammersmith Socialist League meeting place, seeing picture facing p.100.

142 ‘Monopoly’, Le Mire Cal., p.262.

143 In the 26 March Cw Mahon published an enthusiastic report, ‘The Miners Strike in Northumberland’, signed Newnes, 22 March.

144 This was the first sign of what would become, after the 1887 Conference, Mahon’s organisation of a North of England Socialist Federation, independent of both Sl and SDF. It was based on the Sl constitution except for the advocacy of participation in parliamentary campaigns (Thompson, p.464).

to do much since the Conference comes off so soon: settled now for Whitsunday. Lane gave notice of resolution for next Monday pledging the Council to leave the whole matter of tactics alone at present: I shall support that. I am certainly feeling discouraged about the League: between them they will break it up I fear, and then the SDF will be the only practical body here; which I don’t like the idea of as its advertising tactics make it somewhat ridiculous. I shall move at the conference that the question of parliament or non-parliament be deferred for a year. The Fabians by the way have issued their parliamentary manifesto: I don’t mind this if they like to try it. But the Socialist League going parliamentary would be a misfortune. Tuesday 22nd. I gave my ‘Feudal England’ at Hammersmith Radical Club: nine people for audience. The fact is this is a slack time for lectures.

March 30th, Wednesday. On Sunday I gave my ‘Monopoly’ at the Borough of Hackney Club, which was one of the first workmen’s clubs founded, if not the first, it is a big club numbering 1,600 members: a dirty wretched place enough giving a sad sight of the artisans’ standard of comfort: the meeting was a full one, and I suppose I must say attentive; but the coming and going all the time, the pie-boy and the pot-boy was rather trying to my nerves: the audience was civil and inclined to agree; but I couldn’t flatter myself that they mostly understood me, simple as the lectured was. This was a morning lecture over about two o’clock: I went afterwards with poor Vandenberg.

145 On 5 May, W wrote Lane outlining his proposed motion(s):

This Conference endorses the past policy of the League in abstaining from parliamentary agitation and the advocacy of merely a meagre and inadequate policy, & it believes that that policy of abstention should be steadily adhered to.

*(or, see no reason for changing that policy)

The letter continues, ‘I conclude that you would vote for that if your hooter one was lost’. In a postscript he adds,

If that were lost I should move:

That it would be useless and unadvisable to put forward a programme advocating an agitation for passing a series of mere ameliorative measures as we believe that such measures would not prove a solution of the problem between labour and capital.

146 Under the leadership of Annie Besant, the Fabians made an effort to form a Fabian Parliamentary League in 1887, but they did not begin serious campaigning for another couple years. See Paul Thompson, Socialists, Liberals, and Labour: The Struggle for London 1883-1914, London 1967, pis. 133-40.

147 After the defeat of Lane’s motion M elaborated on these views in a letter to Lane of 30 March:

I think it may at some future time be necessary to send men to parliament as rebels to it: but it is not necessary to educate people towards that, because by that time we shall be strong enough in numbers to send them with no great preparation: therefore we need say nothing about it now. Meanwhile I believe all palliative measures like the 8 hours bill to be delusive, and so, damaging to the cause: if put forward by socialists as a part of socialism; though of course they will be put forward and carried at some time by some party, and we shall then take the good and the bad of them. But we should be clear that they are not our measures; I think the duty of the League is educational entirely at present; and that duty is all the more important since the SDF has entirely given up that side of things. (BL Add. MS. 46, 345)

Underlying M’s insistent anti-parliamentarianism is his conviction that political campaigns mean no such educational purpose.

148 On King Street, see Le Mire Cal., p.262.

made to go to the funds of the League; I rather agree to this so as to give our people something to do; though of course I see its disadvantages. 155

Thursday 31st. Yesterday I got a letter from Lane about his canvassing the branches on the anti-parliamentary side; 156 I am afraid he won't do much good if he goes, though his obvious earnestness and good faith makes him a convincing speaker. I also wrote to Maguire of the Leeds branch urging him to adopt the compromise; Lane says he will accept that. Charles called to say goodbye: he is broke here and is going to America. 157

They are at it in the House about the Coercion Bill, which will however be carried, I suppose, as the Tory and Whig majority is overwhelming. If only the radicals would exert themselves and come out into the streets and make a great show, which they might do, 158 the Whigs and Liberal-Unionists might be frightened into voting against it, but I can't see that there is any enthusiasm against it outside these mere party businesses.

April 21st. I have been busy about many things and so unable to fill up this book. I have had a propaganda tour in the north of which I now give some account. I got to Glasgow in the morning of April 3rd and was met by Muirhead Clasier, and other members of the branch; I spoke at a meeting, their ordinary Sunday one, on the Green; the audience something like our London ones but I should say more intelligent, knew better what was being spoken about, I mean. 159

155 The 23 April 1887 Cw announced the formation of a Co-operative Store at the SL office, to sell groceries Mondays, Wednesdays, and Saturdays after 8.30 pm; the last advertisement appeared on 16 July of the same year. M's objections would probably have been to the limited and palliative nature of co-operative merchandising as a cure for the injustices of capitalism; of course his limited agreement on the grounds that it would 'give our people something to do' might have applied equally well to limited electoral campaigns.

156 M wrote Lane the day he received his letter, urging him not to give up his employment and offering £3 to aid with expenses. In a portion of the letter marked 'private again', he promised, 'I am writing today to Maguire of the Leeds branch to urge him and his branch to adopt the Compromise' (BL Add. M.S. 46, 345).

157 A member of the North branch and SL council member for its first two years, Henry Charles became the CW American correspondent, sending news of the Haymarket trials later in the year. M wrote him a letter dated 16 June (presumably 1887) describing the conference and new council members, and adding: 'I mean you can be comforted by thinking that we miss you, as you do very much. His tone is one of speaking to an ally and fellow-anti-parliamentarian, the upshot is that we are very weak, and our work is increased very much, and not to speak, I fear it will be difficult to hold the League together even if the others don't capture it, which of course they will try to do. In view of Quail's mention of Charles later anarchistic activities, it is interesting that M comments on anarchism to Charles as follows:

As to Anarchism, I am not an Anarchist as I understand the word, though I dislike the pedantry of the Collectivist leaders. (Auto. Houghton Library)

Charles seems to have returned to Europe before the end of the decade.

158 Two weeks later the radicals did just that, gathering 100,000 people in Hyde Park to protest the Coercion bill; see M's 27 April entry, in every April issue Cw's front page news featured a discussion of legislation on Ireland.

159 In order to arrive in M, had taken the night train; he wrote May on 4 April: 'I had a very comfortable journey down in a coupe. 2/6d to the guard ensured my sole holding of it; and my supper was splendid. The morning was beautiful and dawn broke before we got to Shap, so I had a good view of the mountains.' (Henderson, Letters, p. 268)

Of the open-air meeting at 1 pm, M wrote Jenny on 14 April: 'Well I began operations by helping the ordinary open-air meeting in Jail Square (ominous name) which is just in front of a droll open air garden called the Green: a meeting much like ours in London a good one of its kind.' (Letters, p. 269)
In the evening I lectured in the Waterloo Hall to a big audience, say 1,000, which was good as they had to pay. Cunningham Graham MP took the chair for me, which was thought bold on a Sunday and a Socialist meeting; he declared himself not a Socialist because he agreed with the Owenite doctrine of man being made by his circumstances; which seemed strange, and I rather took him up on that point. The lecture was well received, and a Socialist resolution carried. The next day I went to Dundee, to a certain parish, David Macrea, once a [United Presbyterian] minister but turned out for heresy, and now running a congregation on his own hook. My position was only to form part of the fortnightly entertainment which he gives to his flock, music and a terrible recitation being the bulk of it as to time: however I spoke for forty minutes (from notes) and got a good deal into the space; the audience was large, respectable, mostly lower middle class, and seemed rather startled, but not unfriendly. I went to Edinburgh next day and lectured in that hall again; audience small, my last lecture had disappointed this I doubt: old Borne was tiresome, the chairman, a very good fellow was not a good chairman; but we carried our resolution, though surely there were many dissentients at one time in the hall: those who agreed seemed very hearty. At Galt's. The next day went to Glasgow again, and met the Branch and friends at a tea-party, which was rather a slow affair; there I got a letter from London urging me to go to help Mahon in the Newcastle district on Easter Monday; so much against my will I wired him that I would do so. The next day we went to Hamilton which is the centre of the coal mining district; the miners had gone in on a sort of compromise, but were beaten in point of fact: so it is hardly to be wondered at that this was a depressing affair. We met in an inn parlour some members of the branch which seems to be moribund, and they would scarcely say a word and seemed in last depths of depression: the hall, not a large one, was nothing like full; it was a matter of course that there was no dissent, but there was rather a chilly feeling over all. A comic event enlivened us a drunken man in the gallery who insisted on mistaking me for his representative Mr Mason, and quarrelling with me on some political matter which the liquor told him I was saying.

Paisley was the next place; nor is this a very lively meeting, chiefly I think because our Glasgow friends had not had time or opportunity to work it up, once more there was no dissent. The Provost [Mayor] Angus Craigie took the chair a curious old body once a chartist a I think. The next day Saturday we went to Coatbridge the centre of the iron district and held an open air meeting at a sort of open space by a canal at the end of that miserable cinder heap, lighted up, as night came on cold and clear, with the glare of the iron furnaces. We were late as we did not get out at the proper station; so we had to compete with a cheap-jack and the Salvation Army, but had a pretty good meeting too; only disturbed by a drunken Irishman.

Choral Hall, John McMunn presiding. Cw observed:

The meeting was not so large as was expected, owing probably to the miners being so much dispersed with the result of their recent strike. Those present, however, were entirely sympathetic, and a resolution in favour of Socialism, moved and seconded by the Secretary and President of the Hamilton miners, was carried unanimously. (Apl 27th)

The Choral Hall Advertiser gave inacuse and obscure coverage at the bottom of p.6 col.2.

The lecturer received a patient hearing, but after he sat down the limited audience thinned somewhat, the invitation given to ask questions not being sufficient to induce them to stay. Some amusement was caused by the style in which a gentleman in the gallery addressed Mr Morris.

Stephen Mason was a Gladstonian Liberal MP for Mid-Lanarkshire from 1851-68.

On the day of the meeting, Paisley, he spoke on "Socialism: the Way and the Meaning", see Le Mire Cal. p.263 and Le Mire Ch. no 94 p.308. M had first delivered this talk the preceding fall, and gave it 12 times between September 1866 and April 1887. The Radical Times of Paisley, which had just begun with its 19 February 1887 issue, published an enthusiastic article on 2 April heralding M's visit.

Unlike many critics he does not confine his ideas to mere theory, but has set about showing by his own handicraft what in art in application to decoration should be. (p.7 col.3)

The 9 April issue, its last, contains no reference to M's speech of 8 April. The Radical Times' short lifespan seems to have followed the fortunes of the strike. The Paisley Gazette gave no coverage; Le Mire cites a report which I have been unable to locate in the Paisley Daily Express (9 April, p.3).

Robert Cochrane, Provost of Paisley from 1885-88, was born in 1808, at the time of the Diary 79 years old, and lived until 1897. A former weaver and draper, Cochrane helped organise the reform procession of 9 May 1831, and was for many years Honorary President of the Paisley Liberal Club, he considered himself a lifelong radical, and attempted unsuccessfully to become a Liberal parliamentary candidate in 1880. He held local governmental positions from 1864-96, serving as ward representative, magistrate, Justice of the Peace, and Provost. His obituary described him as familiar with Scottish poetry, a good conversationalist, and possessed of "a rare fund of reminiscences and anecdote" (Paisley and Renfrewshire Gazette, 26 June 1897). Source: Daniel Cameron, Librarian, Local History Dept.

