pains—stricken scare, such reign of terror as London displayed on the
Wednesday previous, is now a sight for the gods. The want of solidarity
between the tradesman-employer and his over-worked shop-assistant
was also illustrated. The "hand" wildly abstained from risking his
health, for fear of losing his "bread." No man of the working class could have
died of overwork from a week of meetings he could have done. Their immediate result was to extort from the
President of the Local Government Board an extension of the
outdoor relief. The capitalistic press hypocritically pretended concern lest the
Bourgeois proletariat, already dejected by the effect of our disclosures,
should, in the winter, be exasperated by a repetition of the
unemployment, of the "starvation" the capitalistic press always
admits. But what are the facts? Are they not written in the pages of the Man-
sion House Fund before and after the eventful day? Verily the rattle of plate-glass windows speaks more eloquently to the capitalist heart
than the effect of any covert manipulations, or any expanse of discursive
words. The February riots we commend the statement of the Times' leader-
writer, who declares that the absence of serious bloodshed and loss of
life was solely due to the "forbearance of the crowd," there being no
police on the spot. But whatavails that with the Bourgeois world against "destruction of property"?

"They are coming up," said the Regent Street shopkeeper to the
painter Verschellion. The sooner the "respectable" middle-class man recognises this inevitable truth, the sooner the whole capitalistic world will
lament the bidding of their own and their masters' fear, certainly occurred. Since then in more than one town there has been
similar rioting.

Socialists at least are in most ardent sympathy with the unemployed of
this and all other countries. Nor is that sympathy in any way lessen-
ed by the fact that they recognise the reasons of the unemployment, real or imaginary, in which case it is hopeless to expect any serious and lasting relief, apart from a revolu-
tionary change in the conditions of production and distribution, under which we live and die.

After I came out of prison our living was hard to earn
By the work of my hands, and of hers; to shifts we had to turn,
Such as the poor are not unacquainted with,
And just out of the gutter we stood, still loving and hand in hand.

But most Socialists would feel that the scattered, unorganised use of
force is of little use. Further some are of opinion that those who
broke windows, and broke into a few shops on Monday February 8,
were not sufficient for this purpose, intelligence, the need of a
working class to be forgotten. They give unequaled opportunity of
preaching the doctrine. It is I think better to seize that opportunity
than to incite to discourseless and senseless pillage.

Socialists are sincerely conscious of the fact that the great revolution
which they will not be brought about in any other
fashion than that in which all revolutions have been wrought—viz., by
force. The force may be of that mind or, at worst, that of the show
of numbers. But the student of history is bound to expect that other
force—that commonly known as physical—will come into action. The
time for this, however, in England, is, I think, not yet. And when the time
comes at all, it will be that force-of-war, which will probably not come from
the proletariat, but the capitalistic class, with their human machines, the
police and soldierly.

That this will be the way in which the physical struggle will initiate
the revolution is certain. This is already the case. In the "Masque of Anarchy," figures a time when the great assembly of the
feared and the free, gathered together to declare its free, will be,
attacked by the charged artillery, the horseman's scimitar, the fixed bayonet.
Whether we are in the "Masque of Anarchy," figures a time when the great assembly of the
feared and the free, gathered together to declare its free, will be,
attacked by the charged artillery, the horseman's scimitar, the fixed bayonet.
Whether we are in the "Masque of Anarchy," figures a time when the great assembly of the
feared and the free, gathered together to declare its free, will be,
attacked by the charged artillery, the horseman's scimitar, the fixed bayonet.

But what socialists do not wish to see is the extension of the
class conflict into a more general war, where the
police and soldierly stand as the barrier between
the two classes. It is hoped that the present movement is not
an example of what will happen in the future. It is hoped that the
socialists will not be forced to use force.

A MEETING of the unemployed took place in Trafalgar Square on
Monday February 8, with the object of an agitation of the unemployed for
remedies. As in the case of the recent riots, it has been the
literate proletariat at the bidding of their own and their masters' fear, certainly occurred. Since then in more than one town there has been
similar rioting.

But most Socialists would feel that the scattered, unorganised use of
force is of little use. Further some are of opinion that those who
broke windows, and broke into a few shops on Monday February 8,
were not sufficient for this purpose, intelligence, the need of a
working class to be forgotten. They give unequaled opportunity of
preaching the doctrine. It is I think better to seize that opportunity
than to incite to discourseless and senseless pillage.

Socialists are sincerely conscious of the fact that the great revolution
which they will not be brought about in any other
fashion than that in which all revolutions have been wrought—viz., by
force. The force may be of that mind or, at worst, that of the show
of numbers. But the student of history is bound to expect that other
force—that commonly known as physical—will come into action. The
time for this, however, in England, is, I think, not yet. And when the time
comes at all, it will be that force-of-war, which will probably not come from
the proletariat, but the capitalistic class, with their human machines, the
police and soldierly.

That this will be the way in which the physical struggle will initiate
the revolution is certain. This is already the case. In the "Masque of Anarchy," figures a time when the great assembly of the
feared and the free, gathered together to declare its free, will be,
attacked by the charged artillery, the horseman's scimitar, the fixed bayonet.
Whether we are in the "Masque of Anarchy," figures a time when the great assembly of the
feared and the free, gathered together to declare its free, will be,
attacked by the charged artillery, the horseman's scimitar, the fixed bayonet.