The Cross, Coatbridge, see Le Mire Cal. p.263. The Coatbridge Express declined to comment on M's visit, and remarked under 'Local Notices'.

This week the uppermost topic in Coatbridge has of course been the appearance, or perhaps the reappearance of the Choral Union of the burgh in a grand choral and orchestral interpretation of Handel's Messiah... (13 April, p.2 col.2).

The same issue reported the death of a miner in a pit accident, his body mutilated almost beyond recognition (p.1 col.3).

M gave more details in his 14 April letter to Jenny:

There we... had a good meeting only disturbed by a drunken Irishman, who insisted with
The next day Sunday I spoke to quite a big meeting on the Green before leaving for Newcastle where a socialist and anti-coercion resolution (one of each) was passed. The audience quite enthusiastic. The Glasgow Branch is in good condition apparently working hard, getting a good deal of support. There are some very nice fellows amongst them, they are a good deal made up of clerks designers and the like, and rather under the thumbs of their employers or they would be able to do more. Kropotkin's visit has turned them a little in the Anarchist direction, which gives them an agreeable air of toleration, and they are at present quite innocent of any parliamentary designs. The feeling amongst the working men about is certainly in favour of Socialism, but they are slack in joining any organisation as usual. Still the thing is taking hold.

Sunday evening I went to Newcastle and was received by Donald and Mahon, and presently stumbled on Hyndman who had been lecturing that evening. I was pressed to come down to Newcastle because the SDF after seeming to agree that neither organisation should press itself on the miners has been playing a double game and trying to bag them after all. Well, next morning we started for the collieries early, and came to a place called Seyhill, where went into a miner's cottage and D[onald] and I sat down and talked while Mahon went to arrange matters as there was to be a converging march on the field at Horton where the meeting was to be. The Goodman was a tall strong man, his face matching his work, and who had blown out one eye and damaged the other; he seemed a kindly intelligent man, and gave us all information carefully, speaking without any bitterness against the masters; the strike is on this wise; the men were working about four days a week and only earning after all deductions about thirteen shillings, and the masters are for reducing their wages by twelve and a half per cent on the ground that the price of coal justifies this reduction, although according to the sliding scale of wages agreed to by employers and employed this was not called for: so the miners are striking against this reduction. The man's wife and daughter were about, tidy and good-tempered women, his house was very clean as clean as a cottage.

many oaths on our telling him the difference between a Home Ruler and a non-Home Ruler, and swore by Christ that he would teach us Socialism he would; but the crowd soon put him down. All this we did by star and furnace light, which was strange and even dreadful.

(Letters, p.271)

Cw euphemized the 'Irish drunk':

Some objections were offered in a very fair spirit by one of the audience, to which Morris replied. (16 April, p.125)

171 Cw estimated the Glasgow Green crowd at 1,000 to 1,200 (16 April), and M described them to Jenny as "a large audience on the Green who were very sympathetic; but saidly poor and inflicted they look as they well may". (Letters, p.271)


173 Surprisingly the 9 and 16 April issues of Justice omit mention of Hyndman's tour.

174 The bleakness of the collieries had impressed M:

Early the next morning we started off for the collieries, and alighted from the train in a wretched-looking country enough; not smoky, for all the collieries are not working, but so waste and destitute looking like—well a "backward" on a large scale. The roads of course were black... (Letters, p.271)

Seyhill is seven miles north-east of Newcastle upon Tyne, and M described it to Jenny as a collection of pitmen's houses. (Letters, p.272).
in the country, and so were apparently most of the others inside, but they were most woful looking dwellings of man, and the whole district is just a miserable back yard to the collieries. Mahon and I leaving D[onald] behind went by train to Blyth (which is a sea-port) and at the station found a considerable crowd waiting for us who followed us into the market place where I spoke to them from a trolley for about forty minutes while Mahon again saw some business. Then we started without any show or banners or band, and consequently without many with us: about half way however we picked up a band and a banner and a lot of men, and soon swelled into a respectable company: the others had got there before us and lots more were streaming up into the field; the day was bright and sunny, the bright blue sea forming a strange border to the misery of the land. We spoke from one wagon Fielding of the SDF in the chair, then Mahon then me then Hyndman then Donald. It was a very good meeting, the front ranks sat down to let the others hear and see. The audience listened intently and were heartily with us: they began by objecting to the reporters, and cried out to "pit them out" unless they put all down. They hooted the police lustily when I said something about those worthies, being much excited by the news of J. Williams' arrest in London the day before, as he has been down speaking to them. I note that my speech as given in the Chronicle is verbatim almost, as I fancy is Hyndman's, but Donald I thought made the speech of the occasion. We three hurried off to catch the train for

175 “Characteristically M commented on the interior arrangement of the houses: most of them as we passed the open door showed a small but ugly bedstead in the place of honour. (Letters p.272)

176 Misnegated by M as Bith, this is about 13 miles north-east of Newcastle on the sea.

177 To Jenny he described the crowd at first as rather a ragged-looked lot. (Letters p.272)

178 The contrast between sea and land had impressed him, as he recalled:

Bith is a sea-port, and as we came in I could see the masts of ships there, and as we ploughed on through the dreary (O so dreary) villages, and that terrible waste of endless back-yard, we could see on our left hand a strip of the bright blue sea, for it was a beautiful sunny day.

179 This was John Fielding, of whom Justice remarked in his report on the meeting:

A great work has been done here and John Fielding deserves the highest credit for the determination and vigour which he has displayed under the most difficult circumstances. Branches of the Social-Democratic Federation are being formed under his auspices not only in Newcastle itself, but in all the mining villages round. (16 April, p.1 col.2)

180 Morris had asserted,

Even these men who were dressed in blue with bright buttons upon them and white gloves—Voices: 'Out with them'—and those other men dressed in red, and also sometimes with gloves on their fingers, what were they. Simply working men, very hard up, driven into a corner and compelled to put on the livery of a set of masters. (Hear, hear, and prolonged hooting.) (Newcastle Chronicle, 12 April, p.4)

181 John Williams of the SDF had spoken to Newcastle miners at a gathering on 6 April; four days later, on 10 April, he was arrested and charged with riotous conduct for speaking and selling Justice in a Hyde Park Sunday afternoon meeting.

182 M inserted the Newcastle Chronicle 12 April account into the Diary facing pp.44 and 45; the report gives almost 18 inches of coverage of M's speech and more than 20 inches to Hyndman's, but dismisses Donald in two inches. M's speech described capitalism as war and warred that under capitalism one local strike would only lead to another; instead he advocated a general workingmen's strike.

He believed that that crisis would take the form, after they had made those claims which they would have to make, of the entire, complete, and immediate submission of their masters. (Newcastle Chronicle, 12 April, p.4)

The demonstration was sufficiently large to receive modest coverage in the London press on 12 April: brief articles appeared in The Times (p.8 col.5), Pall Mall Gazette (p.10 col.2), and Daily News (p.6 col.4), and the Daily Standard (p.3 col.6); all but the Pall Mall Gazette mentioned M.
Newcastle which we just did, and got hungry but pleased there in time to have a bite and drop in the refreshment rooms. There Joseph Cowen stumped on us and we had a friendly talk together and saw us off by train to a place called Ryton Willows where we had advertised another meeting, it is a piece of rough healthy ground by the Tyne-side under the bank by which the railway runs; it is a pretty place and the evening was lovely: a mere recreation ground with swings and merry-go-rounds.  

But we had a very fair meeting there of most attentive persons, though I guess I tried their patience as I got 'lectury' and being excited went on and on till I had gone on too long; however it was successful and the audience stayed till it was nearly dark, gave three cheers for the Socialists and off we went back.

The next day I went up to London and got to the Council in time to come in for one of the usual silly squabbles about nothing, 184 and to propose a Hyde Park meeting on the morrow.  

Several of the members of the SDF were on 185 28th October 1886. I had invited him to contribute to Cw, and in Cowen's answer of 15 November 1886, he declared his clear divergence from the Socialists:

'I don't remember to have seen. I feel myself in a somewhat peculiar position with respect to your request. I am not a socialist and never was. All my inclinations and convictions are the other way. I have been, for many years, on friendly terms with most of the leading English and Continental Socialists, and when they have been claiming the right to be heard, I have been on their side. But I have never been able to see my way to assist in propagating their views. I have helped Hyndman with his Justice but it was more with the view of encouraging workmen to undertake the printing and publishing of a paper of their own, than out of sympathy with the doctrine they proclaim.'

After the firmness of the letter, Cowen's cordiality may have been a mild surprise; M's description of the event to Jenny emphasises his friendliness:

...who should come up but Joseph Cowen very friendly and nice, I must say, and we had a talk, all we could in twenty minutes space (Letters, p.273).

M had at first questioned the suitability of the location:

...it is a recreation ground and being Easter Monday there were lots of folk there with swings and cricket and dancing and the like. I thought it a queer place for a serious Socialist meeting... (Letters, p.273).

His private description reiterates the sense of fellowship which the meeting inspired:

...we had a crowd about us in no time and I spoke, rather too long I fancy, till the stars came out and it grew dark and the people stood and listened still, and when we were done they gave three cheers for the Socialists, and all was mighty friendly and pleasant; and so back we went to supper and bed, of which I for one was glad enough (Letters, p.273).

Compare M's very peripatetic interpretation later in the summer:

It is so bewilderingly irritating to see perfectly honest men, very enthusiastic, and not at all self-seeking, and less stupid than most people, squabble so: and withal for the most part they are personally good friends together.(Mackail, vii, pp.184-85).

The meeting was held 24 April; see note 191.

188 For repeat the dates not got it. (Post 11 April Hyde Park Anti-Coercion meeting, see 12 April, The Times (9 col. 4-5) and Daily News (p.6 col 3 and 4); the former estimated an attendance of 40,000-50,000, the latter reported 150,000, and described in addition the demonstrations of the than the Suffrage-meeting where we got hustled three years ago. 190 The democratic element was dominant in it, and the socialists very popular.

The next Monday meeting at Harrington Room was the meeting of London members also, and Lane read his manifesto; which indeed turned out to be a long lecture not at all fit for its purpose, and which would have been damaging to our anti-parliamentarians if it had gone to the Branches. 190 a vote was taken as to whether the Council should be advised to print it and the majority report and it was carried that it should not be, I voted in the majority.

The next Sunday 24th our Hyde Park meeting came off on a stormy day, but was a fair success under the circumstances, although a hail storm drove a lot of people out of the Park just as we were beginning. 191

Note that all this time anticoercion meetings are being held all about: but to my thinking there is no great enthusiasm about it except among regular political parsons. 192 Still it is something that the political Democracy has taken it up.

By the by on Sunday 24th Brocher came to lecture here about Collins the Belgian Utopist Socialist and had no audience: 193 he is not in any case a lively lecturer though an interesting man and also the Hyde Park meeting damaged us no doubt: still it was discouraging. Council meeting on Monday 22nd. Lane and Mainwaring very much in opposition and not a little unreasonable: a kind of discussion as to the 'making of a SL and SDF. The 16 April Cw was of course pleased:

We have said that it was the largest ever held there, but this conveys no clear idea of its gigantic size; the reports of the bourgeois press of course vary and contradict one another in their usual stupid fashion, but even from them it is clear that over 150,000, probably near 200,000, were present in support of the meeting; while the lookers-on, all of whom seemed in sympathy, were quite beyond all hope of computation. (p.124)

M refers to the attack on socialist speakers by a Radical working men's crowd which had gathered on 23 July 1884 to protest the rejection of the Third Reform Act (County Franchise) by the House of Lords (see Letters, p.209).

In November 1886, the SL Council appointed a committee of Bax, Binning,Mahon, and Lane to draft a policy statement for the 1887 conference, the three others favoured a parliamentary position, but Lane wrote a minority report. M had previously discouraged him from printing his manifesto for circulation to the branches before the conference, and had argued against the use of the term anti-statist (Letters 30 March and 16 May, BL Add. MS. 46,345).

In its 30 April issue (p.137 col.2), Cw printed an abridged report from the surprisingly sympathetic London Chronicle:

The proceedings throughout were most orderly, and the attendance of about 40 or 45 constables, who stood on the fringe of the gathering, seemed somewhat unnecessary.

M had moved a resolution, and his speech was well-reported (p.138): This strike was simply one of the incidents in the great war of labour against capital, which the present system rendered it imperative for the working men to carry on... As long as there were employers and employed there would be war between them... The miners of the North were beginning to look at the matter from the Socialists' point of view, and as soon as the miners clearly understood that they must have their destiny in their own hands it would not be difficult to get rid of the present system.

Donald, Kitz, Wardie, and Mainwaring also made speeches.

In the 23 April Cw, M expressed similar doubts about the efficacy of anti-coercion agitation:

The popular opposition, respectable as it is, does not seem to be of that volume and energy which implies a threat of consequences beyond the ballot-box; and as to the vote, the agitation is discounted by the Tories because they know that a very large proportion of the agitators have not got it... (p.132)

See note 115: as announced in the 23 April Cw, Brocher's talk was scheduled for 8 pm on 'Collins and his Philosophical and Social System'. See Collins biographical entry.
Conference being made 'like the gate of the old Medieval King. Donald practically sent to Northumberland to help to resist the intrigues of the SDP.'

The papers full during these days of the Snabel arrest; my private opinion is war.

According to the 22 April Daily News, Monsieur Schnaebeli, the special French Commissary at the Railway Station at Pagny-sur-Moselle, had been arrested by the German Police Commissary of Aris and taken to Metz; a debate ensued over whether he had been arrested on French or German soil. On 23, 25 and 26 April the Daily News continued to express worry over the possibility of war. "M wasn't the only one confused about the spelling of the French Commissary's name; the Daily News alternatively spelled it Schnaebeli, Schnebel, and Schnuchel. It is not known what happened to the prisoner after he was arrested on 29 April.

Compare M's final sentence with the statements of his first entry; as so often, he exaggerated the immediacy of a threat of war. But by later in the year he was more sceptical. The new Socialist law is not a second war, but perhaps a sign of approaching European war, though I decline to be any longer moved by war scares which are probably got up by state-men-chiefs or stock-jobbing duffers. . . . (December 1899, 'The Present Outlook in Politics', Le Mire, p.214)

List of Newspaper Clippings Inserted by Morris in the Socialist Diary
3. Within entry for 23 February: 'Against (.) By Mr W Morris', article labelled by Morris, 'a railway proposed from Windermere to Ambleside intending to go right through the Lake Country in time / Pull Mall Gazette / 22 February 1887.
6. Within entry for 21 March: 'Manifesto of the Fabian Parliamentary League'.
8. Within entry for 27 April: report 'Meeting at Ryton and 'The Arrest of Mr John Williams', Newcastle Daily Chronicle 12 April 1887.

ALLMAN, JAMES, B. 1865? A member of the Mile End branch SL, when arrested for speaking at a meeting with a. H.M. Hyndman, c.1905, James Allman was listed in court as a shopman of 5 New North Road. When arrested again in 1886 for speaking, he was listed as a 21-year-old tailor's presser. His third arrest in 1897 is recorded in the Diary, and on each of the three occasions newspaper accounts record his spirited defence to the judge (Daily News, 22 September 1891, 26 January 1892, Observer 4 March 1896; see also footnote 92). Allman was elected a SL Council member in 1887, and in the year (Thompson's 400), when he worked to organize meetings for the unemployed. Cf of 11 February lists Allman as one of the speakers at a meeting on Tower Hill.

AVELING, EDWARD AND ELEANOR MARX. A prominent figure in the early socialist movement and amateur dramatist, Edward Aveling (1849-98) had earned a doctorate in zoology before beginning a career as a socialist, and had been a lecturer and journalist. Although Aveling was respected for his abilities, his evasive financial and sexual behaviour embarrassed and angered fellow socialists. During the fifteen-year union with Eleanor Marx (1838-98), the ardently socialist youngest daughter of Karl Marx, the Avelings were vigorous defenders of their cause. They joined the ascension from the SDF which formed the SDF, but maintained a strong pro-parliamentary stance in the Bloomsbury branch, and promoted its separation in 1888 to form an independent group, later affiliated with the Labour Emancipation League. Shortly after Aveling had contracted a secret marriage elsewhere, and in a period of exhaustion from nursing him after surgery, at the age of 42 Eleanor Marx committed suicide, and Aveling died later the same year. Their lives are movingly told in Yvonne Kapp's two-volume Eleanor Marx (London 1972 and 1976). Morris disliked Aveling sufficiently to speak of him in a letter to Gollancz as 'that disreputable dog Aveling' (27 September 1887, in Arnot, Unpub. Letters, p.67). Although in 1885 Marx praised one of Eleanor Marx's speeches highly in a letter to May (18 April, cited in Kapp, vol 2 p 40), and Kapp claims that 'they worked together in harmony and with mutual respect, even admiration at this period' (p 43), Morris made few references to Eleanor Marx apart from Aveling, and indicates in the Diary that by 1887 he found 'civility' from the Aveling's worthy of record. See also footnote 14.

BARKER, HENRY A. A member of the Hoxton branch of the SL and a parliamentary candidate (Traunab), 1883. Barker frequently wrote branch reports for the CW and on 10 October 1886 recorded that he had spoken the previous week on 'Socialism and Dyanism'. The 21 January 1887 Commonweal reported an SL performance of his extravaganza 'The Lamp', in which he, Joseph Lane, and others acted, and he contributed an article, 'Prisoners for Liberty', to the CW on 25 February 1886. Barker was a member of the League Council from 1886 to 1888 and served as secretary in 1886-88. Morris wrote to Gladter in August 1888, 'The Sec. is (to speak plainly) a failure as such, though a very good fellow' (Letters, p.298). Barker left behind scattered notes on William Morris now in the William Morris Gallery (1914) in which he claimed that Morris 'had not what is called the gift of oratory, but he always spoke with feeling often with considerable heat'. Of his speech at the funeral of Alfred Innes, Barker added, 'There was fearful earnestness in his voice when referring to the victim we had just lost his earnestness, his rest. Morris cried out 'let us feel he is our brother.' The ring of brotherly love in it was most affecting' (pp.2-3).

BAX, ERNEST BELFORT, 1854-1926. One of the first British Marxist writers, Bax joined the SDF in 1882. A fierce opponent of Christianity, women's suffrage and the bourgeois family, advocate of new social consciousness, and author of Religion of Socialism (1885), The Ethics of Socialism (1887), and several other books, Bax collaborated with Morris in writing the CW essays which were republished in 1893 as Socialism: Its Growth and Outcome. A member of the Croydon Branch, Bax had left the SDF with Morris to found the SL in 1885, but he returned to the SDF in 1888, where he edited Justice for a brief period in 1892, defended internationalism against Hyndman's support of foreign nationalism, and followed Hyndman into the National Socialist Party during World War I and afterwards back to the SDF, where he remained until his death. In his Reminiscences and Reflections of Oblivion and Late Victorian (1918), Bax asserted of Morris: 'The foundation of the Socialist League and the work he put into it rests on the highest credit on Morris personally ... Alas, to a more personally disinterested man in public work never existed.' (p.83) See also footnote 19 and 20.
BEASLEY, ALFRED. One of the first members of the Hammersmith Branch SL, Beasley had joined the Democratic Federation in 1884 and listed himself in a July 1884 branch meeting minutes as living at 28 Mabourough Road, Brook Green (now Shooters Hill Road, W10, north of Brook Green). In September 1884 he presented a small library of books for a branch library, and served as one of the branch librarians. He was an occasional open-air speaker, and on 27 July 1885 he lectured to the branch on ‘Private Property’. He was a clear dissenter from SDF tactics, speaking in favour of a 18 January 1885 motion by John Currers, in favor of decentralization and separation from the SDF.

Mr Beasley said that to his knowledge the tone of ‘Justice’ in advocating the force of mere undisciplined mobs disgusted many thinking men with the exponents of socialism, and he would support the motion. (Hammersmith Socialist Sunday Minutes)

BOLAS, THOMAS. Born in 1848 at Glastonbury, Bolas was a consulting and analytical chemist and also interested in railway reform. He printed and edited the Railway Reformer in 1883-84, before editing 18 monthly issues of the Fabian newspaper The Practical Socialist 1886-87, and 13 issues of the Socialist, 1888-89. He was one of a group which called a Fabian conference in the summer of 1886 to sponsor parliamentary activity. He was also one of the Hammersmith Branch SL; branch minutes list his address as 8 Grove Terrace, Chiswick, and indicate that he was an active member and was deputed to attend to printing tasks. According to SL conference notes for 1887, Bolas complained that the Fabian had not published a letter in which he complained of authoritarianism in the executive, and opposed parliamentary (Conference notes, provided by R. Colestein). The latter would seem to contradict his position of the previous year. He was 11 January 1888 records Bolas’s lecture to the Clerkenwell Branch on ‘A Real People’s Parliament’. He published many leaflets on railway reform, among them: The Chiswick Levee Crossing Fatality, T. Bolas, Chiswick 1901, and Confiscation of All Railway Property, as a leading step in solving the railway problem (a revised reprint of the Law Telegram, London Press, 1895). The Labour Annual of 1895 states that in 1893 Bolas resigned crusade against railway mismanagement...this time with definite socialist aims...now...advocates nationalization by confiscation of all railway property, so largely used as a means of extortion and plunder; to this end urges workers to secure control of legislature. (p.163)

He was secretary of the Railway Users Association, and by 1895 lived at 60 Grove Park Terrace, Chiswick. A Thomas Bolas, listed in the SL catalogue as the same person, also published many book on photography, design layout, and metalwork during the 1890s and early 1900s.

BRADLAUGH, CHARLES, 1833-91. Secularist, champion of free thought, editor of the National Reformer, and influential radical politician. Bradlaugh was prosecuted for sedition (1898) and for his defence of the publication of a birth control pamphlet (1876). He was elected to parliament in 1880, but refused to take a religious oath, and was excluded until 1888; even then his entry was opposed by Randolph Churchill. He became steadily more conservative in the 1880s and 90s, and as the Diary shows, used his considerable influence in working-men's clubs to oppose any socialist tendencies.