But what socialists do not wish to see is the extension of the
class conflict into a more general war, where the
police and soldierly stand as the barrier between
the two classes. It is hoped that the present movement is not
an example of what will happen in the future. It is hoped that the
socialists will not be forced to use force.

It was late in the terrible war, and France to the end drew nigh,
And some of us stood agape to see how the war would die,
CORRESPONDENCE.

MARK ON CAPITAL.

1. Those only which tend towards life can be valuable; materialised human beings do not necessarily fall within this category.

2. Yet I believe you say (Commonweal, p. 21) that apart from utility and price, in the choice between a beautiful face and a good body, the face is in no way superior to the other object, as such has no exchange value, and "the hand ought to have no exchange value," are not parallel, indeed the word "eights" justly discards the former ones. If we learn from this Marx that no exchange value exists, then, of course, the piece of virgin soil has a price, therefore value does not depend solely on the socially useable function.

3. It is not a myth that virgin soil has a price. The piece of virgin soil is in an ever-renewing relation to the labour of obtaining a livelihood. The soil and the man together, must make an exchange of all labour of average productivity accurately measured by time alone?

4. You say (p. 33) gold money "is not a mere sign." Does not its price in money depend on its content? The content of any gold money is a value; the exchange of all labour of average productivity accurately measured by time alone?

5. Commodities pass from places where their use-value is not recognised (p. 33). Should it not be "really" the case? Now utility is not necessarily valued or recognised (p. 21). 7. Is it just to say (p. 57) that labour is "valueless" because they find employment? Then one says: "I am a confuses . . . and of an ignorance . . . Why not briefly state their argument?"

R. F. W.

THE PEOPLE'S PRESS.

(Under this heading will be found a selection of sly graphs collated from various journals published in the English-speaking countries. Comrades are invited to forward copies from English and translations from foreign labour journals.)

It is no use struggling against the introduction of machinery. The only way to benefit is by its operation. Capital and Labour being brothers, why, such as Marx and Engels, as Eau and Jéssica — the one defining the other of his birthright — John Stuart's Paper.

The danger is not in the possible uprising of the people. It is in their submission to the wrong. "If the people remain quiet under oppression, to a Jefferson, it is "let them be, the forerunner of death to public liberty."-Our Country —

Toluids, organise for cooperation; organise not to strike against capital under a system, but to secure the yourselves and the system which has made him one. - Decorum (I.) Bulletin.

Because all things are possible in so much so saying there is overproduction — by no means. If a man cannot get employment whereby he can buy a dinner, that doesn't argue that he is not hungry. - Desertion (L.) Dispatch.

There is much greater power of production in so much so saying there is overproduction — by no means. If a man cannot get employment whereby he can buy a dinner, that doesn't argue that he is not hungry.

The day is coming when the tollers will demand to know the "reason why" in plain English; and will refuse to yield up three-fourths of the products to the capitalists without clear explanation of the necessity for so doing.— Funds Valley (Cal.) Advertiser.

When labour combines for the purpose of securing to itself a portion of the product it produces, it is communism; but when voluntarily combines to take all capital, reduce labour to want, it is shrewd business management, and even the embittered laborers looks on with admiration and wonders when he will be a monopolist. — Industrial News.

The railroads take about half the products of this country, and then the banks come in for a good share of what is left. The same banks and railroads having satisfied the people, are led to quibble over the balance. The balance is so small there is no trouble for it to be satisfied; the capitalists had better quit quibbling over the crumbs, and direct their attention to the front line, and get away with what is down in the cellar. — Modern Times.

At a political meeting a few days since one of the speakers took credit to himself for coming to the town and giving employment to the citizens. He might be credited to credit if he restored no benefits, but he might also be the ignorant and anthropomorphic. But, like most capitalists, his idea was to reap a return for himself. The employment was merely nominal to this. He would reap nothing without sowing the seed first. Labor Leaf.

You might strike until you reach the same idea that all capital try to reduce wages, and if you could combine for that purpose, you might, in some small degree, be a factor in the process of civilisation.

The labour movement is not a political movement. It is essentially a social movement, and who does not see in it the light of the social sciences? It is a trumpery and a hindrance to the attainment of what is right and just. The proof that this movement is not political lies in the fact that under every form of political government, from the autocracy to the democracy, the same agitation is going on, and the same demands are made of the ruling classes. But some of our fellow-workers impugn the theory because such discussions are found in papers. They desire that "practical" questions should be handled, and these questions are always handled in a very theoretical fashion. Questions of "practical" questions almost always lead up to the support of some political movement which has no word of condemnation for the legitimate methods of robbing the labourer of his earnings, in one way or another.

While pretending to lend towards the side of the workers, the New York World so earns on the campaign for the disfranchisement of the dead has been the home of a non-union cigarmaker who was locked out, and making the attempt for the little money her husband received from the boss was better than nothing. These are only the facts in the facts about the "lights." This is probably supposed to be an argument against striking, and to render it impossible; but is really the attempt to get the workers to receive the value of his labour in the past, they would not need to fear a few now. Labourers would not be afraid of the franchise, or otherwise. It is to be feared that Labour organisations, the World knows this, has been appealed and thoughtless, to the starting, to the object of Organised Labour. But the World says, it is a good fact for Labour's Advertiser. — Workman's Advocate.