BROCHER, GUSTAVE, poss. born in 1850. According to Woodcock (Anarchism, Cleveland 1962, p.252), Gustave Brocher chaired a commission to organize a London Anarchist Congress of 1881, and he later contributed articles to Henry Seymour’s The Anarchist (Quill, p.236). Hammersmith Socialist Sunday Minutes indicate that he lectured to the Branch twice in 1885 on continental topics, ‘The Phalanx’, and ‘The Icarian Communities’, and the August 1885 Commonweal reports his singing of ‘La Carmaque’ at the first annual League Conference. Between 1885 and 1887 a Gustave Brocher published three French translations and readers in London, an 1893 issue of Freedom that he as a speaker, and he may have been at Mary Woodbury’s farewell in 1893 (Quill, p.237). He may have been a representative of the French Anarchist Section of the SL. Much later in France someone of the same name wrote several books in 1915-18 on Russian topics, and edited a Socialist and a worker’s hospital, and his pamphlet Absurdities and Atrocities of the Bible (Editions de l’Idee Libre) appeared in 1916. A story by Gustave Brocher also appeared in Joseph’s Free Vistas (Berkley Heights, New Jersey 1937, vol. 2, pp.119-30); entitled ‘A Brave Parisian Lad’, it relates an old man’s memory of the brutal shooting of a young anarchist who had given water to two National Guardsmen during the days of the Paris Commune.

CARRUTHERS, JOHN, 1836-1914. A working-class engineer, early Socialist theorist, and Morris’s close associate in the SL. Hammersmith Branch. Carruthers had worked on government construction projects in Egypt, India, New Zealand, Venezuela, and Argentina. He was the author of one of the first Communist textbooks, Communist and Commercial Economy (1883), The Political Economy of Socialism (1885), Socialism and the State (1894), and a posthumous Economic Studies (1915); he also accompanied Morris on his last visit to Iceland in the summer of 1896, and left reminiscences of the voyage. His Communist and Commercial Economy, which argues against the wasteful competition of capitalism, and for a completely labour-based assessment of value, deserved a wider audience than it received. At times, Carruthers’s views resemble Morris’s: the system must be abolished once and for all; we must wait until factories are burning and capitalists are being shot...A very few years will show the men how mistaken is their trust in strikes, and they will then, without delay or warning, turn to violence, the only weapon left to them, in order to wring from the State the rights to which they are jointly entitled. No one can forecast when this may happen; it may be in fifty years, it may be in next year, and even to a day a clerk might raise a tumult in England that no human force could quell. In any case, whether or not there is a danger or rather hope, that the working classes are on the eve of asserting their rights, it is the duty of every honest statesman to remove... a wrong... the only hindrance to an almost boundless increase in human happiness. (p.355-56)

Morris presumably liked Carruthers not only for his intelligence, but for a kindred directness, resistance to reformism, and hope for deep social transformation. For example, in 1894 pamphlet, Socialism and the State, Carruthers argues against agitation for Radical and laborite demands:...it is inevitable that every Socialist who begins to agitate for Radicalism shall become a Radical. There is .. it is better for us who are Socialists to continue to preach our doctrines, but not to take part in political quibbles unless we can do so independently of existing parties who, however much they may differ in other matters, are agreed in deadly hatred of Socialism. (p.8)

Like Morris, Carruthers hoped that greater worker’s collective control of productive processes and the collapse of class structure would satisfy lesser goals: It is not, however, a question of half a loaf or no bread, for it is just as easy to get the whole of the loaf as half of it, if only we could make up our minds that we really wanted the whole. (p.4-5)

See also footnote 114.

CHAMPION, HENRY HYDE, 1859-1928. A strange mixture of manipulator, agitator, and reformist politician, Champion came of an upper-class background, left the army at the age of 23, bought a press from...
which he issued Socialist writings, and became the first secretary of the SDF. Associated with Maltman Barry in the use of Conservative party funds to support SDF candidates in the "Tory Gold" contest of 1884, Champion was active in organising demonstrations of the unemployed in 1885 and 1887, and in May 1886 he started Common Sense (and in 1888, the Labour Elector), which advocated immediate reforms, including the eight-hour day, adult suffrage, and free secondary education. Morris was to have made many comments about him; in a 25 December 1886 letter to Joules, he remarked:

"Champion indeed thinks he can turn [Hyndman] his way, but to speak plainly I think it is just the other way." (BL Add. Ms. 45,346)

By late 1887 Champion was criticizing Hyndman for some of the tactics which had alienated Morris - unrealistic appeals to physical force and rule by fact. In late 1887 Champion joined the Labour Electoral Association; in 1888 he was expelled from the SDF, and in 1889 he worked with Tom Mann and others in organising the strike of London dockers. He combined reformist Marxism with a mixture of populism in an 1888 pamphlet, A Call to Labour.

CLEO, ALAN SUMMERS, 1846-1934. He worked as a private secretary to his father (Henry Cole, Director of the Department of Science and Art, and founder of the South Kensington Museum) and at lecturer on art and promoter of Irish instruction in lace-making, design, and drawing. During the period of the Diary he was the SDF's specialist for embroidery, tapestries, and textiles, and compiled several catalogues of these works acquired by the Museum during his administration.

CUMMINS, JEAN HIPPOLYTE, 1783-1859. A Belgian advocate of "rational" socialism and author of several works, among them La science sociale (1854-55) and a multi-volume Le science sociale (1859-60). He was a leading figure in the SDF and a key figure in the Belgian socialist movement. (The text is a bit hard to follow, but it seems to be about his work in the SDF and his contributions to the socialist movement."

COWEN, JOSEPH, 1829-1900. The son of an industrialist MP, Cowen was a radical Newcastle reformer, editor, and member of parliament. In the 1830s and '40s, he was a key participant in the Newcastle Reform Union, which was involved in the 1835 and 1843 pro-parliamentary meetings in Newcastle. In 1858 he was elected as a Liberal for the Newcastle division of Northumberland.

On Bloody Sunday in November 1887, Cunninghame-Graham was arrested and jailed for six weeks. After this he was ostracised by his parliamentary associates and turned to an active career as an explorer and writer, publishing more than 14 books. In 1891 he was elected Scottish nationalist M.P., and became president of the National Party of Scotland and the Scottish Nationalist Party. See also footnote 47.

DAVE, VICTOR, 1847-1922. An articulate and multilingual Belgian socialist, Dave worked for the German Social Labour movement in 1863-73, but was converted to Catholicism by Father Joseph, Archbishop of Tournai, and became a close associate of Emmanuel Coste. After imprisonment in Germany for his activities in 1875-76, Dave moved to London, where his newspaper, the "Socialist Advocate", was published. He was an active member of the International Workingmen's Association, and later became a prominent member of the Labour Party. He was an active member of the International Workingmen's Association, and later became a prominent member of the Labour Party. (The text is a bit hard to follow, but it seems to be about his work in the Belgian socialist movement."

In Living My Life (1901), Emma Goldman recorded her favourable impression of Dave during a visit to Paris around 1900:

"I was kindly and jovial, though sixty, and he was as alert in mind and spirit as in his student days. Elking out a meagre existence as a contributor to socialist publications and other activities, he retained the buoyancy and humour of youth. I spent much time with him and his lifelong companion, Marie, an invalid for nearly forty years, but interested in public affairs... The most fascinating thing about Victor Dave was his innate feeling for life and ready enjoyment of fun. He was a master of stories, among the many comrades I met in Paris, a companion after my own heart. (vol. 1, pp. 266-7)

See also footnotes 56-58.
DONALD, ALEXANDER KARLEY, MA. A barrister, originally from Edinburgh, joined the Socialist League in 1885 and became a leader of its parliamentary faction. He wrote for *Commonweal* and spoke frequently; the Observer indicates that on one occasion he considered him a good speaker. He also thought him a 'regular intriguer and no good in any organization either' (letter to Henry Chubb, Houghton Library, 16 June, probably 1887). In 1888 Donald left the League in the secession of parliamentarians to join the Labour Emancipation League; in an interview with Bruce Glaser in which he commented on Donald's post-secession activities, Morris noted:

A great deal of our trouble comes from Messrs Donald and Mahon who have been rather clever at pulling us to pieces, but could do nothing towards building up even their own hughmbugg self-seeking party. (Glaser, p.202)

In another 1890 letter he used language sharpen as any of which he was capable to comment on the Bloomsbury Branch expulsions.

They deserved it, for it was that pig of a Donald who began it all. (Glaser, p.204)

In 1890, along with John L. Mahon and Tom Binning, Donald led a failed postal strike for the Labour Union of Hoxton; later, he became a founding member of the ILP, but was expelled along with Avdling and Mahon (Paul Thirsk, "Labour and Socialism in London, 1889-1921", p.161). No one seems to have recorded any praiseworthy recollections which counterbalance Morris's opinion. Yvonne Kapp speaks of him as retired from political activity by 1898 (Eleanor Marx, vol. 2, p.717), and in 1895 and 1902 he edited texts for the Early English Text Society.

FAULKNER, CHARLES JOSEPH, 1834-92. A gifted mathematician and one of Morris's closest lifelong friends, Faulkner came from Birmingham and met Morris at Oxford, where Faulkner earned two firsts in mathematics and a first in natural science. He became a Fellow of University College in 1856, a lecturer in mathematics 1864-71, Dean from 1870-75, and a Senior Fellow from 1877. He left Oxford for London in 1885.

Faulkner's closeness to Morris was described by their mutual friend Webb in a letter to J.W. Mackail, 4 June 1890:

...I can answer in a dependable way as to the friendship to the last between the two men: assuredly it was that of the greatest certainty, and that of the purest, the most able courage and clear honesty of Faulkner held Morris as closely as friendship, pure and simple, could bind two men together -- regardless of difference in quality of mind. They each did for the other what they could not have done for anyone else; and I had the good luck to be alive to this perfect love. C.J.F. had the capacity of seeing the value of that towards which he had no natural attraction; and this, to me, seems to be one of the rarest fine qualities. (WWM Gil, 1179)

Faulkner's sister Kate was a designer for Morris and Co., and the Faulkners were frequent guests of the Morris's. Faulkner was paralysed in October 1888, although he did not die until 1892; his loss was a severe blow to Morris in an already discouraging period of his life.

FIELDING, JOHN. Fielding was an active SDP propagandist; H. Lee remembered him as an able speaker. In an article in *Commonweal*, "Inhuman Arithmetic", attacking political economy for reducing men to puffers. Like several other propagandists, he was invited to a socialist friend, with whom he worked in the early 1880s. "...he was an able speaker, and in 1885 Fielding was a defeated candidate for Kennington against O'Connor Power, polling only 32 votes. Fearful of losing his London employment, Fielding devoted much of his time to political work. He later became a supporter of the ILP and propaganda for the opposite point of view.

FOOTE, GEORGE WILLIAM, 1859-1915. A radical reformer, Foote had been a religious youth, converted to freethinking through the writings of Ruskin, Darwin, Carlyle, and Mill. He founded the Young Men's Secular Association in London, contributed to the National Reformer, joined G.J. Holyoke in 1876 in founding the *Secularist*, which he edited alone after 1878, and served as editor of the *Freethinker* and the *Radical Leader*. A radical and nationalist, Foote remained opposed to socialism. Missing for a year in the mid-1880s on a charge of blasphemy, and on his release was greeted with much enthusiasm. When Bradlaugh resigned as president of the National Secular Society in 1880, Foote was his successor. See also footnotes 43 and 45.

GLASER, J. BRUCE, 1859-1920. After the death of his father at age 13, Glasier grew up in poverty in Glasgow, where as an adolescent he served an apprenticeship as an architectural draughtsman. As an agitator, he was unable to work at his trade and became a decorative iron designer. He began to write verses, sending unacknowledged copies to Morris and other contemporary poets. In 1881 Glasier joined the Irish Land League and in 1884 he helped found the Glasgow branch of the SDF, following Morris into the SL in 1885, and was elected as the SL's first branch secretary. In the latter capacity he arranged for Morris's speaking visits in Glasgow, and his William Morris and the Early Days of the Socialist Movement was the other lightweight evocation of these visits. Its effort to present Morris as uninterested in Marxism has drawn upon it: highly charged and heavily documented attacks by E.H. Carr and Paul Maier, but Glasier's book seems to me insufficiently pointed to merit such artillery. Its Morris is a rather vaguely hearty well-wisher to Glasier, not a serious theoretician of any kind. More than anything else, Glasier's book testifies convincingly to Morris's ability to enjoy the company of all his co-workers, and to lighten, even inspire the insecure and lonely young author. Glasier certainly knew what he was writing from his print; the originals in the William Morris Gallery indicate that Morris was often dissatisfied at the Glasgow branch's unwillingness to devote sufficient funds to the Communist, pay back debts, keep current with dues. Glasier also omits the occasion of much of Morris's interest, his concern that the Glasgow branch should maintain its importance, mobilize its members. Later he became a supporter of the ILP and propaganda for the opposite point of view. Glasier, wrote several pamphlets and a book of socialist songs. In 1893 he married a Cambridge graduate and Fabian lecturer, Katharine Conway, with whom he visited Morris and the Hammarsmid Socialist Society; he co-authored The Religion of Socialism (1894), and spent lifetime of preaching an ethical version of socialism and campaigning for the ILP. Glasier served on the ILP Council, edited the *Labour Leader*, opposed labour support of World War 1, and fought against union with the SDF. His memoirs of Morris, written after his death, were edited by Katharine Conway Glasier.

Glasier, John, MA, DD, 1848-1918. A minister of Old Greystairs Church, Edinburgh, Glasier was educated at New College, Edinburgh, and ordained a minister in 1877. He became a prominent advocate of Christian Socialism, active Free Thinker, president of the Edinburgh Burns Club, early member of the SDF and Socialist League, and the author of several books on poetry and Christian Socialism. See also footnote 133.

HENDERSON, JAMES FREDERICK (FRED), 1868-1957. Born the son of a Norwich clothier, and educated at the Belfast Mercers Academy and Owen's College, Manchester, Henderson went to London in 1884 and founded a branch of the Socialist League in 1886. At the time of his arrest and imprisonment in Norwich Castle for speaking to the unemployed, he was 19 years old. In 1886 he published the first three volumes of poems, and in 1887 he issued Echoes of the Coming Day: Socialists Songs and Poems. Later he went to London and worked as a reporter for the Star, he stayed for a time at Morris's Kelmscott House. Joining Mahon in an attempt to form an independent labour party, he founded the Clapham Labour
League with its journal the Labour Leader, and in 1892 he was elected in Clapham as one of six successful socialist candidates for the London County Council. Later in the '90s he returned to Norwich, where he worked as a journalist, and in 1902 was elected the first socialist member of the City Council. When workmen became eligible for civic office, he and his wife became the first married couple in England to serve together on the same local governing body. Henderson became an alderman in 1906. Later in the war, he served as chairman of the Norwich Food Control Committee, and eventually as Lord Mayor in 1922. He held this office as a youth of 24, though he had been imprisoned. He was described as an eloquent and effective speaker, and wrote several books on socialism, including the widely circulated The Case for Socialism (1911), The New Faith: A Study of Party Politics and the War (1915), and Money Power and Human Life (1922). A three-page bibliography of his writings and their foreign translations appeared in the Norwich Public Libraries Readers' Guide, vol. XII, no. 9, accompanied by a picture of Henderson as mayor in 1939. See also footnote 3.

HOGG, GORDON. The West London Observer for 1897 indicates that Dr Gordon Hogg was a trustee of the expanding Chiswick Liberal Club, which moved to larger premises in November 1887. During the year Tom Mann, Hyndman, Annie Beattie, and Morris spoke to the club, and Dr Hogg frequently attended meetings. He did try unsuccessfully for parliament on 30 April the WLO records a speech rejecting the 'defeat of their worthy candidate, Dr Hogg'. The 12 March WLO gives a picture of Dr Hogg's views at a club meeting.

The true cause, he said, of the present discussion had not been fully stated by the recent Royal Commission; it was not overproduction, but lack of purchasing power on the part of the people. But curious to say that while there was this poverty among the people, the wealth of the world was increasing, showing that some people were obtaining more wealth for their share. (p.9)

His non-socialist remedies included restriction of continental loans and return of labourers to the countryside.

HOWARD, GEORGE, 1843-1911, and wife ROSALIND STANLEY HOWARD. George Howard was a landscape watercolourist, Liberal MP for East Cumberland 1879-80 and 1881-85, and after 1885, eighth Earl of Carlisle and Liberal Unionist member of the House of Lords; later, he also became a trustee of the National Gallery. Rosalind Howard, described by the D.N.B. as 'an ardent public worker on the radical side', administered their vast estates while he devoted himself to painting and an interest in Italian art and culture. As close friends of the Burne-Joneses, their social life overlapped the Morrises; the firm did decorative work for the Howards'. London home in Holland Park, and for the Haworth Castle in Cumberland, and Castle Howard in Yorkshire; and he and Morris worked together for the Society for the Protection of Ancient Buildings. George Howard's health was one reason for the Howards' frequent trips to Egypt, and other warm climates. Jane Morris became their friend, accompanying them on their extended trips and visiting them at Castle Howard. As wealthy patrons of the arts and environmentalists they sympathetic to gentry invalidism, they would have been congenial companions for Jane and Jenny. In an 1881 letter during an earlier trip Morris wrote jokingly to his wife, 'Goodbye, my dear, take care of yourself: and please pay your way duly to Mrs Howard: I can't go owing money to Earl-Kin' (27 February, Letters, p.145). Jane Morris's letters in the Castle Howard Archives reveal some embarrassment at her husband's tendency to argue politics with Rosalind Howard, and a deep affection for and gratitude to the latter for many kindnesses to herself and Jenny. See also Footnotes 39 and 50.

HYNDMAN, HENRY MAYSERS, 1842-1921. Founder and leader of the Social Democratic Federation, his paradoxical combination of authoritarianism and socialist conviction left its impress on early British socialism. Hyndman was born to a prosperous family of colonial connections, attended Trinity College, Cambridge, studied for the bar, and travelled in Australia, the United States. He early developed a belief that the need for a strong British empire required greater opportunities for native autonomy. After a failed attempt to get the Liberal Party for Parliament and Liberal, Hyndman read Das Kapital in French translation, and made use of some of its ideas without acknowledgment in Textbook for Democracy: England's All (1881), and, with acknowledgment, in The Historical Basis for Socialism (1883). Marx was sceptical of his disciple, describing him as 'self-satisfied and querulous' (Letters, Marx and Engels, Correspondence, 1846-1885, 1934, p.397). In 1881 Hyndman helped found the Democratic Federation, which Morris joined in 1883 and which became the Social Democratic Federation in 1884. The SDF soon divided over Hyndman's jingoism, his desire for absolute control, and his emphasis on parliamentarism, preferably by imperial means, to the civil authorities. In 1885 Morris led a disaffected wing out of the organization to form the Social Democratic League, and stated his objection to Hyndman's tactics as follows:

...his aim has been to make the movement seem big; to tax the powers that be with a thought which perhaps he almost believes in himself: hence all that immense tax of immediate forcible revolution, in which we are shown to be the agents of the workers in England are not even touched by the movement; hence the founding of branches which melt away into mere names, the neglect of organisation for fruitless agitation; and worst of all, hence '$oversight and sorrow of suspicion among those who are working for the party. Amidst such elements as this I cannot and will not work, and they are the only elements amongst which I will work... (Letter, Christmas Day 1884, BL Add. MS 45,345)

Later Hyndman moved from these stances to parliamentarism and trade union agitation. He led the SDF until 1912, its official organ, the British Socialist Party (BSP), from 1912 to 1917, and a group of pro-war abolitionists from the BSP, the National Socialist Party (NSP), from 1917 to 1919. When the BSP merged into the Communist Party after World War 1, the 2,000 member NSP/SDF affiliated with the Labour Party. He died in 1921. Hyndman wrote a brief memoir of Morris for Justice in 1896, later reprinted as a pamphlet. His memories praise Morris's character, but blur his political views.

KIRTZ, FRANK, 1849-1923, anarchist activist, publisher, printer. A member of the unemployed, and radical populist. Frank Kirtz was the son of Francis Platt, the illegitimate child of Mary Platt and John Lewis, a watchmaker. Born in London and raised in dire poverty, he was briefly apprenticed as a dyer. During the 1780s he was an active member of several London left-radical clubs and helped shift others towards the left; in 1784 he served as secretary of the Democratic and Trades Alliance, and in 1785 of its successor, the Manhood Suffrage League; in 1787 he sided in forming an English section of the Rose & Social Democratic Club. He was a delegate to the anarchist International Revolutionary Congress in 1881, secretary of the Freiheit Defence Commission, and editor of the German version of Freiheit. In 1882 Kirtz, Lane, and others formed the Labour Emancipation League, and in 1885 Kirtz joined the SL and served on its Central Committee. He worked for Morris at Merton Abbey and accompanied him to Paris as SL delegate to the Socialist Congress of 1889. After the parliamentarians left the League, he became its secretary 1888-91, and when Morris left he served with Nicholl as joint editor of Commons. Things ended disastrously when in May 1891 the Hammersmith Socialist Society expelled him on a charge of absconding with SL monies and account books. Freidman lists him as delivering a lecture in 1895, so he probably continued some anarchism activity. He resumed propaganda as a syndicalist in 1899-1912, and published a series of 'Recollections and Reflections' in the 1912 Freedom (January-July). He devotes an entire issue 'Recollections' to Morris, but his remarks are impersonal and incidentally few specific memories. Appeals for his personal relief appeared in Freedom in 1920 and 1922, and Freedom recorded 'Now, over seventy years of age, he is no longer able to earn a living at his trade of dyer, and has only the miserable old-age pension of ten shillings weekly as a means of subsistence'. (March 1922) He died in the next year in great poverty at the age of 73. See also footnote 4.

KROPOTKIN, PETER, 1842-1921, Russian revolutionary, scientist, and anarchist. During service as an army officer in Siberia from 1862 to 1867, Kropotkin studied the region's geography and noted life, but also became convinced of the need for cooperative socialism and the abolition of government. In 1871 he refused the Secretariat of the Russian Geographical Society to devote his life to social justice. A visit to Swiss watchmakers in the Jura mountains convinced him of the efficacy of voluntary mutual aid as a form of social organization. Imprisoned in 1876 by the Tsarist government for promoting his views, he escaped to Switzerland in 1876 and after escaping several times the government suffered imprisonment in France 1883-86. He settled in England in March 1886 and began the work of publicising anarchism which was to engage him for the rest of his life. He returned to Russia in 1917; in 1885 he published Paroles d'un révolutionnaire and in 1887 La Révolution et les Républicains, but he was already working at the ideas that would appear in Fields, Factories,
and Workshops (1899). As the Diary indicates, Morris and Kropotkin shared platforms during this period; Kropotkin excused himself from writing for Communist on the grounds of overwork in co-editing Le Revolte, and Freedom, which he published with Charlotte Wilson from 1886. John Hubbs's Revolutionists in London gives a good account of the parallels between Morris' and Kropotkin's thought; see also my introduction. Long an opponent of the Prussian state, Kropotkin disappointed his fellow anarchists by supporting the Allied Powers in World War I. In 1917 at the age of 75 he returned to Russia, where he was briefly imprisoned by the Bolshevik government, and worked on a history of ethics. See also footnotes 61, 62 and 172.

LAFARGUE, PAUL, 1842-1913. Marxist organiser and author of several books on the relation of economics to literary, ethical, and philosophic beliefs, Lafargue studied medicine, participated in the Paris Commune, and later worked in Madrid to establish a socialist party in opposition to the blackhandists, before emigrating to London in 1872, where he married Marx's daughter Laura. In 1880 he and Jules Guizau drew up the Marxist programme of the Socialists' Party. After the departure of the Socialists from the League, in 1889 he resigned from the SL, writing a Marxist! letter. Lafargue's response indicates his usual tact, and a sincere sense of loss:

...I always looked upon you as one of the sincere members of the League, and I think it is quite true, as far as I can see that our views as to Anarchism are very close together; and in consequence that I look upon your loss as severe in all respects. (21 May 1889, BL, 45, 345)

Lane continued to publish occasional political pamphlets, and died in 1920 (for a biographical sketch, see the introduction by Nicolas Walker to An Anti-Statist Communist Manifesto). See also footnotes, 15, 110, 137, 145, 174 and 153.

MACDONALD, JAMES. A West-End tailor born in Edinburgh in 1857, Macdonald came to London in 1881, joined the Central Marylebone Democratic Association, met Frank Kitz, Jack Williams, and other left-radicals, and became one of the first members of Hyndman's SDF and a member of its first executive. After a brief period in the Socialist Union from 1885 to 1887, he returned to the SDF. In his July 1896 article in Justice for the series, 'How I Became a Socialist', Macdonald would only comment briefly on this episode:

In 1885, with others, left because we disagreed with the policy pursued in regard to elections. I rejoined in 1887 because I gathered from a speech of Hyndman's that that policy was practically repudiated.

In 1888 Macdonald and Lewis Lyons had led the agitation of a united body of East-End and West-End tailors which became the Amalgamaated Tailors' Union. In 1890 the SDF, later founded and edited the Journeymen, joined the Independent Labour Party (ILP), ran twice for its parliamentary candidate in Dun- dee, was a member of the London Trades Council Executive from 1891, and became its Secretary from 1896-1913. The 1896 Justice article describes Macdonald as 'young', and as 'a rather fair, dapper little fellow, of pleasing appearance'. He described himself as still lecturing for the SDF and other labour organisations. In 1898 he insisted talks to consider an SDF-ILP merger, but these failed when the ILP withdrew from negotiations. In 1905 he led a secession of London tailors and tailor- horses from the national union to form the London Society of Tailors and Tailor-resses, and in 1914 contributed reminiscences of Hyndman to a retrospective issue of Justice. See also footnote 59.

MAGUIRE, TOM, 1864-1905. A semi-empirical photographer and newspaper vendor from a poverty-stricken Irish Catholic background, Maguire was an active socialist before he was 20 and the first promoter of socialism in Leeds. In 1884, Maguire formed a branch of the SDF in Leeds, which in 1885 affiliated with the Socialist League and became one of its most active branches; he was a member of the first provisional Council of the SL, contributed poems to Commonweal, later collected in Machine-Room Chants (1895), and was a, loyal and hearty supporter of the League until Morris's departure in 1890. A skilled open-air speaker, he sided in organising an 1889 building workers' strike in Leeds. In 1896 he established a Labour Electoral League, and in 1892-93, was one of the founders of the ILP. Factionalism in the Leeds movement contributed to a personal depression, which precipitated his death from pneumonia in March 1895 at the age of 30. In his introductory remarks on Machine- Room Chants, J. Bruce Glasier speaks of Maguire as 'originally the name which we settled on as more than one in a lifetime, who possesses that indefatigable charm of friendship that suffers not by passing through the furnace heat or killing cold of life's vicissitudes'.

MAINWARING, SAM, 1841-1907. Called by his biographer, Ken John, the first post-Marks' Welsh syndicalist, and inventor of the label anarcho-syndicalist, the Welsh engineer and anarchist-trade unionist Mainwar- ing was born in Heath, Wales, married a Cadiff customs officer's daughter in 1868, and worked briefly in the US before returning to London. As the engineer of a Marylebone shop and member of the Amalgamated Engineers Union, he influenced his younger co-worker, Tom Mann; later he helped form the Labour Emancipation League, joined the SDF, and left in the 1885 split to join the SL. He became an active speaker in the London parks, was arrested with Jack Williams in 1886, and fined £50; the Hammersmith Social- ist Society notes record Morris's attempt to raise money for his defence. In 1888, Hackney branch report of a talk Mainwaring gave in January 1887 outlines some of his views:

He said that the revolutionary Socialist never asks for palliative measures, either from local boards, or from Parliament itself. He showed that all movements of the people against abuse or monopoly, never succeeded except through the efforts of men who rebelled against the then existing 'law and order.'

Mainwaring helped organise the League's platform at the 31 April 1887 anti-Coercion demonstration, and later in the year he and Kitz visited South Wales coalfields on a propagan- da tour. In 1891 he helped to take South Wales to help raise two children deserted by his brother Tom, Ellen and Sam, who, later became a union organiser and member of the International Workers' Federation. Mainwar- ing returned to London in the late 1890s, and continued to advocate anarcho-syndicalism; he died suddenly while addressing a meeting at Parliament Hill Fields in September 1896 and 1897 he published memoirs of Morris in Freedom (source: Ken John). An 11 November 1911 issue of Freedom commemorating its 25th anniversary records a speech in which Tarrida del Marmol praises the work of 'good old Sam Mainwaring, to whose energy we owed the few numbers of the pamphlet General Strike, and who...lived and died in the movement.'
MAHON, JOHN LINCOLN, 1855-1933. Mahon was a former engineer from Edinburgh and member of the Scottish Land and Labour League, who joined the SDF, served on its executive, and was the first secretary of the SLL. Boycotted by employers, he began full-time campaigning for socialism in the Midlands, became a highly successful organizer of the miners, and later moved from an anti-parliamentarian to a parliamentary position. In letters to Mahon, Morris criticized what he believed to be his tendency to stir up arguments, and throughout 1887 and 1888 his comments to Mahon are rather sharp in tone. He felt Mahon should not work as a paid politician, as a trade unionist, and a member of the London District Secretary of the Communist Party. See also footnotes 77, 95, and 143.

MORDHURST, (Cloudy) HENRY. When Mordhurst was proposed for membership at the fourth meeting of the Hammer Smith Branch of the Democratic Federation, he listed his address as 8 Parver Street, Dalling Road, Hammersmith. He was a steady attender, active outdoor speaker, and organizer of many practical services to the Branch. He helped make a partition for the newspaper, made a box for contributions, and often served on arrangements committees. He strongly supported the split with the SDF, adding Lassalle as a precedent for local autonomy.

Mr Mordhurst spoke of the starting of Socialism by Lassalle [sic] in Germany and of his aim to make the branches as self-supporting as possible. He compared the present split to the disruption of the Socialist party in Germany...he believed that disruption under such circumstances was necessary for education.

(March 1885, Hammer Smith Socialist Society Minutes)

MORRIS, JANE ALICE ("Jenny"). 1861-1935. William and Jane Morris's eldest daughter was a bright, serious child considered more intellectual than May, and keenly interested in her father's political activities. In 1878 she began to suffer from a mysterious disease which resulted in violent seizures, and eventually in progressive physical and mental degeneration. Morris believed her condition was hereditary and blamed himself. Amidst his endless activities, he wrote her many long, affectionate, and politically detailed letters among the best he wrote. After William's death, Jane Morris cared for her daughter for several years with the help of a nurse, then placed her under private care. A few of Jenny's letters are preserved in the Victoria and Albert Museum. Surviving documents are reticent about her condition; it was sometimes diagnosed as 'epilepsy', but it is difficult to be certain what it was. See also footnotes 39 and 36.

MORRIS, JANE BURDEN, 1840-1914. Little is known of the family or education of Jane Burden, who married Morris in 1839 at the age of 19, and with whom he raised their two children Alice Jane Alice ("Jenny"), born 1861, and May 1862. Early in their marriage they shared an interest in embroidery and weaving, but after the birth of their children Jane suffered from somewhat obscure problems of the hand and spine, which she attempted to cure with extended, expensive European trips. Her friendship and a brief affair with the painter and poet Dante Gabriel Rossetti, Morris's brother, but he showed a tolerance remarkable for the period in quietly accepting her husband's freedom of choice. Her letters reveal her as a somewhat melancholic but kindly and fairly intelligent woman; observers described her as unusually quiet. She maintained a mild interest in Morris's artistic activities, but disapproved of his political work. Morris's letters indicate that despite disappointments, he continued to feel a certain affinity if detached affection for her. After his death she lived quietly at Kelmscott Manor, and for a time helped care for the invalid Jenny. In the introduction to her father's Collected Works, May Morris solemnly mentions that it was after her more vigorous father that she patterned her life of political, commercial, and artistic interests.

MOWBRAY, CHARLES WILFRED, 1857-1910. An East-end tailor who had served in the army when young, Mowbray was an activist anti-parliamentarian and printer of a left-wing literary. He was a co-worker with Frank Kitz in the Labour Emancipation League before joining the SL upon its formation in 1885. A member of the League's anarchist wing and an active worker in the effort to establish the right to open-air speech, Mowbray was arrested along with several others after a socialist meeting at Drury Street, Stepney, in East London, and in 1886 in Stratford, and as the Diary records, at Norwich in 1887. Morris's reservations about Mowbray's conduct are indicated in a letter to Joseph Lane:

...I see clearly that the Norwich Branch cannot keep Mowbray; so to London he had better come... As to any harm he may do, we must make the best of it. I believe this man — I always knew the faults of his character, and so I hope can guard against them. (BL Add. MS 45,345, 4 February pos. 1889).

Later Mowbray worked in the dockers' and tailors' strikes of 1889-90, and during the early 1890s was an active proponent of direct action. After Morris relinquished control of Commonweal in 1890, Mowbray continued as its publisher and wrote his first article in open advocacy of the use of dynamite. When, in April 1892, in the Walall anarchist case, a judge sentenced three anarchists framed by police agents to prison terms of 10 years, and a fourth to five years, Officer David Nicoll wrote an article advocating political murder, for which he and Mowbray were arrested. Mowbray was tried for a day or two before of consumption at the SL, and his arrest meant the abandonment of five children. Morris paid Mowbray's bond of £500, and the case was later dismissed. According to Paul Avrich's An American Anarchist (Princeton 1978, p.102 fl.), Mowbray emigrated to the LS in 1894, where he lectured in several cities. Emma Goldman noted her opinion that his speeches lacked content (Harry Kelly, Roll Back the Years, p.102, In Avrich, p.104). With associates, he founded The Rebel in Boston in 1894 and was deported to Britain in 1901. He continued speaking for anarchism for a time, but later became a tariff reformer, lecturer, and died in Yorkshire in 1910. See also footnote 2.

REUSS, KARL THEODOR. Later revealed as a spy in the pay of the Berlin political police, Reuss was a journalist and London correspondent for several foreign newspapers, who joined the SL in February 1883 and was elected to the Executive Committee. As a result of accusations by Victor Dave, who believed the police had acted on the basis of information only Reuss could have given them, Reuss was expelled from the SL on 10 May 1886, and Dave published an article exposing him in the 3 July 1886 Freiheit. Joseph Peukert, the leader of the Gruppe Autonomie, distrusted Dave, and so took Reuss with him to Berlin over New Year 1887, where Reuss was able to identify the policemen in the important but secret negotiations about the纺织品, and was arrested on 21 February 1887, and on 13 May 1887 the Socialdemokrat printed an article on Reuss's arrest which could only be written by someone inside the affair, and a meeting of anarchists and socialists later in May appointed a commission to investigate charges of Peukert's complicity with the police. Although Peukert's cleared Peukert, Peukert and Dave continued to attack each other in print and accuse each other of aiding the police, until Reuss himself
SHAW, GEORGE BERNARD, 1856-1950. The prominent playwright, critic, and novelist was born in Dublin, to an Irish father of gentle pretensions and uncertain occupation, and a mother who left her father for London when G.B. Shaw was 16, in an attempt to establish a career of her own. His two sisters taught him to read by teaching music. After a few years as an estate agent's clerk, Shaw moved to London in 1876, and under financial constraints produced five novels between 1878 and 1883, served as art critic for the World, 1886-89, and music critic for the Sun, 1888-90, and in 1892 began a career as a playwright with mild anti-establishment tendencies. After an initial study of Henry George and Marx in French translation, in 1884 Shaw became a Fabian, but continued friendly associations with the SDF and SF. Morris had admired Shaw's early novels, often invited him to speak at the Hammermühlen Branch of the National Union, and enjoyed his company; but in 1887 their friendship began to drift apart. The Diary indicates Morris's exasperation at Shaw's tolerance of Bradlaugh's individualism; in June 1887 he described Shaw as 'too much of a Howardian individualist' (Armit, p.69), and the two differed strongly over the desirability of parliamentary gradualism; Shaw's two articles in For Socialism which he (Shaw) edited in 1889, 'The Transition to Social Democracy' and 'The Impossibility of Anarchism', are in part attempts rebutted of propositions Morris had set out; Morris in turn reviewed them for Commonweal on 25 January 1890. Yet in 1893 he co-operated with Morris in an attempt to formulate a platform for socialist union, and in 1895 published a defence of Morris and other Victorian artists against Max Nordau's charges of immorality in Degeneration. Most important, Shaw 1926 William Morris As I Knew Him is perhaps the best known memoir of Morris, and influenced interpretations of the latter's work for at least 2 decades. In many respects it is a moving tribute to Morris's character and expertise captures nuances of his temperament, but its method of contrasting Morris's character with Shaw's own becomes inevitably double-edged, a defence of Shaw's Fabianism and more 'rationally' sceptical modes of analysis. The claim that Morris is 'our one acknowledged great intellectual' is less convincing in the absence of respect for Morris's actual personal, literary, and political choices; eg. Morris's poetry is praised as facile, if sometimes sentimental, and though Shaw admires Stigand and the socialist essays, he gives no clear idea of their impressive features. Most pointedly, though, he finds Morris's political associates repellent.

Unfortunately they had no experience of the government of the house—a more complicated matter—than the mutors' barrow; and they were romantic anarchists to a man, strong on the negative side, but regarding the State as an enemy as much as the child regards the policeman... A very amatuerish plan, called Anti-State Communism, was evolved; and its authors, after spending a good deal of Mr. Morris's money, suddenly began to believe that the problem of their plan involved the repudiation of Morris's directorship, which was keeping the whole affair together. So Morris, who had been holding the League up by the scruff of its neck, opened his hand, wherein it dropped like a stone into the sea, leaving only a little wrangle to come to the surface occasionally and demand ball at the police court or a small loan. (A WS, xvi).

If there is enough truth to these charges so that they sting, enough contempt resides in the metaphors of the anarchist SL as a composite stray dog to be drowned or its more beleaguered members as 'a little wrangle' to establish Shaw's real antipathy to Morris's basic egalitarian ideals, yet respectable capable of rage and contempt for the strong but not the weak, and not only children are properly wary of police and the massed power of a state in which they have no means of resistance to Mann Had Morris's comrades been totally bereft of ideology, 'organisation', and character, the status of 'prophet and saint' Shaw is so willing to accord Morris would have been strangely hollow, and Morris's 'prophetic' gift one of inconsistency and bad judgement. Shaw's essay concludes with a climactic assertion which has often been quoted:

And with such wisdom as my years have left me I note these lines drawn further away from the hazy burly of our personal contacts into the impersonal perspective of history he towers greater and greater above the horizon beneath which his best advertised contemporaries have disappeared. (id)

Would Morris have wanted such a subtly apolitical canonisation? The tribute is good in part a comment on Shaw, perhaps, and an act of nostalgic love for a long-dead spiritual parent. But the event was measured and concepted for the intelligence and consistency of Morris's ideas and acts would serve his memory at least as well as such an apotheosis of him as a heroically misguided eccentric. A good treatment of the Shavian-Morris relationship appears in chapter V of John Hallie, Revolutionists in London (London 1970), to which I am indebted. See also footnotes 52 and 60.

SPARLING, HENRY HALLIDAY, 1860-1924. May Morris left behind few records of the man from whom she separated several years after their marriage, and Morris's contemporary biographer, Mackley, discreetly avoids mentioning him; someone has scratched 'Mrs Sparling' out of the manuscript of Maxor Guest Kelk's biography of 'May Morris'. The Labour Annual for 1895 lists Sparling as educated at Clidden, Commenara, his biographical study in tomo solventi, and an advocate of total abstinence. Sparling was a steady worker at Socialist League propagandas from 1885 to 1891, serving as League Council member 1885-88, secretary July 1885-December 1886, and sub-editor under Morris of Commonweal, December 1886-May 1891. He was one of the SL speakers arrested in 1887 for alleged incendiary remarks ('bread or lead') at a Hyde Park demonstration. Commonweal indicates that he was a frequent speaker at SL meetings; as the biographer of Horniman's rather wooden notes on current events, his letters and comments indicate sympathy with Morris's role in League affairs. As he has written himself 'the reliable ally' (Thompson, p.523), he seems to have voted as an anti-parliamentarian. Despite Jane Morris's disappointment, he did not become a man of May's certain prospects, and the absence of any enthusiastic comments in his letters of the period, Morris didn't oppose May's marriage to Sparling in the summer of 1887, and Sparling worked as Morris's assistant at the Kelmscott Press 1900-94. As late as April 1892 he was listed in Freedom as lecturing for the Hammermühlen Socialist Society, but he became a Fabian in the same year, and as Fabian delegate to a socialist Unemployed Organisations Committee argued against 'sponsorable' relief to the unemployed. In the 1897 Labour Annual he was still listed in the directory of 'Social Reform Lecturers', although the 1895 Annual directory noted that he was 'now chiefly occupied with historical development of the Socialist movement' (p.187). G.B. Shaw claimed that after a period in which he lived with the Sparlings at their home at Hammarsmith Terrace, May lost interest in her husband, Sparling left for France to seek work as a journalist, and May obtained a divorce; Sparling followed accordingly, had remarried (Morris As I Knew Him, p.33).

William Morris's Socialist Diary
1887 Sparking edited as editor of Defoe's Captain Singleton and a collection of Irish poems and songs, and in 1888 he wrote a pamphlet on unemployment, Men Versus Machinery. In the 1890s he wrote introductions or edited several volumes for the Kelmscott Press and in 1912 he published a lecture on 'Needs and Ideals: being a lecture on the Science of Organisation delivered to the Organisation Society'. In 1914 he contributed an essay to the 50th year commemorative issue of Justice, in which he used the phrase 'we socialists', and advocate more study of applications of science at SDF branch meetings, so despite interest in Fabianism he seems to have joined the SDF. In 1924 Sparking wrote an adulatory memoir, The Kelmscott Press: William Morris, Master Craftsman, describing himself as Morris's 'adoring and eager disciple'; the intensity of his praise suggests that the years with Morris may have been the best of his life, and if much of its commentary is derivative, Sparking's own personal memories are lively and interesting. A postscript by Robert Steele remarked that Sparking had died directly after the book was finished, and comments on 'the considerate importance and sincerity' with which he 'judged his [Sparkings writings] and his personal qualities which endeared him to a wide circle of friends'. H. Lee (Social Democracy in Britain, 1935, p.82) states that Sparking, who had returned to the US and died in Pasadena, California.

TARLETON, H.B. An anti-parliamentarian SL member, Tarleton joined the Hammersmith branch in March 1886, listing his address as 101 The Grove, Hammersmith (now in W5, sailing Green). He was a frequent outdoor speaker, was mentioned by Shaw as present at 'Bloody Sunday' in November 1887, served as a member of the League Council in 1887-88 and as the League's financial secretary in 1888, and as delegate to the 1888 French International Working-Men's Conference. Tarleton later became a Fabian.

TOCHATTI, JAMES. Born in 1852 in Bellator, New Brunswick, Tochatti was a merchant tailor, lecturer on reformist and quasi-scientific subjects, and a lifelong campaigner for the Marxist communist anarchists. He was elected a member of the Hammersmith SL in January 1886, was a frequent outdoor speaker for the branch, served as branch delegate to the 1886 League Conference, and contributed newspaper articles and articles to Commonweal. In 1889 he helped organise a strike at Thornycroft's engineering factory and in 1891 was arrested for causing 'disturbance' at a United Shop Assistants' strike. As one of its anarchist members he continued in the League after Morris's departure. Freedom of the early 1890s indicates that he spoke frequently, and in 1891 he defended, in part, the defence of the imprisoned David Nook, who is recorded as dead in December 1892 Freedom, p.1. Despite his strong support of Nook, he must have had reservations about the public statements of his fellow anarchists, for in January 1894, disturbed by the incendiary tone of Commonweal, he began Liberty, considered by Qual, an unusually conservative of 1895, after (p.204). When in 1892 Tochatti asked Morris for a contribution, Morris replied suggesting that Tochatti repudiate propaganda by violence, and added: Himself, but I don't want a moment that you agree with such propaganda by deed. But since I don't think so, that is the very reason why I think you should openly say that you don't. (WM Jnl, J357, 12 December 1893)

Tochatti did provide this repudiation, and Morris contributed two essays to Liberty. Why I Am a Christian Socialist: Rising Excellence. Liberty lists Tochatti's address as Carmagnole House, Beadon Road, Hammersmith, W. Liberty ceased publication in 1896, but Qual, pp.273-74 states that in the early 1900s Tochatti was again a frequent speaker, and his Hammersmith bookshop a meeting-place for anarchist discussion. The December 1912 Freedom featured his 'Lectures on the Morris Studio, Adel Road, Hammersmith, on Agriculture', and on 12 October 1914, he was reported as lecturing in Belton on The Attitude of Revolutionists towards the War (Freedom, November 1914).

John Mahon's 'Harry Pollitt, London 1976, pp.55-66 describes Pollitt's visit to the bookshop in 1918 and after, where Pollitt defended conscientious objectors on socialist grounds, disputing with Tochatti, who alternatively favoured folded arms and shooting the officers. Sometimes they had first-hand news from Russia by someone returning from there.

VAN DER HOUT, J.S. Van der Hout was a Dutch tailor, speaker on socialism, and member of the Hackney branch SL; newspaper references to newspapers similar to his (Vander Hout, Handerhout, etc.) would seem to indicate that he was active in East End radical politics from the late 1890s, and probably a member of the Labour Emancipation League. A letter from him in the AILSH archives gives a working-class address, 9 Waterlooplein. He may also be the same person as J.S. Vanderhout, who played in his enthusiasm for the Socialist International in Amsterdam; the Dutch were first represented at the Hague Conference in 1873. See also footnote 130.

WALKER, EMERY, 1851-1933. An engraver and pioneer in typography and book design, Walker founded a company of engravers and art photographers in 1855, after many years of work in an etching firm. Three years earlier he had met his Hampstead neighbour William Morris, and together they undertook the meagre technical researches and, on the basis of which Morris founded the Kelmscott Press in 1891. Walker also joined Morris in working for the SPAB, the inauguration of the Influential Arts and Crafts Exhibition Society in 1895, and in the Hampstead Branch of the Socialist: Democratic Federation and Socialist League, for which he served as secretary for several years, and organised the Sunday evening lectures. After Morris's death Walker founded the Doves Press in 1900 with T. Cotton, and the firm until 1909. His ideas for improved book design for ordinary as well as limited editions had a substantial effect on later British book production.

WARDE, GEORGE Y. A member of the Warde family of dyers in Leek, he joined Morris and Co. as draughtsman and bookkeeper in 1866, and on Warrington Taylor's death in 1870 became manager. In 1861 George Warde married Madeleine Smith, who he met in Scotland after a trial for the murder of a former lover, and they had two sons. George Warde was also brother-in-law to George Cade, founder of the Warde and Cade silkworks, with whom Morris co-operated from 1875 in the development of vegetable dyes. Both George and Thomas Warde were members of the SPAB, and George Warde had been designated as their emissary to investigate restorations at St Mark's in Venice. Morris instituted a form of profi-ship-sharing scheme for war at the 1886, and in 1888 he estimated that in the preceding year he had made £1,000, and as the Queen Square store manager Georgie Warde had made £1,200. Morris had been particularly to Confuse George and Thomas Warde, and so compound the difficulty confuse each of these in turn with Thomas E. Wardle, a cabinetmaker and active member of the Socialist League, and George J. Wardle, a labour poet, editor of the Roland Review, and member of the ILP. By contrast, May Morris describes this George Warde as 'a man who stood aloof from politics and watched all enthusiasm with equanimity' (Introduction, CW, vol XVII, p.8). Wardle's friend of his Sidney Cockerell giving his memories of Morris's political activities (reprinted in AWS, vol. II pp.602-606) confirms this. Wardle states that he was unsympathetic to politics and 'was obliged to discourage Morris from talking politics all day, which he gladly would have done, at that time'. Although Warde worked daily with Morris for many years, he notes dourly that he 'never had the disposition for the part of Boswell', and that 'a man's published work is the only part of him that the public ought to know.' May Morris comments on Wardle's 'beautiful drawings of the scenes in Norfolk churches in the Victoria and Albert Museum', and states that after several years of living abroad for reasons of health, he died in 1910 (AR W, xvii).

WARR, CHARLES, 1840-1927. Warr was an archaeologist, police commissioner noted for severity, and British military commander. After publishing theoretical works on his excavations in Jerusalem, and serving in several British imperialist campaigns (the Kaffir War, 1877, the Egyptian campaign of 1882, Arabia and Beersheba, 1884-85, and Subaddin, 1886), Warr was elected Commissioner of the London Metropolitan Police in 1886. He was responsible for the police suppression at Trafalgar Square in November 1887, which caused more than 100 casualties and two deaths among members of the crowd. Methods assumed abroad apparently seemed harsh at home, and complaints of his severity may have contributed to his resignation from office in 1888 in a dispute with the Home Secretary over police autonomy. In News from Nowhere, Morris's portrayal of a reactionary general is based on Warr. From 1889-1900 he served as a British military commander in China and South Africa, and according to England in 1900, he devoted his time to working with the Boy Scouts and writing on archaeological and religious subjects.

WATTTS, JOHN HUNTER, d. 1923. An early and lifelong member of the SDF, J. Hunter Watts became SDF treasurer when Morris's group seceded to form the SL in...
1885, and he participated in the 8 February 1886 procession of the unemployed to Hyde Park. His friend H. Lee described him as an ardent campaigner for the SDF, but personally fond of Morris: Though a great admirer of William Morris, Watts remained with the SDF at the split of 1884, but his friendship for William Morris made him a little more kindly disposed towards the Socialist League than some of us liked...No one could have been animated with greater missionary zeal for the socialist cause...I have known him to go out alone into some poverty stricken East End district of London, and with a flag and a box break new ground and hold a meeting in the open air if he could keep a dozen or so people around him. (Social Democracy in Britain, London 1935, pp.83-86)

On a tour in the fall of 1888 Morris stayed with Watts in Manchester, describing Watts in a letter to his daughter as "a very good fellow" (Letters, p.730). His article, "Growing Respectable," appeared in the Commonweal on 30 March 1889. In 1895 Watts became a member of the Working Men's Executive Council of the SDF. In 1906 he strongly opposed a movement to affiliate with the ILP (Yusuki, Hyndman and British Socialism, p.163); he remained with the party when in 1912 it became the British Socialist Party, and in 1916 he followed Hyndman into its pro-war offshoot, the National Socialist Party. Watts was an early advocate of Socialist Sunday Schools, and in 1904 wrote State Maintenance for School Children; in later life he spoke against toleration of syndicalists within the BSP (Yusuki, pp.210-212). His sentiment was one of several included in Why I Became a Socialist, a collection of reminiscences by early Socialist pioneers published by the Twentieth Century Press, n.d.

WATTS (latter WATTS-DUNTON), WALTER (1832-1914). A solicitor, literary critic, minor author and friend of authors, Theodore Watts was the son of a solicitor who attended Cambridge and practiced law for a period in London. There he became the legal councillor and friend of the poet and painter D.G. Rossetti, and later of the poet A.C. Swinburne, whom he cared for from 1879 until Swinburne's death in 1909. As a literary critic for the Examiner after 1874, and of the Athenaeum from 1876 to the end of the century, he encouraged many younger authors. In 1897 and 1898 he published a book of poems and a novel based in part on his pre-Raphaelite associates, Aylwin, and a second novel, a novel appeared posthumously under the title of "The Tyrant." Though the work is not well known, it is a significant addition to the genre of Edwardian literary criticism.

WEBB, PHILIP (SPEAKMAN), 1831-1915. A lifelong friend and co-worker of Morris, versatile and active designer for Morris and Co., and prominent Victorian architect. Webb was born and reared in Oxford, and met Morris while both worked in the office of the Oxford architect G.B. Street. A founding member of the Firm in 1861, he drew animals, birds, and traceries and designed stained glass, embroidery, tiles, metalwork, candlesticks, jewellery, furniture, wall decorations and tapestries. Webb's memories of the Firm's early days stress its communal features:
The best of those times was that there was no covenancy; all went into common stock—and then, we were such boys. (W.R. other Phillip Webb and His Work, Oxford 1935, p.62)

In the 1870s Webb designed a cover for the book "Voluntary," his first architectural commission was the building of Red House for Morris in 1859, and during his life he built 50 or 60 homes and one church, supervising all the details of construction. Webb joined Morris in founding and working for the Society for the Protection of Ancient Buildings, developing a method for cleaning out loose core materials to strengthen decaying walls. Webb and Morris shared an early interest in Ruskin and the Gothic revival, and both respected decorative art of other countries as well as traditional British architecture; they also loved arcadian and detailed work, in almost any media, as well as the natural qualities of both the artist and the Oxford and English countryside, and they shared a vigorous hatred of the commercial greed of the Industrial Revolution. Webb never married; his letters in the Victoria and Albert Museum to William and Jane Morris reveal deep affection for both. His biographer, W.R. Lethaby, barely mentions his socialism, but some of the comments on individual articles in 1901 suggest some of the views he shared with Morris:

The eroding of labouring men like herring in a barrel it had been found out that a class of rich people could be produced whose greed could grab more than the dreams of avarice had forecast. Well, is there the problem as the poet of the new century that the greed of god is about to be knocked off its pedestal? (Lethaby, p.11)

Webb designed Morris's copped gravestone, saying, 'It will be a roof for the old man' (Lethaby, p.130), and remarked of his death, 'My coat feels thinner...He is not dead after all...But it is true that I have lost a butress' (Lethaby, p.195). In 1900 Webb retired to a cottage in Sussex, but designed two cottages at Kelmscott Manor for his son-in-law Jane Morris, with whom he corresponded until her death. See also footnote 87.

WILLIAMS, JOHN EDWARD ('Jack'). An unskilled labourer who had been raised in a succession of workhouses, Williams joined the Rose Street Club and Irish Land League, helped Hyndman in establishing the DF and later the SDF, became a member of its first Executive Committee, and for almost 30 years was a constant organiser of meetings of the unemployed. In 1887 he was arrested at Dod Street and imprisoned for one month, and as an unsuccessful SDF candidate at Hampstead he polled only 27 votes. He was arrested in a demonstration in 1892, imprisoned in February 1886, and at Bell Street in April 1887; he founded the National Federation of Labour along with John Ward, in 1889 helped organise a strike at a tobacco factory in Chiswick, and in the early 1890s conducted mass protest meetings against unemployment on Tower Hill. In 1893 he was still a member of the re-organised SDF executive, and in 1906 as an SDF candidate for parliament in Northampton he polled 2,544 votes. In 1912 he retired on a small pension. His recollections are repeated in How I Became a Socialist (Twentieth Century Press, n.d.).

WILSON, CHARLOTTE MARTIN, 1854-1944. A surgeon's daughter, she attended Merton Hall, Cambridge briefly in 1873-74, married a London stockbroker Archibald Wilson, lived in Hampstead, and later bought a farmhouse near the Heath. In 1884 she joined the Fabians and founded a study society to read the works of continental socialists. She published a series of articles on anarchism, in Justice (1884), The Anarchist (1885), the Practical Socialist, and Fabian Tracts (1886); three of these have been recently reprinted by Lucenteo Press (1979), biographical introduction by Nicolas Walter).

Charlotte Wilson's 'anarchism' seems a rather abstract mixture of moral idealism, individualism, and collectivism:

Anarchists believe that the solution of the social problem can only be reached from out from equal consideration of the whole of the experience of our command, individual as well as social, internal as well as external. (Walter, p.1)

In several features her essays resemble those of Morris during the period: their holism about social revolution, attack on vaguely defined 'Monopoly', and emphasis on the satisfactions appropriate to work and art.

When each person directs his own life, then, and then only, he throws his whole soul into the work he has chosen, and makes it the expression of his innermost purpose and desire, then, and then only, labour becomes a pleasure, and its produce a work of art. (Walter, p.23)

What seems her one concrete suggestion for action, 'the direct seizure by the workers of the means of production' (1884), resembles Morris's most frequently reiterated suggestion during this period, that of a general strike. Since they frequently spoke at the same meetings during this period, Wilson's influence is conceivable, though Morris seems to have recorded no favorable responses. At a socialist conference in 1886 Wilson seconded an anti-parliamentary amendment by Morris and in the same year she joined with the recently-arrived Kropotkin to found Freedom. She left the Fabians in 1888 and, with some pauses for ill-health, continued to work with Freedom until 1901 (source: N. Walter). She returned to the Fabians to work for women's suffrage, forming a Fabian Woman's Group in 1908, after World War One was honorary secretary of a prisoner-of-war fund for a British regiment, and after her husband's death in 1919, returned to America. No one seems to have recorded further memories of her personal sayings or actions, or perhaps even to have understood her. A stockholder's wife devoted to the abolition of arbitrary law and property distinctions, the only upper-middle class woman to propagate revolutionary anarchism in Britain during the 1890s, yet neither herself nor any of her contemporaries is comfortable with working people. Charlotte Wilson seems to have remained at the margin of movements she actually supported. See also footnotes 61 and 106.
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